OPINION SUMMARY
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

WILLIAM J. PENROD,	)	No. ED109069
	)	
Petitioner/Respondent,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
	)	Cape Girardeau County
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
KAREN D. PENROD,	)	Honorable Craig D. Brewer
	)	
Respondent/Appellant.	)	Filed: May 18, 2021

Karen D. Penrod (Wife) appeals from the judgment of the trial court holding the Antenuptial Agreement between her and William J. Penrod (Husband) is valid. On appeal, Wife makes two claims of error. First, Wife claims the trial court erred in finding the Antenuptial Agreement valid because the agreement was procedurally and substantively unconscionable. Second, Wife claims the court erred by relying upon the Antenuptial Agreement to divide marital assets.

AFFIRMED.

Division Two Holds: In light of the evidence presented at trial, the court did not err in finding the Antenuptial Agreement to be both procedurally and substantively conscionable. Similarly, because the Antenuptial Agreement is valid, the court did not err in dividing covered marital property based upon the terms of the agreement.

Opinion by: Sherri B. Sullivan, P.J. Lisa P. Page, J., and Thomas C. Clark, II, J., concur.

Attorneys for Appellant: Richard G. Steele and Bradley J. LaBruyere
Attorneys for Respondent: Brian D. Payne and John P. Heisserer

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.