2017

CALENDAR YEAR

MISSOURI

JUVENILE & FAMILY DIVISION

ANNUAL REPORT

MISSOURI COURTS
Judicial Branch of Government

Submitted by:
Supreme Court of Missouri
Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)
Prepared by:
Court Business Services Division
Research Unit

Table of Contents

Introduction 7

Section 1: Missouri's Youth Population 8

Figure 1-1 Youth Population 8

Figure 1-2 Projected Youth Population 8

Figure 1-3 Youth Population by Age and Sex 8

Figure 1-4 Youth Population by Sex 9

Figure 1-5 Youth Population by Race 9

Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Referrals 10

Table 2-1 Source of Referrals 11

Figure 2-1 Referrals by Referral Type 11

Figure 2-2 Referrals by Sex 12

Figure 2-3 Referrals by Race 12

Figure 2-4 Referrals by Age 12

Figure 2-5 Youth Referral Trend 13

Figure 2-6 Youth Referrals by Sex 13

Figure 2-7 Youth Referrals by Race 13

Figure 2-8 Youth Referrals by Action Taken 16

Figure 2-9 Youth Referrals by Disposition 16

Section 3: Law Violation Referrals 17

Table 3-1 Source of Law Violation Referrals 17

Figure 3-1 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level 17

Figure 3-2 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Sex 18

Figure 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Race 18

Figure 3-4 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Age 18

Table 3–2 Top Law Violation Referrals 19

Table 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Major Allegation and Age Group 20

Figure 3-5 Law Violation Referrals by Action Taken 21

Figure 3-6 Law Violation Referrals by Disposition 21

Section 4: Status Offense Referrals 22

Table 4-1 Source of Status Offense Referrals 22

Figure 4-1 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation 22

Figure 4-2 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Sex 23

Figure 4-3 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Race 23

Figure 4-4 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Age 24

Figure 4-5 Status Offense Referrals by Action Taken 25
Figure 4-6 Status Offense Referrals by Disposition 25
Section 5: Child Abuse and Neglect Referrals 26
Table 5-1 Source of CA/N Referrals 26
Figure 5-1 CA/N Referrals by Allegation Type 26
Figure 5-2 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Sex 27
Figure 5-3 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Race 27
Figure 5-4 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Age 27
Figure 5-5 CA/N Referrals by Action Taken 28
Figure 5-6 CA/N Referrals by Disposition 28
Section 6: Assessment & Classification 29
Figure 6-1 Risk by Level 30
Figure 6-2 Risk by Sex 30
Figure 6-3 Risk by Race 30
Table 6-1 Risk Factors 31
Table 6-2 Needs Factors 32
Section 7: Detention Services 34
Table 7-1 Youth Population August 31, 2017 35
Figure 7-1 Total Admissions by Sex 35
Figure 7-2 Total Admissions by Race 36
Figure 7-3 Total Admissions by Sex and Race 36
Figure 7-4 Total Admissions by Age Group 36
Figure 7-5 Total Admissions by Sex and Age Group 37
Figure 7-6 Total Admissions by Race and Age Group 37
Figure 7-7 Average Daily Population by Sex 37
Figure 7-8 Average Daily Population by Race 38
Figure 7-9 Average Daily Population by Sex and Race 38
Figure 7-10 Average Daily Population by Age Group 38
Figure 7-11 Median and Average Length of Stay by Sex 39
Figure 7-12 Median and Average Length of Stay by Race 39
Figure 7-13 Average Length of Stay by Sex and Race 39
Figure 7-14 Median Length of Stay by Sex and Race 40
Figure 7-15 Median and Average Length of Stay by Age Group 40
Section 8: Division of Youth Services Commitments 41
Figure 8-1 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex and Race 41
Figure 8-2 Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Group 41

Figure 8-3 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex, Race, and Age Group 42
Section 9: Certification to Adult Court 43
Figure 9-1 Certifications by Sex 43
Figure 9-2 Certifications by Race 43
Figure 9-3 Certifications by Age 43
Figure 9-4 Certification Trends by Race 44
Section 10: Juvenile Offender Recidivism 45
Figure 10-1 Missouri Youth Offender Population 46
Figure 10-2 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (All Law) 46
Figure 10-3 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Misd A or Felony) 46
Figure 10-4 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Felony) 47
Figure 10-5 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Year 47
Figure 10-6 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Sex 47
Figure 10-7 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Race 48
Figure 10-8 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Circuit Type 48
Figure 10-9 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Age 48
Section 11: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) 49
Table 11-1: Identifying the Numerical Bases for Rate Calculations 50
Table 11-2: Relative Rate Index (RRI) Values 50
Table 11-3: 2016 Statewide Relative Rate Indices 51
Figure 11-1 Six-Year Trend of Statewide RRI for Referrals of Black Youth 51
Section 12: Juvenile Officer Workload 52
Table 12-1 Workload Values per Year from Juvenile Officer Workload Study (2013) 53
Table 12-2: Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload 54
Section 13: CA/N Time Standards 55
Table 13-1 CA/N Hearings Held Timely 56
Appendices 58
Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County 58
Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County 61
Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County 64
Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County 67
Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County 70
Appendix F: Type of Referral by Circuit and County 72
Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County 75
Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County 78
Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County 81

Appendix J: Administrative Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County 84
Appendix K: Out of Home Placements by Circuit 86
Appendix L: In Home Services by Circuit 87
Appendix M: Commitments to DYS by Circuit, Race, and Sex 88
Appendix N: Certification to Adult Court by Circuit, Race, and Sex 89
Appendix O: Map of Missouri's 46 Judicial Circuits 90

Introduction

The *Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report* provides a comprehensive account of both case activity and youth served for calendar 2017. This report presents general population data for Missouri youth; summary statistics on the youth referred for status, law, and abuse and neglect to Missouri's juvenile division; the risk and needs characteristics of the juvenile offender population; detention and DYS populations; recidivism rates; certifications of juveniles to adult court; disproportionate minority contact rates; Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload; and time standards for child abuse and neglect cases.

The *Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report* is not possible without the help of Missouri's juvenile and family court staff. It is their commitment to improving outcomes for court involved youth and their families that ensures the integrity of the information reported here.

Section 1: Missouri's Youth Population

Section 1 describes the 2016 population of Missouri's youth (ages 10-17). This description provides a useful context for considering subsequent sections of the report related to a subset of youth involved with juvenile and family court divisions in Missouri [Source: Missouri Census Data Center].



Figure 1-1 Youth Population
In CY16, Missouri's youth population, ages 10-17, was 628,220. This represents less than a 1% decrease from the previous year; and a 4% decrease from 2008.



Figure 1-2 Projected Youth Population
Population projections, compiled in 2008 for the Missouri youth population, suggested it would decrease until approximately 2015 at which time the population will increase at an average rate of nearly 2.5% every 5 years until 2030.



Figure 1-3 Youth Population by Age and Sex
In CY16, males outnumbered females across all age groups in Missouri's population of 10-17 year old youths.

Figure 1-4 Youth Population by Sex

In CY16, 51% [321,508] of Missouri's youth population was male and 49% [306,712] was female. These percentages have not changed over the last three years.



Figure 1-5 Youth Population by Race

Between CY15 and CY16, the population of Asian / Pacific Islander youth increased by 0.03% to 14,949, and the population of Hispanic youth increased by 0.04% to 38,434 over the previous year. The population of Native American youth decreased by 2% to 3,472. The population of black youth stayed relatively the same, with 93,127, while the population of white youth also stayed relatively the same from the previous year with 478,238.



Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Referrals

The Missouri Juvenile Officer Performance Standards (2017) define a juvenile and family division referral as *"the initial information provided to the juvenile officer from the referring agency inclusive of the identifying information and basis for the jurisdiction of the juvenile court."* For the purpose of annual reporting, unless otherwise indicated, disposed referrals represent the unit of measurement, not individual youth. A disposition refers to the outcome or finding of a referral [see pages 14-15 for details about how these dispositions are reported in Missouri's Judicial Information System (JIS)].

The juvenile and family division is responsible for processing and supervising four referral types:

- **Status Offenses:** Status offense referrals include Behavior Injurious to Self/Others, Habitually Absent from Home, Truancy, Beyond Parental Control, and Status-Other. **Note:** The following offenses were also counted as Status Offenses: Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations, which are those municipal ordinance violations that are explicitly labeled with "JUVMUNI" in the charge code (http://www.mshp.dps.missouri.gov/MSHPWeb/PatrolDivisions/CRID/documents/2016JuvenileChargeCodes.pdf).

- **Law Offenses:** Law offense referrals include all criminal violations listed in the Missouri Charge Code Manual, including infraction and ordinance violations, except Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations.

- **Child Abuse and Neglect (CA/N):** CA/N referrals are allegations of child abuse or neglect where the child is the victim or custody related matters are an issue. Abuse referrals include Abuse-Emotional, Abuse-Incest, Abuse-Other Sexual, and Physical Abuse. Neglect referrals include Abandonment, Neglect-Education, Neglect-Improper Care/Supervision, Neglect-Medical Care, Neglect-Surgical Care, and Neglect-Other. Custody referrals include Abduction, Protective Custody, Transfer of Custody, Termination of Parental Rights, and Relief of Custody.

- **Administrative:** Administrative referrals include Violation of Valid Court Order, Juvenile Informal Supervision/Technical Violation, and Juvenile Formal Supervision/Technical Violation.[1]

Section 2 presents information on disposed referrals at the state level for the juvenile and family division in calendar 2017.

[1] Counts of Administrative referrals throughout this report include these additional violations: Prob / Parole Violation and Probation Violation (Municipal Ordinance). While not sanctioned for use on juvenile referrals, circuits have used these charge codes in calendar 2017.

Table 2-1 Source of Referrals

Referrals to Missouri's juvenile and family division originate from a variety of sources. In CY17, 45% of all referrals originated from some type of law enforcement agency (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement), primarily municipal police [37%]. The Children's Division of Missouri's Department of Social Services accounted for 20% of all referrals. An additional 20% of referrals occurred at schools (School Personnel and Resource Officer).

Missing Data [538].

