

**OPINION SUMMARY**

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT**

WILLIAM B. SACKMAN, Appellant,	)	No. ED90715
	)	
vs.	)	Appeal from the St. Francois County
	)	Circuit Court
STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent.	)	Filed: February 3, 2009

**OPINION SUMMARY**

William Sackman (“Movant”) appeals from the judgment of the Circuit Court of St. Francois County denying his Rule 24.035 motion for post-conviction relief, without an evidentiary hearing. Movant asserts that the motion court clearly erred in denying his claim that the circuit court lacked jurisdiction to accept his guilty plea because the State of Missouri did not dispose of his charges within the 180-day period prescribed by the Interstate Agreement on Detainers (IAD), MO. REV. STAT. § 217.490 (2000).

AFFIRMED

Division One Holds: The motion court did not clearly err in concluding that the circuit court had jurisdiction to accept Movant’s guilty plea because Movant, after being released on parole from the Illinois Department of Corrections, was no longer entitled to the protections of the IAD and, furthermore, Movant waived any potential protection under the IAD by entering a subsequent guilty plea.

Opinion by: Patricia L. Cohen, J. Kurt S. Odenwald, P.J. and Glenn A. Norton, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Michelle Murphy Rivera

Attorneys for Respondent: Shaun J. Mackelprang and Karen L. Kramer

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**