OPINION SUMMARY
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

M.W., an infant, by and though her natural guardian and next friend, K.W.,	)	No. ED107943
Appellant,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of Saint Louis County 17SL-CC01942
v.	)	
SIX FLAGS ST. LOUIS, LLC,	)	Honorable Thea A. Sherry
Respondent.	)	Filed: July 21, 2020

M.W. appeals the trial court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Six Flags of St. Louis, LLC, on her claim of sex discrimination under the Missouri Human Rights Act Section due to a hostile work environment.

AFFIRMED.

Division Four Holds: The circuit court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Six Flags was proper because Six Flags established that M.W. presented insufficient evidence from which a factfinder could find two necessary elements of her hostile work environment claim: that the conduct at issue was severe or pervasive enough to affect a term, condition, or privilege of M.W.'s employment and that Six Flags knew or should have known of the harassing conduct and failed to take proper remedial action. On the undisputed facts in the record here, the harassing conduct did not, as a matter of law, rise to the level of actionable sexual harassment and the actions taken by Six Flags in investigating and responding to the harassment allegations constituted, as a matter of law, prompt and effective remedial action.

Opinion by: Robin Ransom, J.,
James M. Dowd, P.J., and Gary M. Gaertner, Jr., J. concur.

Attorneys for Appellant: Jeremy D. Hollingshead and Daniel J. Nolan

Attorney for Respondent: Mikela T. Sutrina

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.