MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT

B.N.A. (FORMERLY B.N.R.)

RESPONDENT,

v.

DOUGLAS B. READY

APPELLANT.

DOCKET NUMBER WD83447

DATE: September 15, 2020

Appeal From:

Callaway County Circuit Court
The Honorable Kevin M.J. Crane, Judge

Appellate Judges:

Division Three: Gary D. Witt, Presiding Judge, Lisa White Hardwick, Judge, Thomas N. Chapman, Judge

---

Attorneys:

Kristen H. Dickinson, Columbia, MO, for respondent.

Douglas Ready, Appellant Pro Se.

MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT

B.N.A. (FORMERLY B.N.R.), RESPONDENT,
v.
DOUGLAS B. READY, APPELLANT.

WD83447 Callaway County

Before Division Three Judges: Gary D. Witt, Presiding Judge, Lisa White Hardwick, Judge, and Thomas N. Chapman, Judge

Appellant Douglas Ready ("Ready") appeals from the judgment of the Circuit Court of Callaway County dissolving Ready's marriage to B.A. raising one point relied on alleging the Trial Court committed eight separate claims of errors.

Ready and B.A. were marred on November 21, 1992, and B.A. filed a Petition for Dissolution of Marriage on May 1, 2013. The Trial Court conducted an extensive trial on October 14, 2016, November 22, 2016, May 17, 2017, June 13, 2017, June 21, 2017, July 25, 2017, August 9, 2017, August 29, 2017, and February 28, 2018. The Trial Court entered an Amended Interlocutory Judgment dissolving the parties' marriage effective December 31, 2016, and on September 9, 2019, the Trial Court entered its final judgment, which confirmed and made final its previous interlocutory judgment.

In his only point relied on, Ready raises eight separate claims of error alleging (1) the Commissioner improperly failed to recuse herself, (2) the Commissioner erred in quashing the subpoenas to testify served on current and former judges of the Thirteenth Circuit Court, (3) the Trial Court erred in amending its interlocutory judgment dissolving the marriage, (4) the Trial Court's property distribution was inequitable, (5) the Trial Court erred in finding that 83% of B.A.'s retirement fund was marital property, (6) the Trial Court erred in finding that Tract II, Tract IV, and Tract V were not part of the marital estate and awarding Tract III to B.A., (7) the Trial Court erred in awarding B.A. attorneys' fees, and (8) the Trial Court erred in entering a full order of protection against Ready in favor of B.A.

Ready's brief failed to comply with Rule 84.04 in that his statement of facts was argumentative and provided few citations to the record for support and, in the argument portion of his brief, Ready failed to cite to case law supporting his legal arguments. Furthermore, Ready did not provide a transcript of the trial proceedings nor the exhibits admitted at trial.

DISMISSED.

Division Three holds:

Ready has failed to preserve his claims for review in that he raised a multifarious point relied on, failed to comply with Rule 84.04's briefing requirements, and Rule 81.12's requirement that appellants provide a transcript of the trial proceedings as well as a sufficient record to determine the issues raised on appeal. Because we are unable to conduct a meaningful review of Ready's claims, we dismiss his appeal.

Opinion by: Gary D. Witt, Judge September 15, 2020

****

This summary is UNOFFICIAL and should not be quoted or cited.