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	17,990	37.3%
Children's Division	9,805	20.3%
School Personnel	7,661	15.9%
County Sheriff	3,427	7.1%
Juv Court Personnel	2,662	5.5%
School Resource Officer	2,099	4.4%
Parent	1,773	3.7%
Other	1,389	2.9%
Other Juv Court	385	0.8%
Private Social Agency	285	0.6%
Other Law Enforcement	224	0.5%
Highway Patrol	191	0.4%
Other Relative	187	0.4%
Public Social Agency	74	0.2%
Victim or Self	69	0.1%
DMH	14	0.0%
Grand Total	**48,235**	**100.00 %**

Figure 2-1 Referrals by Referral Type

In CY17, a total of 48,773 referrals were disposed. The largest percentage [36%, 17,584] was for law violations. The rest of the referrals were divided between abuse / neglect allegations [32%, 15,419], status offenses [28%, 13,745], and administrative offenses [4%, 1,964].

Missing Data [61].

Note: Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations are included with status referrals.





Figure 2-2 Referrals by Sex
For all the disposed referrals in CY17, males were responsible for 61% [29,597] and females for the remaining 39% [19,101].
Missing Data [75]



Figure 2-3 Referrals by Race
Approximately, 71% [34,325] of all disposed referrals were for white youth and 26% [12,530] for black youth. Hispanic youth accounted for 2.5% [1,188], Asian / Pacific Islander youth accounted for .5% [238], and Native American youth accounted for 0.3% [124].
Missing Data [368].

Total Referrals by Age

Age	Frequency	Percentage
< 10	11,421	23.4
10	1,607	3.3
11	2,210	4.5
12	3,195	6.6
13	4,710	9.7
14	6,683	13.7
15	8,266	17.0
16	9,321	19.1
> = 17	1,334	2.7
Grand Total	**48,747**	**100.0 %**

Figure 2-4 Referrals by Age
The youngest age group, under 10 years, was responsible for 23.4% [11,421] of all referrals. Youth aged 16, were responsible for the next largest proportion, 19.1% of referrals [9,321], followed by youth aged 15, [17%, 8,266] and youth aged 14 [13.7%, 6,683].
Missing Data [26]

Figure 2-5 Youth Referral Trend
The total number of disposed referrals declined by 27% from 2008 to 2017. The trend shows the greatest decrease in delinquency referrals (-50%). While status referrals decreased (-20%), CA/N referrals increased (24%), and administrative referrals decreased (-4%) over that period. Since last year, there was a decrease in status referrals (-7%), CA/N referrals (-8%), law referrals (-7%), and administrative referrals (-6%).



Figure 2-6 Youth Referrals by Sex
Disposed referrals declined more for males (-30%) than for females (-21%) from 2008 to 2017. Between 2016 and 2017, the number of referrals of males declined (-8%) and referrals of females declined (-6%).



Figure 2-7 Youth Referrals by Race
From 2008-2017, disposed referrals of white youth declined (-23%), referrals of black youth declined (-36%), referrals of Hispanic youth remained steady (0% change), referrals of Asian / Pacific Islander youth increased (4%), and referrals of Native American youth increased (33%).

Note: Asian / Pacific Islander and Native American youth are not displayed to maintain readability.



The juvenile and family division responds to referrals either through a formal or informal process. Through the formal process, a juvenile officer files a petition in the juvenile and family division to have a judge hear and determine the outcome of the allegations contained in the petition. Through the informal process, a juvenile officer determines the disposition of the allegations contained in the referral without filing a petition seeking formal judicial jurisdiction. The following referral dispositions are recorded on the ***Site Defined (COASITE)*** form of the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** of JIS.

Formal Dispositions:

Allegation True, Youth Receives Out-of-Home Placement – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth is placed out-of-home with the Division of Youth Services (DYS), in foster care, with a relative, or with a private or public agency. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation True, Youth Receives In-Home Services – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth receives services while remaining in his or her home. This disposition requires the youth to receive supervision through the juvenile division. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation True, No Services – A judicial action finding the allegation true; however, the youth receives no services or supervision. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation Not True – A judicial action which results in the termination of a juvenile case during the initial juvenile division hearing because the allegation is found not true. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Sustain Motion to Dismiss – A judicial action which results in a motion to dismiss the petition before the initial division hearing. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Juvenile Certified – Felony Allegation - A judicial action sustaining a motion to dismiss a petition to the juvenile division and allow prosecution of youth under the general law. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Informal Dispositions:

Informal Adjustment with Supervision: Any informal non-judicial activity that occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference and the relevant contact standards contained in the Missouri Juvenile Officer Performance Standards. This disposition requires completion of the risk and needs assessment when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = VIAWS]

Informal Adjustment without Supervision: Any informal non-judicial activity that occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference. Although services may be monitored, this disposition does not include direct supervision of a youth in accordance with the Missouri Juvenile Officer Performance Standards. However, because the disposition is applied on the basis of an informal adjustment conference, completion of the mandated risk and needs assessments is required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = VIANS]

Informal Adjustment, Counseled and Warned: Any informal non-judicial activity that entails no more than brief face-to-face, telephone, or warning letter with the intent to inform, counsel, and warn the youth and/or family regarding a referral received. No official informal adjustment conference, per Supreme Court Rule is held; therefore completion of the mandated risk or needs assessments is not required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = DVCAW]

Transfer to Other Juvenile Division: A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another juvenile division for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DVTJC]

Transfer to Other Agency: A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another agency (CD, DMH, DYS, or other public or private agency) for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DVTA]

Referral Rejected: The referral is rejected because there is insufficient information for administrative action to proceed or the referral is found not true. No informal adjustment conference is conducted and no assessments are required. [JIS Docket = DVRIE – Insufficient information; DVRNT – Not True]



Figure 2-8 Youth Referrals by Action Taken

In CY17, 75% [36,295] of all referrals were disposed through the informal process. Only 25% [11,923] of referrals required formal court intervention.

Missing Data [555]



Figure 2-9 Youth Referrals by Disposition

Informal Adjustment, No Action [18%, 8,649] was the most frequently used method of disposing referrals, followed closely by Informal Adjustment, With Supervision [17%, 8,073]. Allegation True with Out-of-Home Placement [16%, 7,621] was the most frequently applied formal disposition, followed by referrals where supervision was applied as an in-home service [5%, 2,584].

Missing Data [555]

Section 3: Law Violation Referrals

Section 3 describes law violation referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. Law violation referrals made up 36% of all referrals disposed in calendar 2017. A law violation referral is counted as a single delinquent act represented by the most serious allegation charged (misdemeanor or higher). However, multiple delinquent acts may be associated with a single referral. **Note:** Infractions and municipal ordinances are included under law violations. Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

Table 3-1 Source of Law Violation Referrals

The source of 79% of law violation referrals was some form of law enforcement agency (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement), primarily municipal police [67%] and county sheriff departments [11%]. Schools were the second highest referring agency [15%] (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined).

Missing Data [74]

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	11,652	66.5 %
County Sheriff	1,912	10.9 %
School Resource Officer	1,500	8.6 %
School Personnel	1,109	6.3 %
Juv Court Personnel	358	2.0 %
Children's Division	241	1.4 %
Other Law Enforcement	158	0.9 %
Highway Patrol	141	0.8 %
Other Juv Court	130	0.7 %
Parent	127	0.7 %
Other	111	0.6 %
Victim or Self	41	0.2 %
Other Relative	17	0.0 %
Public Social Agency	8	0.0 %
Private Social Agency	4	0.0 %
DMH	1	0.0 %
Grand Total	**17,510**	**100.00 %**

Figure 3-1 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level

Class A misdemeanors accounted for most of the law referrals [40%, 6,977], followed by Class B misdemeanors [14%, 2,497]. Felonies represented about 1/4 of law referrals, with Class D being the most common type of felony referral [10%, 1,736]. Four percent of all law violations were for Class A and B felonies [342 & 404].

Missing Data [0]





Figure 3-2 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Sex

Law violations at the misdemeanor level were the most common allegation for both male and female offenders. However, within sex, the percentage of referrals for misdemeanors was higher for females [80%, 4,002] than for males [68%, 8,520]. Conversely, males were referred at a higher rate [28%, 3,513] for felonies than were females [17%, 845]. Missing Data [25]



Figure 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Race

Misdemeanor was the most common charge for all law violations. However, within race, the percentage of felony referrals was higher for black youth [28%, 1,618] than white youth [23%, 2,594], Hispanic youth [24%, 97], Asian / Pacific Islander youth [27%, 25], or Native American youth [14%, 4]. Missing Data [66]



Figure 3-4 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Age

Youth aged 15 and 16 years old were responsible for the largest number of misdemeanors and the largest number of felonies. However, youth aged 11 were proportionally the most likely to commit misdemeanors (80% of their violations), while youth aged 17 and older were proportionally the most likely to commit felonies (40% of their violations). Missing Data [6]

Table 3–2 Top Law Violation Referrals

Violations for Assault, Stealing, Property Damage, Dangerous Drugs, and Peace Disturbance accounted for the majority [70%] of major allegations on law referrals.

Missing Data [0]

Top Law Violations CY17	Frequency	Percentage
Assault	4,511	25.65%
Stealing	3,039	17.28%
Property Damage	1,952	11.10%
Dangerous Drugs	1,651	9.39%
Peace Disturbance	1,232	7.01%
Sexual Assault	777	4.42%
Burglary	592	3.37%
Invasion of Privacy	554	3.15%
*Municipal Violations	491	2.79%
Liquor Laws	449	2.55%
Weapons	357	2.03%
Obscenity	258	1.47%
Obstructing Police	256	1.46%
Sex Offenses	218	1.24%
Robbery	205	1.17%
Public Order Crimes	189	1.07%
Health and Safety	138	0.78%
Obstructing Judicial Process	134	0.76%
Threats	119	0.68%
Arson	108	0.61%
Motor Vehicle Violations	80	0.45%
Fraud	79	0.45%
Stolen Property	49	0.28%
Conservation	32	0.18%
Homicide	24	0.14%
Other	24	0.14%
Forgery	21	0.12%
Flight/Escape	19	0.11%
Family Offenses	18	0.10%
Kidnapping	8	0.05%
Grand Total	**17,584**	**100.00 %**

Note: Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

Table 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Major Allegation and Age Group

Fifty-two percent of all juvenile law violation referrals were committed by youth aged 15 and 16. These youth were responsible for 71% of homicides, 71% of drug charges, 69% of robberies, 57% of stealing referrals and 50% of kidnappings. Only promoting obscenity, sex offenses, and public order crimes were committed at a higher rate by youth between the ages of 13 and 14. Of note, juveniles under 12 had the highest rate of arson across all age groups (43%). Missing Data [6]

Major Allegation	Age Range									Total
	<10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	> =17	
Assault	189	124	241	443	624	883	945	987	74	**4,510**
Stealing	47	46	109	228	324	459	693	1,053	80	**3,039**
Property Damage	81	51	75	135	219	345	463	527	56	**1,952**
Dangerous Drugs	1	2	13	39	114	231	400	768	83	**1,651**
Peace Disturbance	39	25	62	111	188	253	274	268	12	**1,232**
Sexual Assault	37	12	34	60	108	136	147	141	100	**775**
Burglary	10	6	7	29	75	117	157	159	32	**592**
Invasion of Privacy	10	5	14	27	57	113	143	166	19	**554**
Municipal Violations	9	8	14	21	56	95	124	158	6	**491**
Liquor Laws	4	0	2	9	23	69	124	193	25	**449**
Weapons	11	12	16	28	37	51	92	99	11	**357**
Obscenity	1	1	6	31	52	63	61	33	9	**257**
Obstructing Police	3	2	6	11	27	44	64	93	6	**256**
Sex Offenses	13	3	8	16	24	49	39	32	32	**216**
Robbery	0	0	0	4	21	30	50	92	8	**205**
Public Order Crimes	15	3	7	23	37	38	26	36	4	**189**
Health & Safety	3	3	3	8	24	30	31	33	3	**138**
Obstructing Judicial Process	0	1	1	4	11	30	44	38	5	**134**
Threats	4	4	4	15	16	25	29	21	1	**119**
Arson	12	7	9	18	12	16	12	21	1	**108**
Motor Vehicle Violations	0	0	0	2	10	23	27	17	1	**80**
Fraud	0	0	1	5	12	18	18	22	3	**79**
Stolen Property	0	0	0	1	5	7	14	19	3	**49**
Conservation	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	16	1	**32**
Homicide	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	8	2	**24**
Other	0	0	0	3	2	1	7	10	1	**24**
Forgery	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	12	2	**21**
Flight/Escape	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	4	**19**
Family Offenses	4	0	2	0	3	1	2	5	1	**18**
Kidnapping	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	1	**8**
Grand Total	**493**	**315**	**634**	**1,272**	**2,083**	**3,142**	**4,015**	**5,038**	**586**	**17,578**

Note: Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

Figure 3-5 Law Violation Referrals by Action Taken

Eighty-four percent [14,642] of law violation referrals were disposed through the informal court process. The remaining 16% required formal court intervention [2,854].

Missing Data [88]



Figure 3-6 Law Violation Referrals by Disposition

The most frequently used methods of disposing law violation referrals were Informal Adjustment with Supervision [20%, 3,515], and Referral Rejected [20%, 3,501]. Allegation Found True With In-Home Services was the most frequently applied formal disposition [8%, 1,407], followed by Allegation Found True-Out-of-Home Placement [5%, 819]. Less than 1% [60] of referrals resulted in petitions for Certification to Adult Court.

Missing Data [88]



Section 4: Status Offense Referrals

Section 4 describes status offense referrals disposed by the juvenile and family division. Status offense referrals made up 28% of all referrals in calendar 2017. A status violation referral is counted as a single behavioral act represented by the most serious allegation charged. However, multiple status offense acts may be associated with a single referral. **Note:** Juvenile Municipal Ordinances are included in Status Offenses.

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	5,102	37.1 %
School Personnel	4,643	33.8 %
County Sheriff	1,105	8.0 %
Parent	1,090	7.9 %
School Resource Officer	528	3.8 %
Children's Division	521	3.8 %
Juv Court Personnel	346	2.5 %
Other	88	0.6 %
Other Relative	87	0.6 %
Other Juv Court	70	0.5 %
Other Law Enforcement	52	0.4%
Private Social Agency	38	0.3%
Highway Patrol	30	0.2%
Public Social Agency	22	0.2%
Victim or self	9	0.0%
DMH	6	0.0%
Grand Total	**13,737**	**100.0**

Table 4-1 Source of Status Offense Referrals

Forty-six percent of status violation referrals originated from some form of law enforcement agency (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement), primarily municipal police [37%] and county sheriff departments [8%]. Schools [38%] were the second highest referring agency (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined), followed by parents [8%] and Children's Division [4%]. Missing Data [8]



Figure 4-1 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation

Behavior Injurious to Self or Others [32%, 4,460] was the most frequent status offense for which youth were referred, followed closely by Truancy [24%, 3,295]. Muni-Curfew constitutes 5% of status offense referrals, while the remaining Juvenile Municipal Ordinance charges combined account for less than 1% of all status offense referrals. Missing data [0].

Figure 4-2 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Sex

Behavior Injurious to Self/Others was the most common allegation for both males [36%, 2,889] and females [28%, 1,570]. An approximately equal percentage of males [16%, 1,235] and females [15%, 845] were referred for Beyond Parental Control. Males were more likely than females to be referred for all of the allegations listed except being Habitually Absent from Home. Being Habitually Absent from home accounted for [26%, 1,468] for females allegations and [16%, 1261] of male allegations. Missing Data [0]

Note: Due to space constraints, only Muni-Curfew is included.



Figure 4-3 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Race

Behavior Injurious to Self/Others was the most common reason to be referred for White [34%, 3,485], Hispanic [31%, 110], and Native American [41%, 15] youth. Black youth were most frequently referred for Habitually Absent from Home [32%, 955]. Missing Data [70]

Note: Due to space constraints, only Muni-Curfew is included.



Figure 4-4 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Age

Behavior Injurious to Self/Others was the most common type of referral for youth aged 12 and younger [48%, 1,534], 13-14 [31%. 1,309] and 17 and older [36%, 109]. Youth aged 15-16 years were most commonly referred for being Habitually Absent from Home [26%, 1,552].

Missing Data [2]

	Age Range								
	< 10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	>=17
Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	501	245	321	467	569	740	769	738	109
Truancy	202	61	119	271	462	655	718	763	44
Status Offense - Other	46	15	34	44	55	63	87	101	19
Beyond Parental Control	132	73	158	209	296	351	429	413	18
Habitually Absent From Home	32	30	59	115	297	542	752	800	102
Muni – Curfew	2	2	7	27	77	108	210	204	9
Muni-Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	0	0	1	1	11	12	10	10	0
Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Muni - Smoking In Public Place	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Muni - Other Violation	0	0	0	1	3	5	2	10	1
Grand Total	**915**	**427**	**699**	**1,135**	**1,770**	**2,476**	**2,979**	**3,040**	**302**

Figure 4-5 Status Offense Referrals by Action Taken
The vast majority of status offense referrals [92%, 12,597] were disposed through the informal process, leaving only 8% [1,138] to be disposed through the formal court process.
Missing Data [10]



Figure 4-6 Status Offense Referrals by Disposition
Informal Adjustment, Counseled and Warned [28%, 3,827] was the most frequently used method for disposing status referrals, followed by Informal Adjustment without Supervision [22%, 3,004]. Allegation True with In-Home Services was the most frequently applied formal disposition [4%, 491].
Missing Data [10]



Section 5: Child Abuse and Neglect Referrals

Section 5 describes child abuse and neglect (CA/N) referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. CA/N referrals made up 32% of all referrals in calendar 2017. A CA/N referral is counted as a single event, represented by the most serious allegation where a youth is the victim. However, a youth may be the victim of multiple incidences of abuse and/or neglect at the time at which they are referred.

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percent
Children's Division	9,007	60.0 %
School Personnel	1,690	11.3 %
Other	1,155	7.7 %
Municipal Police	1,027	6.8 %
Juv Court Personnel	697	4.6 %
Parent	523	3.5 %
County Sheriff	395	2.6 %
Other Juv Court	182	1.2 %
Private Social Agency	153	1.0 %
Other Relative	65	0.4 %
School Resource Officer	63	0.4 %
Highway Patrol	20	0.1 %
Other Law Enforcement	11	0.0 %
Victim or Self	9	0.0 %
Public Social Agency	8	0.0 %
DMH	6	0.0 %
Total	**15,011**	**100 %**

Table 5-1 Source of CA/N Referrals

The source of 60% of all CA/N referrals was Children's Division (CD) of Missouri's Department of Social Services (DSS). Law enforcement agencies (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement were responsible for 9.5% of the referrals. Approximately, 12% of the referrals originated from schools (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined).

Missing Data [408]



Figure 5-1 CA/N Referrals by Allegation Type

Neglect–Improper Care / Supervision represented nearly half [45%, 6,883] of all CA/N referrals, followed by Neglect-Other [12%, 1,915] and Neglect-Education [12%, 1,773].

Missing Data [0]

Figure 5-2 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Sex

Within sex, the percentage of neglect related referrals was slightly greater for males [73%, 5,507] than for females [69%, 5,400]. Conversely, referrals for abuse were greater for females [19%, 1,458] compared with their male counterparts [15%, 1,140].

Missing Data [44]



Figure 5-3 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Race

Neglect was the most common type of referral for all youth [71%, 10,788]. All minority youth groups were proportionately more likely than white youth to be referred for abuse. Proportionately, Native American youth were the most likely minority group to be referred for abuse [28%, 16].

Missing Data [227]

Race/Ethnicity	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
White	1,773	1,356	8,333	11,462
Black	676	442	2,143	3,261
Hispanic	90	33	228	351
Asian / Pacific Islander	14	1	45	60
Native American	16	3	39	58
Grand Total	**2,569**	**1,835**	**10,788**	**15,192**

Figure 5-4 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Age

The vast majority of abuse, neglect, and custody referrals were for youth 10 years of age and younger [65%, 9,986]. Neglect [71%, 10,929] was the most frequently reported allegation for all age groups.

Missing Data [18]

Age	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
< 10	1,448	1,349	7,189	9,986
10	137	84	641	862
11	146	75	629	850
12	141	80	519	740
13	156	64	490	710
14	189	67	487	743
15	145	65	428	638
16	180	63	393	636
> = 17	57	26	153	236
Grand Total	**2,599**	**1,873**	**10,929**	**15,401**



Figure 5-5 CA/N Referrals by Action Taken

Fifty-one percent of CA/N referrals were disposed through the informal court process [7,664]. The remaining 49% [7,347] of referrals were handled through formal court process.

Missing Data [408]



Figure 5-6 CA/N Referrals by Disposition

Allegation True, Out-of-home Placement was the most frequently applied disposition [41%, 6,210] to CA/N referrals, followed by Referral Rejected [14%, 2,039] and Informal Adjustment, No Action [12%, 1,828].

Missing Data [411]

Section 6: Assessment & Classification

In 1995, the Missouri General Assembly passed the Juvenile Crime and Crime Prevention Bill [HB 174]. The bill was aimed at reshaping Missouri's juvenile justice system through the development of a comprehensive juvenile justice strategy. As part of the strategy, the Office of State Courts Administrator was charged with coordinating an effort to design and implement a standardized assessment process for classifying juvenile offenders. The result of this effort was the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System.

The Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System includes an empirically validated risk assessment for estimating a youthful offender's relative likelihood of future delinquency and a classification matrix that links the level of risk and offense severity to a recommended set of graduated sanctions. The system also includes a needs assessment for identifying the underlying psychosocial needs of youth.

Since its inception, the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification system has helped Missouri's juvenile justice professionals to ensure public safety and promote statewide consistency in the services and supervision of youthful offenders.

Section 6 presents information on juveniles with referrals, disposed during calendar year 2017, who had risk and needs assessments entered on the ***Custom Assessment Maintenance (CZAASMT)*** form of JIS. When a referral has more than one associated risk/needs assessment(s), the highest score is reported. When a referral is not associated with any risk/needs assessment(s) in the reporting year, the score associated with the risk/needs assessment that was completed on the nearest date before or after the initial filing date of the referral is the one that is reported, regardless of the year the assessment was completed. Figures 6-1 to 6-3 provide risk level information with Tables 6-1 and 6-2 providing information about the prevalence of individual risk factors. **

***Readers should refer to Missouri's Juvenile Offender Risk & Needs Assessment and Classification System Manual (2005) for the operational definitions of risk and needs factors.*



Figure 6-1 Risk by Level
The majority of youth [63%, 8,346] scored at moderate risk for future delinquent acts on risk assessments in CY17. The remaining youth scored at low [23%, 3,078] or high risk levels [14%, 1,803]. Missing Data [0]



Figure 6-2 Risk by Sex
Proportionately, more male youth [15%, 1,346] were assessed high risk than females [11%, 457]. Females [26%, 1,113] were more likely than their male counterparts [22%, 1,958] to be assessed low risk. Both male [5,618] and female [2,722] youth were assessed moderate risk 63% of the time.
Missing Data [13]



Figure 6-3 Risk by Race
Proportionately, more black youth [19%, 583] were assessed high risk than white youth [16%, 1,176]. White youth [25%, 2,419] were more likely than their black counterparts [18%, 536] to be assessed low risk.
Missing Data [54]

Table 6-1 Risk Factors

Age at First Referral	Frequency	Percentage
12 and under	4,894	37.0 %
13	2,160	16.3 %
14	2,258	17.1 %
15	2,043	15.4 %
16	1,822	13.8 %
Prior Referrals	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
None	6,275	47.4 %
One or more	6,902	52.2 %
Assault Referrals	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No prior or present referrals for assault	9,677	73.2 %
One or more prior or present referrals for misdemeanor assault	2,984	22.6 %
One or more prior or present referrals for felony assault	516	3.9 %
History of Placement	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No prior out-of-home placement	9,492	71.8 %
Prior out-of-home placement	3,685	27.9 %
Peer Relationships	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Neutral influence	7,018	53.1 %
Negative influence	5,071	38.3 %
Strong negative influence	1,088	8.2 %
History of Child Abuse/Neglect	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No history of child abuse/neglect	10,255	77.5 %
History of child abuse/neglect	2,922	22.1 %
Substance Abuse	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No alcohol or drug abuse problem is apparent	9,928	75.1 %
Moderate alcohol and/or drug abuse problem	2,815	21.3 %
Severe alcohol and/or drug abuse/dependence	434	3.3 %
School Attendance/Disciplinary	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No or only minor problems	5,753	43.5 %
Moderate problems	5,443	41.2 %
Severe problems	1,981	15.0 %
Parental Management Style	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Effective management style	6,090	46.0 %
Moderately effective management style	5,660	42.8 %
Severely ineffective management style	1,427	10.8 %
Parental History of Incarceration	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No prior incarceration	9,261	70.0 %
Prior incarceration	3,916	29.6 %

Table 6-2 Needs Factors

Behavior Problems	Frequency	Percentage
No significant behavior problem	4,948	38.6 %
Moderate behavior problem	5,917	46.2 %
Severe behavior problem	1,885	14.7 %
Attitude	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Motivated to change/accepts responsibility	8,297	64.7 %
Generally uncooperative, defensive, not motivated to change	3,629	28.3 %
Very negative attitude, defiant, and resistant to change	824	6.4 %
Interpersonal Skills	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Good interpersonal skills	7,987	62.3 %
Moderately impaired interpersonal skills	4,266	33.3 %
Severely impaired interpersonal skills	497	3.8 %
Peer Relationships	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Neutral influence	6,649	51.9 %
Negative Influence	5,028	39.2 %
Strong negative Influence	1,073	8.4 %
History of Child Abuse/Neglect	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No history child abuse/neglect	9,875	77.1 %
History of child abuse/neglect	2,875	22.4 %
Mental Health	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No mental health disorder	8,735	68.2 %
Mental health disorder with treatment	3,286	25.6 %
Mental health disorder with no treatment	729	5.7 %
Substance Abuse	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No alcohol or drug abuse problem is apparent	9,496	74.1 %
Moderate alcohol and/or drug abuse problem	2,839	22.2 %
Severe alcohol and/or drug abuse/dependence	415	3.2 %
School Attendance	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No or only minor problems	5,522	43.1 %
Moderate problems	5,295	41.4 %
Severe problems	1,933	15.1 %
Academic Performance	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Passing without difficulty	6,541	51.0 %
Functioning below average	4,412	34.4 %
Failing	1,797	14.0 %
Learning Disorder	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No diagnosed learning disorder	10,962	85.5 %
Diagnosed learning disorder	1,788	14.0 %

Needs Factors (Cont.)		
Employment	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Full-time employment	479	3.7 %
Part-time employment	654	5.0 %
Unemployed	1,744	13.6 %
Juvenile's Parental Responsibility	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No children	12,315	96.1 %
One child	236	1.8 %
Two children	105	0.8 %
Three or more children	94	0.7 %
Health/Handicaps	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No health problems or physical handicaps	12,245	95.6 %
No health problems/handicaps but limited access to health care	153	1.2 %
Mild physical handicap or medical condition	297	2.3 %
Pregnancy	16	0.1 %
Serious physical handicap or medical condition	39	0.3 %
Parental Management Style	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Effective management style	5,899	46.0 %
Moderately ineffective management style	5,399	42.1 %
Severely ineffective management style	1,452	11.3 %
Parental Mental Health	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No parental history of mental health disorder	10,285	80.3 %
Parental history of mental health disorder	2,465	19.2 %
Parental Substance Abuse	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No parental substance abuse	9,918	77.4 %
Parental substance abuse	2,832	22.1 %
Social Support System	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Strong social support system	6,255	48.8 %
Limited support system, with one positive role model	5,162	40.3 %
Weak support system; no positive role models	1,133	8.8 %
Strong negative or criminal influence	200	1.6 %

Section 7: Detention Services

Missouri's juvenile and family division of the circuit court includes 17 detention facilities to house youth in need of secure detention. Juvenile justice personnel identify offenders most in need of secure detention using the objective criteria contained in Missouri's Juvenile Detention Assessment (JDTA). In addition, 16 detention centers participate in the Annie Casey Foundation Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) [highlighted in table 7-1] for calendar 2017. [The 29th and 32nd circuits also participate in JDAI but do not have detention centers.] JDAI is an effort to assist the juvenile and family division with development and use of community-based alternatives to secure detention when detention is determined to be unnecessary or inappropriate. The initiative emphasizes the collection and application of objective data to identify practices that may contribute to over-utilization of secure detention, detention overcrowding, and disproportionate minority confinement.

Section 7 presents admission, discharge, population, and length of stay information entered on the ***Custom Room Facility Assignment (CZAROOM)*** form of JIS for Missouri's secure detention facilities. Depending on the reporting objective, counts are based on admissions or discharges; a single youth may be counted multiple times if they were detained on more than one occasion.

Table 7-1 Youth Population August 31, 2017

Metropolitan circuits [16, 21, & 22] account for 32% of all youth detained in Missouri as of the last day of August 2017.

Note: Non-JDAI sites with detention facilities are shaded.

Youth Population on August 31, 2017		
Circuit	**Population**	**Percent MO Youth Detained**
2	1	0.9 %
5	0	0.0 %
7	5	4.3 %
11	13	11.3 %
13	18	15.7 %
16	18	15.7 %
17	4	3.5 %
19	2	1.7 %
21	9	7.8 %
22	10	8.7 %
23	3	2.6 %
24	4	3.5 %
26	2	1.7 %
31	2	1.7 %
33	5	4.3 %
35	10	8.7 %
44	9	7.8 %
Grand Total	**115**	**100.0 %**

Figure 7-1 Total Admissions by Sex

There were 2,643 admissions to secure detention facilities in CY17. Males [2,090] accounted for 79% of these admissions. Females accounted for the remaining 21% [547].

Missing Data [6]





Figure 7-2 Total Admissions by Race

White youth accounted for 55% [1,463] of admissions to secure detention facilities while black youth accounted for 41% [1,083]. Hispanic youth accounted for 3% [71] of admissions, while Asian / Pacific Islander youth accounted for 1% [15], and Native American youth accounted for 0.0% [6].

Missing Data [4]



Figure 7-3 Total Admissions by Sex and Race

Among male detainees, white males accounted for the largest number of admissions to secure detention facilities [54%, 1,125], followed by black males [43%, 891]. Among female detainees, white females accounted for the largest percentage of admissions to a detention center [62%, 338], followed by black females [35%, 190].

Missing data [3]



Figure 7-4 Total Admissions by Age Group

Youth between the ages of 15 and 16 years accounted for the majority of admissions [64%, 1,691], followed by 13-14 year olds [25%, 655]. The age groups of 12 years or younger [5%, 126] and 17 years or older [6%, 168] accounted for the lowest percentage of admissions across age groups.

Missing Data [2]

Figure 7-5 Total Admissions by Sex and Age Group

Male youth, 15 to 16 years old, represented the greatest number of admissions to detention facilities [51%, 1348].

Missing Data [1]



Figure 7-6 Total Admissions by Race and Age Group

White youth, 15 to 16 years old, represented the greatest number of admissions to detention facilities [35%, 923], followed by black youth of the same age group [27%, 714].

