

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS  
WESTERN DISTRICT**

WOLFGANG SHIELDS,

Respondent

v.

MILDRED EPANTY (PREVIOUSLY SHIELDS).

Appellant

---

DOCKET NUMBER WD79347

DATE: November 8, 2016

---

Appeal From:

Circuit Court of Jackson County, MO  
The Honorable Patrick William Campbell, Judge

---

Appellate Judges:

Division One  
Anthony Rex Gabbert, P.J., Thomas H. Newton, and Alok Ahuja,

---

Attorneys:

David Andrew Kelly, Lee's Summit, MO,  
Counsel for Respondent

---

Attorneys:

Wolfgang Shields, Kansas City, MO, Respondent Acting Pro Se  
Counsel for Appellant

---

**MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY  
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT**

**WOLFGANG SHIELDS, Respondent, v.  
MILDRED EPANTY (PREVIOUSLY  
SHIELDS), Appellant**

**WD79347**

**Jackson County**

Before Division One Judges: Anthony Rex Gabbert, P.J., Thomas H. Newton, and Alok Ahuja,

Mildred Epanty appeals the trial court’s judgment of modification for a failure to properly apply the law and abusing its discretion in its judgment of modification. Epanty contends that: (1) the trial court erred in its modification because the parenting plan failed to include any holiday or vacation schedule for the minor children as required by statute; (2) the modification failed to properly calculate child support; (3) the judgment failed to take into account the respondent’s voluntary reduction in income; (4) the trial court’s adopted Form 14 did not include the appellant’s payment of the minor children’s medical insurance and (5) the modified parenting time schedule is not in the best interests of the children.

**AFFIRMED IN PART; REVERSED IN PART**

Division One holds:

(1) The circuit court erred by failing to include a holiday and vacation schedule for the minor children in the judgment of modification as required by Section 452.375.9; (2) the trial court’s child support calculation was not against the weight of the evidence in light of the appellant’s overnight visits with the minor children; (3) that the trial court did not err by adopting a Form 14 which did not provide credits for the children’s medical insurance coverage; (4) the trial court did not err in its judgment modifying Shields’ child support obligations and (5) the parenting time award is in the best interests of the minor children and not against the weight of the evidence.

Opinion by Anthony Rex Gabbert, Judge

Date: November 8, 2016

\* \* \* \* \*

THIS SUMMARY IS UNOFFICIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED