

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

ERICA C. TATUM,)	No. ED102465
)	
Respondent,)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
)	the City of St. Louis, Missouri
vs.)	22053-02795-02
)	
TERRY TATUM,)	Honorable Thomas J. Frawley
)	
Appellant.)	Filed: January 19, 2016

Terry Tatum (“Father”) appeals from that portion of the trial court’s judgment which granted Erica C. Tatum’s (“Mother”) motion to modify the amount of his child support obligation. Father asserts that the trial court erred in multiples respects: (1) the court erred in including private education costs as an “extraordinary expense” in Form 14, Line 6e; (2) the court miscalculated Mother’s income by omitting her income from a second job which Father claims she had; (3) the court incorrectly calculated Father’s income by including certain amounts; (4) the court ordered Father to pay a portion of the premium Mother paid for health insurance for the children without a sufficient basis to do so; (5) the court abused its discretion because Father’s child support obligation is excessive in relation to his income; and (6) the court erred by modifying his child support amount set forth in the March 2009 decree because there was insufficient evidence of a change in circumstances under section 452.370.1 to warrant the modification.

AFFIRMED.

DIVISION THREE HOLDS: The trial court did not err in making its child support calculations, did not abuse its discretion in setting Father’s support obligation, and had sufficient evidence to modify Father’s child support obligation. Therefore, we affirm.

Opinion by: James M. Dowd, J.

Robert M. Clayton III, P.J., Lawrence E. Mooney, J. concur

Attorney for Appellant: Charles E. Kirksey, Jr.

Attorney for Respondent: Jonathan D. Marks

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.