Missing Data [2]



Figure 7-7 Average Daily Population by Sex

The statewide average daily detention population was 126. The vast majority [109, 86%] of these detainees were male.

Missing Data [4]





Figure 7-8 Average Daily Population by Race
The statewide average daily population for black youth [67] in secure detention was greater than all other youth combined [62].
Missing Data [3]



Figure 7-9 Average Daily Population by Sex and Race
Within sex, the statewide average daily detention population was greatest for black males [60]. For the female population, white detainees had the highest average daily detention population [9].
Missing Data [7]



Figure 7-10 Average Daily Population by Age Group
Within age groups, the statewide average daily detention population was greatest for 15-16 year old youth [87], followed by 13-14 year old youth [30]. The average daily population was the lowest for ages 12 and younger [4] and 17 and older [6].
Missing Data [2].

Figure 7-11 Median and Average Length of Stay by Sex

The statewide average length of stay in detention facilities was 19 days for males and 11 days for females. Missing Data [5].



Figure 7-12 Median and Average Length of Stay by Race

Statewide, black youth had the longest average length of stay [23]. Hispanic had the longest median length of stay [8.5]. Missing Data [4]



Figure 7-13 Average Length of Stay by Sex and Race

The statewide average length of stay was longest for black males [25] and Hispanic males [16]. The average length of stay was similar for Asian females, black females, and Hispanic females [14]. Missing Data [6]





Figure 7-14 Median Length of Stay by Sex and Race

The statewide median length of stay was longest for Asian/Pacific Islander females [11] and Native American males [10.5]. Missing Data [6]



Figure 7-15 Median and Average Length of Stay by Age Group

Youth between the age of 15 and 16 years represented the longest average length of stay [18.8]. The average length of stay for the oldest detainees (17 years and older) was the shortest [11.2].

Missing Data [2]

Section 8: Division of Youth Services Commitments

Section 8 presents demographic information on youth committed to the Division of Youth Services (DYS) identified by a docket entry of DDYS – Committed to DYS on the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** form of JIS in calendar 2017. For circuit level information on these commitments, refer to Appendix M. Assuming commitments to DYS are entered into JIS only once for a youth, the count is unduplicated. (**Note:** Docket entries in JIS produce data different from that historically reported by DYS.)

Figure 8-1 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex and Race

There were 518 youths committed to the custody of DYS in CY17. The majority [81%, 419] were male. White youth accounted for 67% [346] of juveniles committed to DYS, while black youth accounted for 31% [159]. The remaining 3% [13] were from other race groups.
Missing Data [0]



Figure 8-2 Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Group

Sixty-eight percent [351] of youth committed to DYS were between the ages of 15 and 16. An additional 23% [121] were between 13-14 years of age. Youth younger than 13 years accounted for 2% [11], while 7% [35] of youth were aged 17 or older.
Missing Data [0]



Sex		Age	Race			
			White	Black	Hispanic	Native American
Male		<=12	8	1	0	0
		13	23	4	2	0
		14	48	20	1	0
		15	66	34	4	0
		16	112	61	2	1
		>=17	17	14	1	0
Female		<=12	1	1	0	0
		13	4	3	1	0
		14	10	4	1	0
		15	26	7	0	0
		16	29	9	0	0
		>=17	2	1	0	0

Figure 8-3 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex, Race, and Age Group

More white males, aged 15-16 years [178], were committed to DYS than all females combined [99].

Missing Data [0]

Section 9: Certification to Adult Court

Section 9 presents demographic information about youth certified to adult court, identified by the docket entry of DJVCA - JUV Certified to Adult Court on the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** form of JIS in calendar 2017. For additional circuit level information about these certifications, refer to Appendix N. Assuming certifications are entered into JIS only once for a youth, the count presented is unduplicated.

Figure 9-1 Certifications by Sex
The statewide total for offenders certified to adult court was 53. Males represented 96% [51] while females represented 4% [2]. Missing Data [0]



Figure 9-2 Certifications by Race
The percentage of offenders certified to adult court was greater for black youth [74%, 39] than for white youth [26%, 14]. Missing Data [0]



Figure 9-3 Certifications by Age
Forty-nine percent [26] of offenders certified to adult courts were 17 years or older. Forty-seven percent [25] were 16 years old. The remaining 4% [2] were 15 years old. Missing Data [0]





Figure 9-4 Certification Trends by Race

The number of offenders certified to adult courts declined between 2010 and 2012 for all races.

In 2013 the number of certifications increased, mostly due to a 26% increase in certifications of black youth. In 2014, the number of white offenders certified declined (43%) while the number of black youth continued to increase (21%). In 2015, the number of white offenders did not change while the number of black offenders decreased by (27%).

In 2016, this switched, because the number of white youth certified increased by 17%, while the number of black youth certified did not change.

On trend with 2015 and 2016, there was minimal change in any racial category in 2017.

Section 10: Juvenile Offender Recidivism

Juvenile divisions across the country are being asked to provide evidence that public funds are used in cost-effective ways to reduce and prevent juvenile crime. For Missouri juvenile divisions to measure progress in this area, the following statewide definition of juvenile offender recidivism was developed through consensus:

"A juvenile offender recidivist is any youth, referred to the juvenile office for a legally sufficient law violation during a calendar year, who receives one or more legally sufficient law violation(s) to the juvenile or adult court within one year of the initial referral's disposition date."

Section 10 presents the demographic and offense characteristics that influenced recidivism rates for the calendar 2016 cohort of Missouri juvenile law offenders who were tracked through calendar 2017 for recidivism.



Figure 10-1 Missouri Youth Offender Population
Approximately 1.5% [9,395] of the 628,220 juveniles aged 10-17 were referred to Missouri's juvenile and family division for legally sufficient law violation referrals in CY16.



Figure 10-2 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (All Law)
Twenty-two percent [2,045] of the 9,395 juvenile law offenders in CY16 recidivated through a new law violation within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.



Figure 10-3 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Misd A or Felony)
Sixteen percent [1,456] of the 9,395 juvenile law offenders in CY16 recidivated either with a new Class A misdemeanor or felony offense within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.

Figure 10-4 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Felony)

Six percent [569] of the 9,395 juvenile law offenders in CY16 recidivated with a felony offense within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.



Figure 10-5 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Year

The percentage of the recidivists with any law violation increased by 0.9% between the 2015 cohort and the 2016 cohort. Additionally, the percent of youth who recidivated with either a Class A misdemeanor or felony increased from 14.9% to 15.5%. The percentage of youth recidivating with a felony increased 1.3%.



Figure 10-6 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Sex

Males [24%] from the CY16 cohort recidivated at a higher rate than their female [16%] counterparts. This holds true for those who recidivated with either Class A misdemeanor or felony offense, as well as for those who recidivated with only a felony offense.





Figure 10-7 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Race

Proportionately, black youth [25%, 696] from the CY16 cohort had a higher rate of recidivism than their other minority counterparts [22%, 71] and white counterparts [20%, 1,274] for all law referrals. The same holds true for referrals for felonies with class A misdemeanors, as well as all felony charges.



Figure 10-8 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Circuit Type

Recidivism from CY16 for all law violations was virtually the same for youth in single-county [22%, 1,068] as for youth in multi-county circuits, [22%, 977]. The percentages were higher for Class A misdemeanors and felonies [18%, 831] in single county circuits than in multi-county circuits [13.9%, 625], and also higher for just felony referrals in single county circuits [8%, 327] than in multi-county circuits [5.4%, 242].



Figure 10-9 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Age

The percentage of recidivism is highest for youth between the ages of 13 and 14 years for all types of offenses, except felony only charges. Proportionally, youth 17 years or older had a higher recidivism rate for felonies [11%, 17].

Section 11: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC)

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Initiative

DMC is one of four core requirements of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended in 2002. All states are required by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) to make efforts to document and reduce DMC.

DMC occurs whenever the overall volume of activity for minority youth at various juvenile justice contact points is disproportionately larger than the volume of activity for white youth at those points. It is important to examine *all* juvenile justice contact points due to the likelihood that minority youth will penetrate deeper into the juvenile justice system as a result of disproportionate minority contact with the system.

The existence of disproportionality does not necessarily mean that minority youth are experiencing disparity (or unequal treatment), because further analysis is needed to determine whether or not disproportionality is a consequence of disparities and/or other contributing mechanisms.

What is a Relative Rate Index (RRI)?

The data analysis of the OJJDP Relative Rate Index (RRI) compares the relative volume of activity (rate) for eight court contact points for each minority youth group with the volume of activity (rate) for the majority group (white youth). It provides a single index number that indicates the extent to which the volume of contact differs.

Because the Relative Rate Index is intended to capture the overall extent of youth involvement with the juvenile justice system, the RRI calculation is based on *cases*, not individual *youth*. If a youth is referred to the juvenile court multiple times during the course of a single year, all of those referrals are included. Therefore, the data provided include ***duplicated*** counts for all court contact points.

Example: The RRI comparing rates of referral to juvenile court:

Rate of Referral for black youth:

# of black youth referred	150	= 0.30 X 1000	= 300
# of black youth in population	500		

Rate of Referral for white youth:

# of white youth referred	200	= 0.04 X 1000	= 40
# of white youth in population	5000		

Relative Rate Calculation for Referrals:

Rate of Referral for black youth	300	= **7.5 RRI**
Rate of Referral for white youth	40	

If the RRI is larger than 1.0, that means that the minority group experiences contact more often than white youth. If it is less than 1.0, that means that contact is less frequent .In this example, the RRI for black referrals is 7.5. This means that black youth are seven and a half times more likely to be referred to the juvenile office than white youth.

With the exception of the first rate (referral), which is calculated using the base of the number of youth in each major racial/ethnic grouping in the general population, each of the subsequent RRIs is calculated based on the volume of activity for that racial/ethnic group in a proceeding stage in the case process. See Table 11-1.

Table 11-1: Identifying the Numerical Bases for Rate Calculations

Decision Stage / Contact Point	Base for Rates
Referrals to Juvenile Court	Rate per 1,000 Population
Referrals Diverted	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Involving Secure Detention	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Petitioned	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Resulting in Delinquency Findings	Rate per 100 Petitions
Referrals Resulting in Supervision / Probation Placement	Rate per 100 Delinquency Findings
Referrals Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Rate per 100 Delinquency Findings
Referrals Transferred to Adult Court	Rate per 100 Petitions Filed

Table 11-2: Relative Rate Index (RRI) Values

Area of Concern	Decision States or Contact Points
More than 1.00	Referrals to Juvenile Court Referrals Involving Secure Detention Referrals Petitioned Referrals Resulting in Delinquency Findings Referrals Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities Referrals Transferred to Adult Court
Less Than 1.00	Referrals Diverted Referrals Resulting in Supervision / Probation Placement

Note: RRI values that cause DMC concern can be greater than 1.00 or less than 1.00.

