OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

STEVEN C. HALPER,	)	ED108064
	)	
Appellant,	)	
	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
	)	the County of St. Charles
v.	)	1011-FC03459-02
	)	
KAREN L. HALPER,	)	Honorable Erin S. Burlison
	)	
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.	)	Filed: July 14, 2020

Steven C. Halper ("Father") appeals the judgment after trial upon his motion for contempt and motion to enforce judgment and Karen L. Halper's ("Mother") motion for contempt, motion to determine sums due and owing, and petition in equity. Mother crossappeals.

AFFIRM.

Division Two Holds: The trial court had subject matter jurisdiction and, thus, had the authority to hear this dispute. However, we decline to review Father's first point on appeal because Father never raised an objection to any evidence supporting termination of Mother's support obligation and also failed to raise this issue in his motion for new trial or to amend the judgment. Thus, it was not properly preserved for our review. Moreover, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in applying Section 452.340.5 by finding the parties' daughter failed to timely comply with the notice requirements. Lastly, we decline to review Mother's cross-appeal because it was not properly preserved for our review.

Opinion by: Lisa P. Page, J.

Philip M. Hess, P.J., concurs.
Kurt S. Odenwald, J., concurs in separate concurring opinion.

Attorneys for Appellant: Elliott I. Goldberger, Susan M. Hais

Attorney for Respondent/Cross-Appellant: Michael C. Todt

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.