

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

MICHAEL S. FEDERHOFER, Appellant,)	No. ED101361
)	
)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
vs.)	of St. Louis County
)	Hon. Robert S. Cohen
STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent.)	Filed: June 2, 2015

Michael Federhofer (“Movant”) appeals from the judgment of the motion court denying his Rule 24.035 motion for post-conviction relief without an evidentiary hearing. Movant argues the motion court clearly erred in denying his motion without an evidentiary hearing because his plea counsel was ineffective for: (1) informing him he had to plead guilty to all of the charges if he wanted to plead guilty to any of the charges; and (2) failing to inform him of the option of entering an Alford¹ plea.

AFFIRMED.

Division Three holds: Because the motion court granted the thirty-day extension, the amended motion was due and was timely filed on January 27, 2014. The motion court did not clearly err in denying Movant’s motion without an evidentiary hearing because his plea counsel was not ineffective.

Opinion by: Robert G. Dowd, Jr., J
Kurt S. Odenwald, P.J. and Gary M. Gaertner, Jr., J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Amy E. Lowe

Attorney for Respondent: Adam S. Rowley

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT.
IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND
SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**

¹North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970).