What Data are Used?

- U.S. Census data for youth ages 10-16 in all counties in Missouri. Seventeen year olds were not included, because they are under the jurisdiction of the adult court.
- Census data from the previous calendar year was used, because the Census population updates for the current year are not available at the time of publication.
- Office of State Courts Administrator delinquency data in the Judicial Information System (JIS). Law violation referrals and status referrals (but not child abuse and neglect referrals) were included.
- Transfers to other juvenile court referrals were not included.

What is a Parity Number?

- This is the number of minority referrals that would need to be reduced for the rate of juvenile justice involvement to be statistically equal for white and minority youth.

Table 11-3: 2016 Statewide Relative Rate Indices

Black youth experienced the largest disproportionality overall. Black youth were over-represented at referral, while Hispanic and Asian /Pacific Islander youth were under-represented at that point. Black youth and Hispanic youth were under-represented at supervision. Black youth also experienced disproportionality at: diversion, secure detention, petition, secure confinement, and certification.

Contact Point	Black	Hispanic	Asian / Pacific Islander	Native American
Referral	2.0	0.4	0.2	
Diversion	0.9			
Secure Detention	1.9			
Petition	1.4			
Adjudication	0.9			
Supervision	0.8	0.2		
Secure Confinement	0.8			
Certification	5.7			

Note: Caution should be used when interpreting the Hispanic data, because race and ethnicity are not separated in JIS. Thus, Hispanic youth are under-counted.

Figure 11-1 Six-Year Trend of Statewide RRI for Referrals of Black Youth

The RRI for referrals of black youth decreased from 2008 to 2011, but it subsequently increased from 2011 to 2013 before declining in 2014 and 2015 and then increasing in 2016. The reason for this is that, although referrals declined for all youth from 2010 to 2016, they did not do so evenly across groups in each year.



The Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload (JOWWL) system is an automated means of estimating the direct service need for additional deputy juvenile officers in Missouri's 35 multi-county circuits. The JOWWL compares the number of staff hours required to screen and process the status, law, and CA/N referrals received by juvenile divisions and to supervise youth in accordance with the ***Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice,*** against the actual number of staff hours available to complete these direct service activities. When workload demand exceeds the number of staff hours available to meet it, a need for additional direct service personnel is projected. The Circuit Court Budget Committee (CCBC) adopted and first used the results of the JOWWL for estimating FTE needs for juvenile officers in fiscal 2004. The CCBC has since used the JOWWL annually for this budgetary purpose. In the Spring of 2013 a new workload study was conducted by the National Center for State Courts, and a new model was delivered January 2014. The new model required new methods of retrieving data from JIS pertaining to different activities conducted in Juvenile Courts, including diversion programs. The old model was used until January 2015 until sufficient data had been collected to calculate an annual workload using the new model.

Example of Workload Estimate for Mock Multi-County Circuit

Annual Case-Specific Workload: Annual total work hours required to service juvenile cases at established standards includes screening, processing and supervising delinquency and CA/N cases, based on workload values identified by the 2013 juvenile officer workload study [Table 12-1].

Example: Mock Circuit, 5,264 hours of direct service work are required to accommodate case management demand.

Staffing Demand: Total number of direct service staff needed to meet Annual Case-Specific Workload. (Annual available work hours per Juvenile Office is 1,316)

Example: Mock Circuit, Total Annual Case-Specific Workload / 1,316 hrs. = Staffing Demand (5,264 /1,316 hrs. = 4.0 direct service staff needed).

Circuit FTE: Total number of direct service staff currently employed by circuit.

Example: Mock Circuit employs 3 direct service staff. Currently this includes all state-paid DJO I & II positions and all full-time staff paid through DYS diversion grant funds.

FTE Need: Additional direct service staff needed to service Total Workload Hours per standards.

Example: Mock Circuit, Staffing Demand – Circuit FTE = FTE Need (4.0 - 3.0 = 1.0 additional direct service staff).

Table 12-1 Workload Values per Year from Juvenile Officer Workload Study (2013)		
Section Name	Column Description	Workload Value (hrs.)
Diversion	Diversion	61.20
Status Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	15.60
	Informal Processing	44.88
	Informal Supervision	24.72
	Formal Processing	49.20
	Formal Supervision: All risk levels	22.56
	Truancy Court	78.72
Law Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	22.80
	Informal Processing	47.04
	Informal Supervision	11.40
	Formal Processing	237.48
	Formal Supervision: All risk levels	40.92
	Juvenile Treatment Court	16.92
CA/N Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	19.44
	Informal Processing	85.80
	Informal Supervision	14.28
	Formal Processing	183.60
	Formal Supervision and out-of-home placement	7.32
	Protections Orders	7.92
	Family Treatment Court	34.80
Termination of Parental Rights	Screening	36.36
	Court Related Activity	27.12
Alternatives to Detention	Alternatives (All Types)	14.52

Table 12-2: Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload



Section 13: CA/N Time Standards

In March 2005, the Supreme Court of Missouri issued an order adopting Court Operating Rule (COR) 23.01, Reporting Requirements for Child Abuse and Neglect Cases, effective July 1, 2005. This COR requires the presiding judge in each circuit to submit a quarterly report (CA/N Quarterly) to OSCA. The CA/N Quarterly Report lists all child abuse and neglect hearings where standards were not met during the quarter. These standards are based on the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 124.01, Rules of Practice and Procedure in Juvenile Divisions and Family Court Divisions of the Circuit, which states that the following hearings shall be held:

1) Within three days, excluding Saturday, Sunday and legal holidays, a protective custody hearing
2) Within 60 days, an adjudication hearing
3) Within 90 days, a dispositional hearing
4) Every 90 to 120 days after the dispositional hearing during the first 12 months in which the juvenile is in the custody of the children's division, a case review hearing
5) Within 12 months and at least annually thereafter, a permanency hearing
6) As often as necessary after each permanency hearing, but at least every six months, during the period in which the juvenile remains in the custody of the children's division, a permanency review hearing.

The data from each circuit are compiled into a final report and submitted to the Supreme Court Chief Justice and the Commission on Retirement, Removal and Discipline.

Table 13-1 CA/N Hearings Held Timely

In FY18, the juvenile and family divisions conducted the required CA/N hearings in a timely fashion. Thirty-nine divisions held 95% or more of their hearings on time; while at the statewide level, 96% of hearings were held timely.

Circuit	Hearings Held	Hearings Held Timely	Percent Held Timely
1	253	253	100%
2	586	586	100%
3	438	438	100%
4	304	304	100%
5	327	325	99%
6	94	94	100%
7	784	751	96%
8	52	52	100%
9	485	465	96%
10	462	408	88%
11	1,199	1,198	100%
12	835	829	99%
13	1,874	1,874	100%
14	556	555	100%
15	395	392	99%
16	7,000	6,069	87%
17	1,190	1,097	92%
18	392	391	100%
19	565	556	98%
20	1,336	1,238	93%
21	3,823	3,524	92%
22	2,541	2,528	99%
23	3,119	3,052	98%
24	2,041	2,035	100%
25	1,906	1,906	100%
26	1,398	1,397	100%
27	550	549	100%
28	531	526	99%
29	1,008	997	99%
30	911	909	100%
31	2,559	2,554	100%
32	1,673	1,646	98%
33	1,043	1,043	100%
34	948	933	98%
35	1,428	1,396	98%
36	1,150	1,022	89%
37	436	414	95%
38	664	664	100%
39	1,434	1,434	100%
40	842	754	90%
41	366	365	100%
42	994	969	97%
43	659	638	97%
44	489	489	100%
45	624	593	95%
46	473	473	100%
Statewide	**52,737**	**50,685**	**96%**

APPENDICES A-O

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. With Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
1	**0**	**18**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**138**	**56**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**219**
Clark	0	5	1	0	0	0	115	23	0	0	0	0	144
Schuyler	0	6	4	0	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	22
Scotland	0	7	2	0	0	0	18	26	0	0	0	0	53
2	**0**	**94**	**19**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**49**	**70**	**87**	**16**	**64**	**12**	**417**
Adair	0	81	14	0	2	2	15	30	49	15	38	11	257
Knox	0	0	5	2	0	0	25	23	10	1	6	1	73
Lewis	0	13	0	0	0	0	9	17	28	0	20	0	87
3	**0**	**82**	**34**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**14**	**43**	**67**	**6**	**68**	**77**	**392**
Grundy	0	28	11	0	0	0	11	6	56	2	20	15	149
Harrison	0	33	14	0	0	0	1	24	1	3	19	42	137
Mercer	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	8	6	1	6	7	35
Putnam	0	15	8	0	0	1	2	5	4	0	23	13	71
4	**0**	**144**	**17**	**1**	**0**	**5**	**136**	**114**	**3**	**1**	**31**	**91**	**543**
Atchison	0	10	1	0	0	1	10	17	0	0	2	6	47
Gentry	0	14	6	0	0	0	19	19	0	0	4	12	74
Holt	0	69	1	1	0	0	27	23	0	0	7	7	135
Nodaway	0	38	9	0	0	4	76	48	3	1	18	62	259
Worth	0	13	0	0	0	0	4	7	0	0	0	4	28
5	**1**	**101**	**125**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**104**	**1,441**	**104**	**17**	**68**	**79**	**2,045**
Andrew	0	3	2	0	0	0	14	42	27	2	5	16	111
Buchanan	1	98	123	0	2	3	90	1,399	77	15	63	63	1,934
6	**0**	**38**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**29**	**124**	**47**	**16**	**1**	**32**	**304**
Platte	0	38	17	0	0	0	29	124	47	16	1	32	304
7	**2**	**143**	**24**	**1**	**0**	**21**	**184**	**195**	**144**	**71**	**17**	**167**	**969**
Clay	2	143	24	1	0	21	184	195	144	71	17	167	969
8	**1**	**41**	**30**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**266**	**57**	**15**	**7**	**1**	**48**	**466**
Carroll	1	10	2	0	0	0	34	26	4	0	0	1	78
Ray	0	31	28	0	0	0	232	31	11	7	1	47	388
9	**0**	**88**	**29**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**58**	**36**	**72**	**6**	**6**	**35**	**335**
Chariton	0	42	12	0	2	1	22	17	46	5	0	13	160
Linn	0	33	11	0	0	0	13	14	21	1	6	16	115
Sullivan	0	13	6	1	1	0	23	5	5	0	0	6	60
10	**2**	**62**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**61**	**93**	**27**	**8**	**15**	**24**	**313**
Marion	2	33	9	0	0	2	39	66	19	2	10	14	196
Monroe	0	19	1	0	0	2	15	15	3	3	5	9	72
Ralls	0	10	5	0	0	2	7	12	5	3	0	1	45
11	**9**	**143**	**52**	**0**	**1**	**16**	**109**	**367**	**104**	**107**	**5**	**419**	**1,332**
St. Charles	9	143	52	0	1	16	109	367	104	107	5	419	1,332
12	**0**	**131**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**145**	**192**	**121**	**10**	**57**	**44**	**712**
Audrain	0	69	4	1	0	2	50	68	31	8	36	33	302
Montgomery	0	27	1	0	0	0	28	44	10	0	11	8	129
Warren	0	35	3	0	0	1	67	80	80	2	10	3	281
13	**0**	**276**	**352**	**157**	**28**	**18**	**283**	**66**	**387**	**75**	**69**	**43**	**1,754**
Boone	0	274	112	157	28	1	204	50	234	44	29	29	1,162
Callaway	0	2	240	0	0	17	79	16	153	31	40	14	592
14	**0**	**99**	**18**	**0**	**1**	**9**	**68**	**93**	**157**	**40**	**38**	**111**	**634**
Howard	0	29	2	0	0	0	5	21	64	7	18	39	185
Randolph	0	70	16	0	1	9	63	72	93	33	20	72	449
15	**0**	**35**	**11**	**5**	**1**	**0**	**128**	**68**	**135**	**38**	**12**	**88**	**521**
Lafayette	0	19	6	0	0	0	57	28	98	9	6	50	273
Saline	0	16	5	5	1	0	71	40	37	29	6	38	248
16	**226**	**1,152**	**219**	**13**	**109**	**73**	**106**	**78**	**107**	**28**	**27**	**1,095**	**3,233**
Jackson	226	1,152	219	13	109	73	106	78	107	28	27	1,095	3,233

