

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT**

C.I.A.,

RESPONDENT

vs.

T.E.,

APPELLANT

DOCKET NUMBER WD76381

DATE: MARCH4 , 2014

Appeal from:

The Circuit Court of Benton County, Missouri
The Honorable Mark B. Pilley, Judge

Appellate Judges:

Division Three: Anthony Rex Gabbert, Presiding Judge, Victor C. Howard , Judge and Thomas
H. Newton, Judge

Attorneys:

C.I.A., Respondent Pro-se

James O. Kjar, for Appellant

MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT**

C.I.A., RESPONDENT

v.

T.E., APPELLANT

WD76381

Benton County, Missouri

Before Division Three: Anthony Rex Gabbert, Presiding Judge, Victor C. Howard, Judge and Thomas H. Newton, Judge

T.E. appeals the judgment of the trial court entering a full order of protection against her and in favor of C.I.A. pursuant to the Adult Abuse Act, sections 455.010 et seq. T.E. contends that the trial court erred in conducting the direct examination of C.I.A because it exceeded its role as an impartial judge and acted as an advocate for C.I.A. The appeal is dismissed as moot.

DISMISSED.

Division Three Holds:

Where the full order of protection expired on August 26, 2013, and nothing in the record indicates that it was extended, the appeal of the order is moot. Additionally, because the case became moot before it was argued and submitted and because T.E. does not allege that she will be subjected to any significant collateral consequences by the mere existence of the full order of protection after its expiration, this court will not exercise its discretion to address the moot appeal.

Opinion by: Victor C. Howard, Judge

Date: March 4, 2014

This summary is *UNOFFICIAL* and should not be quoted or cited.