

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

JONATHAN JOYNER, Appellant,	)	No. ED99869
	)	
vs.	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
	)	of the City of St. Louis
STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent.	)	Filed: February 25, 2014

Jonathan Joyner (“Movant”) appeals from the judgment of the motion court denying his Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief without an evidentiary hearing. Movant argues the motion court clearly erred in denying his Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief because his appellate counsel was ineffective for failing to raise, on direct appeal, the preserved claim that the trial court erred in failing to strike venire member Sean Lynch for cause.

AFFIRMED.

Division Two holds: The motion court did not clearly err in denying Movant’s Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief because his appellate counsel was not ineffective for failing to raise, on direct appeal, the claim that the trial court erred in failing to strike Mr. Lynch for cause

Opinion by: Robert G. Dowd, Jr., J  
Lawrence E. Mooney, P.J. and Sherri B. Sullivan, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Timothy J. Forneris

Attorneys for Respondent: Daniel N. McPherson

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT.  
IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND  
SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**