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. With Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
17	**0**	**159**	**195**	**1**	**3**	**3**	**267**	**254**	**251**	**44**	**5**	**66**	**1,248**
Cass	0	87	133	1	3	3	213	167	217	18	2	37	881
Johnson	0	72	62	0	0	0	54	87	34	26	3	29	367
18	**0**	**54**	**55**	**4**	**3**	**4**	**90**	**231**	**205**	**47**	**18**	**83**	**794**
Cooper	0	17	13	0	2	0	42	99	98	7	2	16	296
Pettis	0	37	42	4	1	4	48	132	107	40	16	67	498
19	**0**	**53**	**110**	**1**	**3**	**11**	**60**	**43**	**202**	**60**	**16**	**167**	**726**
Cole	0	53	110	1	3	11	60	43	202	60	16	167	726
20	**1**	**103**	**50**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**97**	**51**	**168**	**28**	**24**	**217**	**739**
Franklin	1	93	50	0	0	0	90	47	157	25	23	195	681
Gasconade	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	3	10	3	1	13	41
Osage	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	9	17
21	**190**	**390**	**148**	**263**	**275**	**37**	**524**	**714**	**1,188**	**675**	**28**	**1,951**	**6,383**
St. Louis Co.	190	390	148	263	275	37	524	714	1,188	675	28	1,951	6,383
22	**29**	**306**	**60**	**1**	**9**	**132**	**43**	**265**	**377**	**49**	**12**	**445**	**1,728**
St. Louis City	29	306	60	1	9	132	43	265	377	49	12	445	1,728
23	**16**	**446**	**202**	**0**	**1**	**120**	**367**	**222**	**424**	**39**	**173**	**59**	**2,069**
Jefferson	16	446	202	0	1	120	367	222	424	39	173	59	2,069
24	**11**	**268**	**51**	**1**	**0**	**5**	**552**	**96**	**60**	**68**	**22**	**44**	**1,178**
Madison	0	40	4	0	0	3	41	6	13	4	3	1	115
St. Francois	11	125	30	0	0	0	282	66	31	60	15	23	643
St. Genevieve	0	29	12	0	0	1	46	10	16	2	1	8	125
Washington	0	74	5	1	0	1	183	14	0	2	3	12	295
25	**16**	**284**	**31**	**0**	**0**	**20**	**773**	**112**	**0**	**41**	**650**	**79**	**2,006**
Maries	1	19	1	0	0	0	21	3	0	0	4	0	49
Phelps	11	119	11	0	0	17	261	47	0	18	135	34	653
Pulaski	0	94	17	0	0	1	287	29	0	13	213	40	694
Texas	4	52	2	0	0	2	204	33	0	10	298	5	610
26	**0**	**106**	**27**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**70**	**124**	**303**	**25**	**90**	**194**	**941**
Camden	0	41	11	0	0	0	21	19	68	8	38	60	266
Laclede	0	44	7	0	0	0	17	32	158	4	47	54	363
Miller	0	16	6	0	0	0	24	54	51	10	5	36	202
Moniteau	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	6	1	0	0	19	32
Morgan	0	4	2	2	0	0	4	13	25	3	0	25	78
27	**0**	**64**	**18**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**236**	**117**	**68**	**19**	**25**	**5**	**553**
Bates	0	14	6	0	0	0	127	63	38	3	9	1	261
Henry	0	44	8	0	1	0	94	38	18	14	11	4	232
St. Clair	0	6	4	0	0	0	15	16	12	2	5	0	60
28	**0**	**121**	**53**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**303**	**104**	**309**	**20**	**59**	**10**	**979**
Barton	0	13	9	0	0	0	83	57	9	0	4	2	177
Cedar	0	17	3	0	0	0	103	9	0	0	11	0	143
Dade	0	4	1	0	0	0	36	0	0	2	2	0	45
Vernon	0	87	40	0	0	0	81	38	300	18	42	8	614
29	**0**	**257**	**107**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**414**	**121**	**671**	**71**	**43**	**208**	**1,896**
Jasper	0	257	107	1	1	2	414	121	671	71	43	208	1,896
30	**1**	**127**	**22**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**176**	**123**	**300**	**74**	**152**	**217**	**1,198**
Benton	0	13	1	0	0	0	49	16	58	7	23	29	196
Dallas	0	28	7	0	0	0	28	20	32	2	7	21	145
Hickory	1	5	0	0	0	0	18	3	9	10	10	15	71
Polk	0	28	10	0	0	0	47	58	90	55	95	76	459
Webster	0	53	4	6	0	0	34	26	111	0	17	76	327
31	**1**	**209**	**74**	**1**	**7**	**51**	**43**	**276**	**247**	**40**	**28**	**140**	**1,117**
Greene	1	209	74	1	7	51	43	276	247	40	28	140	1,117
32	**0**	**194**	**26**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**50**	**498**	**302**	**13**	**49**	**79**	**1,212**
Bollinger	0	45	1	0	0	0	3	84	2	0	0	0	135
Cape Girardeau	0	131	25	0	1	0	46	191	300	13	47	71	825
Perry	0	18	0	0	0	0	1	223	0	0	2	8	252

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. With Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
33	**1**	**197**	**44**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**26**	**173**	**30**	**27**	**25**	**180**	**705**
Mississippi	0	68	12	1	0	0	3	30	3	3	6	49	175
Scott	1	129	32	0	1	0	23	143	27	24	19	131	530
34	**0**	**157**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**223**	**229**	**4**	**1**	**154**	**87**	**862**
New Madrid	0	70	5	0	0	0	89	75	2	1	52	23	317
Pemiscot	0	87	2	0	0	0	134	154	2	0	102	64	545
35	**6**	**194**	**41**	**0**	**2**	**76**	**19**	**98**	**497**	**14**	**300**	**95**	**1,342**
Dunklin	6	83	24	0	2	29	19	1	341	6	7	39	557
Stoddard	0	111	17	0	0	47	0	97	156	8	293	56	785
36	**0**	**154**	**63**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**46**	**88**	**145**	**2**	**8**	**144**	**651**
Butler	0	112	54	1	0	0	36	62	97	2	7	100	471
Ripley	0	42	9	0	0	0	10	26	48	0	1	44	180
37	**2**	**96**	**28**	**1**	**1**	**8**	**153**	**65**	**11**	**2**	**104**	**51**	**522**
Carter	0	6	1	0	0	0	13	8	6	1	3	6	44
Howell	1	49	20	1	0	6	126	40	2	1	77	37	360
Oregon	0	25	5	0	1	1	8	7	2	0	8	2	59
Shannon	1	16	2	0	0	1	6	10	1	0	16	6	59
38	**0**	**71**	**21**	**21**	**0**	**3**	**95**	**143**	**94**	**47**	**106**	**110**	**711**
Christian	0	71	21	21	0	3	95	143	94	47	106	110	711
39	**0**	**188**	**16**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**90**	**61**	**515**	**20**	**112**	**33**	**1,041**
Barry	0	65	6	0	0	0	36	23	279	2	50	6	467
Lawrence	0	68	9	0	1	2	34	30	156	5	29	20	354
Stone	0	55	1	3	0	0	20	8	80	13	33	7	220
40	**0**	**136**	**49**	**1**	**4**	**6**	**156**	**113**	**169**	**8**	**157**	**117**	**916**
McDonald	0	68	21	1	2	0	32	24	6	2	13	25	194
Newton	0	68	28	0	2	6	124	89	163	6	144	92	722
41	**2**	**72**	**20**	**1**	**0**	**8**	**59**	**149**	**28**	**10**	**15**	**33**	**397**
Macon	2	52	8	1	0	6	26	102	26	10	10	22	265
Shelby	0	20	12	0	0	2	33	47	2	0	5	11	132
42	**1**	**141**	**29**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**58**	**226**	**22**	**8**	**126**	**144**	**756**
Crawford	0	40	24	0	0	1	20	29	17	5	18	102	256
Dent	1	41	1	0	0	0	13	58	1	1	9	7	132
Iron	0	14	0	0	0	0	16	100	0	1	48	0	179
Reynolds	0	29	4	0	0	0	1	9	0	1	18	0	62
Wayne	0	17	0	0	0	0	8	30	4	0	33	35	127
43	**1**	**90**	**19**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**106**	**99**	**82**	**20**	**10**	**16**	**444**
Caldwell	0	17	2	0	0	0	18	4	14	2	0	2	59
Clinton	1	33	6	1	0	0	43	27	29	9	5	9	163
Daviess	0	11	3	0	0	0	4	9	4	0	0	1	32
DeKalb	0	17	2	0	0	0	1	17	34	7	0	3	81
Livingston	0	12	6	0	0	0	40	42	1	2	5	1	109
44	**8**	**50**	**18**	**0**	**2**	**25**	**23**	**60**	**29**	**2**	**7**	**6**	**230**
Douglas	0	18	2	0	0	14	9	19	6	0	3	1	72
Ozark	7	1	8	0	0	4	1	8	2	1	0	0	32
Wright	1	31	8	0	2	7	13	33	21	1	4	5	126
45	**11**	**109**	**19**	**3**	**3**	**18**	**17**	**73**	**356**	**12**	**25**	**95**	**741**
Lincoln	11	81	19	2	2	17	15	63	327	8	21	89	655
Pike	0	28	0	1	1	1	2	10	29	4	4	6	86
46	**17**	**178**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**47**	**52**	**15**	**46**	**44**	**28**	**436**
Taney	17	178	4	0	0	5	47	52	15	46	44	28	436
Statewide Total	**555**	**7,621**	**2,584**	**495**	**465**	**695**	**7,061**	**8,073**	**8,649**	**1,978**	**3,066**	**7,468**	**48,710**

