

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

DERRICK, INC., Respondent,	)	No. ED99257
	)	
vs.	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
	)	of the City of St. Louis
KIRK QUIGLESS and MARSHA	)	
QUIGLESS, Appellants.	)	Filed: September 17, 2013

Kirk and Marsha Quigless (“Homeowners”) appeal from the judgment of the trial court in favor of Derrick, Inc. (“Contractor”). Homeowners contend the trial court erred in entering judgment against them because the judgment was in violation of due process and void in that they did not receive notice of the trial setting.

AFFIRMED.

Division Two holds: The judgment against Homeowners is affirmed because Homeowners’ rights to due process were not violated

Opinion by: Robert G. Dowd, Jr., J.

Lawrence E Mooney, P.J. and Sherri B. Sullivan, J., concur.

Attorneys for Appellants: Zane T. Cagle and Andrew G. Mundwiller

Attorney for Respondent: David M Stolze

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT.  
IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND  
SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**