MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT

COMPLETE TITLE OF CASE:

BRANDON SCOTT MORSE,

Appellant

v.

STATE OF MISSOURI.

Respondent

DOCKET NUMBER WD83654

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS
WESTERN DISTRICT

DATE: March 30, 2021

Appeal From:

Circuit Court of Clay County, MO
The Honorable Kathryn Elizabeth Davis, Judge

Appellate Judges:

Division One
Alok Ahuja, P.J., Thomas H. Newton, and Thomas N. Chapman, JJ.

Attorneys:

Damien de Loyola, Kansas City, MO — Counsel for Appellant

Attorneys:

Shaun Mackelprang, Jefferson City, MO — Counsel for Respondent

---

MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT

BRANDON SCOTT MORSE, APPELLANT,
v.
STATE OF MISSOURI, RESPONDENT.

WD83654 Clay County

Before Division One Judges: Ahuja, P.J., Newton and Chapman, JJ.

Brandon Morse appeals the Clay County Circuit Court's denial of his Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief following an evidentiary hearing. In four points on appeal, Morse asserts that the motion court clearly erred in denying his motion because it failed to make findings of fact and conclusions of law on his four claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. The State concedes the points.

REVERSED AND REMANDED WITH DIRECTIONS.

Division One holds:

Where the motion court denied Morse's Rule 29.15 motion in a docket entry with no findings of fact and conclusions of law, the docket entry provides this court with no basis for meaningful appellate review. The judgment is reversed, and the case is remanded to the motion court for findings of fact and conclusions of law on Morse's claims that comply with Rule 29.15(j).

Opinion by Thomas N. Chapman, Judge Date: March 30, 2021

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

THIS SUMMARY IS UNOFFICIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.