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
1	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**11**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**17**
Clark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Schuyler	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Scotland	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	0	0	0	0	13
2	**0**	**7**	**18**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**33**	**37**	**13**	**4**	**8**	**124**
Adair	0	7	13	0	0	0	2	18	32	12	2	7	93
Knox	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	8	1	1	1	1	19
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	0	1	0	12
3	**0**	**3**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**18**	**8**	**5**	**3**	**15**	**67**
Grundy	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	3	1	0	3	16
Harrison	0	1	6	0	0	0	1	10	1	3	2	8	32
Mercer	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	1	0	3	12
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	7
4	**0**	**1**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**6**	**19**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**10**	**48**
Atchison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
Gentry	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	10
Holt	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	7
Nodaway	0	0	3	0	0	1	3	6	2	0	2	5	22
Worth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
5	**0**	**16**	**17**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**65**	**279**	**35**	**13**	**29**	**39**	**497**
Andrew	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	8	3	2	3	14	39
Buchanan	0	15	17	0	2	2	57	271	32	11	26	25	458
6	**0**	**8**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**20**	**102**	**23**	**13**	**1**	**22**	**201**
Platte	0	8	12	0	0	0	20	102	23	13	1	22	201
7	**0**	**27**	**15**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**155**	**154**	**91**	**69**	**16**	**3**	**535**
Clay	0	27	15	1	0	4	155	154	91	69	16	3	535
8	**1**	**11**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**57**	**21**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**33**	**140**
Carroll	1	2	1	0	0	0	8	11	0	0	0	0	23
Ray	0	9	12	0	0	0	49	10	0	3	1	33	117
9	**0**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**12**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**34**
Chariton	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	3	0	0	12
Linn	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	1	14
Sullivan	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	8
10	**1**	**7**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**22**	**35**	**5**	**5**	**9**	**15**	**111**
Marion	1	1	3	0	0	2	17	27	5	1	6	8	71
Monroe	0	5	0	0	0	2	4	5	0	3	3	6	28
Ralls	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	12
11	**0**	**11**	**52**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**69**	**276**	**24**	**89**	**3**	**277**	**806**
St. Charles	0	11	52	0	1	4	69	276	24	89	3	277	806
12	**0**	**19**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**36**	**64**	**21**	**5**	**8**	**16**	**175**
Audrain	0	10	2	0	0	1	16	28	7	5	4	12	85
Montgomery	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	12	0	0	0	2	21
Warren	0	5	1	0	0	1	18	24	14	0	4	2	69
13	**0**	**94**	**86**	**51**	**12**	**8**	**154**	**26**	**112**	**30**	**28**	**28**	**629**
Boone	0	93	40	51	12	1	108	23	71	9	8	15	431
Callaway	0	1	46	0	0	7	46	3	41	21	20	13	198
14	**0**	**9**	**8**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**8**	**18**	**26**	**17**	**9**	**35**	**132**
Howard	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	9	11	4	8	17	55
Randolph	0	8	6	0	1	1	5	9	15	13	1	18	77
15	**0**	**9**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**69**	**47**	**66**	**16**	**8**	**60**	**289**
Lafayette	0	4	4	0	0	0	40	23	56	5	4	36	172
Saline	0	5	5	5	0	0	29	24	10	11	4	24	117
16	**30**	**106**	**134**	**3**	**38**	**30**	**93**	**76**	**99**	**3**	**5**	**408**	**1,025**
Jackson	30	106	134	3	38	30	93	76	99	3	5	408	1,025
17	**0**	**20**	**106**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**115**	**112**	**34**	**31**	**3**	**26**	**451**
Cass	0	5	77	0	3	1	98	77	31	10	1	18	321
Johnson	0	15	29	0	0	0	17	35	3	21	2	8	130

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
18	**0**	**21**	**44**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**41**	**85**	**88**	**27**	**10**	**48**	**373**
Cooper	0	9	12	0	2	0	19	35	33	7	1	9	127
Pettis	0	12	32	3	1	3	22	50	55	20	9	39	246
19	**0**	**10**	**56**	**0**	**2**	**8**	**12**	**13**	**55**	**18**	**2**	**53**	**229**
Cole	0	10	56	0	2	8	12	13	55	18	2	53	229
20	**0**	**10**	**44**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**51**	**26**	**50**	**26**	**15**	**130**	**352**
Franklin	0	9	44	0	0	0	47	25	46	23	14	118	326
Gasconade	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	3	1	11	25
Osage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
21	**27**	**38**	**75**	**61**	**100**	**14**	**405**	**387**	**455**	**425**	**12**	**1,036**	**3,035**
St. Louis Co.	27	38	75	61	100	14	405	387	455	425	12	1,036	3,035
22	**4**	**22**	**47**	**1**	**6**	**55**	**41**	**58**	**88**	**40**	**1**	**284**	**647**
St. Louis City	4	22	47	1	6	55	41	58	88	40	1	284	647
23	**1**	**26**	**99**	**0**	**0**	**32**	**224**	**159**	**224**	**29**	**0**	**2**	**796**
Jefferson	1	26	99	0	0	32	224	159	224	29	0	2	796
24	**10**	**59**	**44**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**311**	**68**	**26**	**64**	**16**	**37**	**637**
Madison	0	5	3	0	0	0	19	4	7	2	1	1	42
St. Francois	10	29	25	0	0	0	206	47	11	58	11	20	417
Ste. Genevieve	0	3	12	0	0	0	25	8	8	2	1	8	67
Washington	0	22	4	1	0	1	61	9	0	2	3	8	111
25	**0**	**4**	**26**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**64**	**58**	**0**	**26**	**29**	**37**	**244**
Maries	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phelps	0	1	10	0	0	0	12	14	0	13	6	16	72
Pulaski	0	0	13	0	0	0	43	26	0	7	12	18	119
Texas	0	3	2	0	0	0	9	18	0	6	11	3	52
26	**0**	**13**	**18**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**24**	**37**	**29**	**16**	**6**	**84**	**229**
Camden	0	5	6	0	0	0	10	4	11	8	1	25	70
Laclede	0	4	6	0	0	0	9	11	15	2	4	30	81
Miller	0	3	5	0	0	0	3	11	1	4	1	15	43
Moniteau	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	6	12
Morgan	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	7	2	2	0	8	23
27	**0**	**6**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**33**	**49**	**3**	**11**	**6**	**3**	**120**
Bates	0	0	3	0	0	0	12	33	0	1	3	1	53
Henry	0	6	4	0	0	0	17	11	3	9	1	2	53
St. Clair	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	5	0	1	2	0	14
28	**0**	**34**	**36**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**64**	**50**	**130**	**13**	**23**	**4**	**354**
Barton	0	2	6	0	0	0	14	21	1	0	1	2	47
Cedar	0	1	1	0	0	0	32	2	0	0	3	0	39
Dade	0	1	1	0	0	0	13	0	0	2	2	0	19
Vernon	0	30	28	0	0	0	5	27	129	11	17	2	249
29	**0**	**40**	**50**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**202**	**48**	**229**	**49**	**19**	**127**	**767**
Jasper	0	40	50	1	0	2	202	48	229	49	19	127	767
30	**1**	**19**	**21**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**100**	**79**	**171**	**48**	**29**	**108**	**581**
Benton	0	8	1	0	0	0	24	12	25	5	7	16	98
Dallas	0	5	6	0	0	0	16	3	20	2	0	3	55
Hickory	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	3	3	3	10	32
Polk	0	1	10	0	0	0	30	44	38	38	13	47	221
Webster	0	5	4	5	0	0	21	17	85	0	6	32	175
31	**0**	**30**	**65**	**1**	**5**	**36**	**31**	**239**	**137**	**34**	**6**	**108**	**692**
Greene	0	30	65	1	5	36	31	239	137	34	6	108	692
32	**0**	**12**	**26**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**22**	**169**	**41**	**8**	**13**	**25**	**317**
Bollinger	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	18
Cape Girardeau	0	10	25	0	1	0	22	117	41	8	11	18	253
Perry	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	2	7	46
33	**1**	**20**	**36**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**12**	**58**	**16**	**18**	**8**	**51**	**222**
Mississippi	0	8	11	1	0	0	1	11	1	3	3	18	57
Scott	1	12	25	0	1	0	11	47	15	15	5	33	165

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
34	**0**	**16**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**63**	**89**	**1**	**1**	**9**	**21**	**207**
New Madrid	0	1	5	0	0	0	13	26	1	1	0	6	53
Pemiscot	0	15	2	0	0	0	50	63	0	0	9	15	154
35	**5**	**8**	**28**	**0**	**0**	**28**	**0**	**21**	**120**	**12**	**29**	**8**	**259**
Dunklin	5	3	11	0	0	10	0	0	43	6	1	6	85
Stoddard	0	5	17	0	0	18	0	21	77	6	28	2	174
36	**0**	**5**	**27**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**32**	**43**	**61**	**2**	**5**	**107**	**282**
Butler	0	5	23	0	0	0	29	26	52	2	5	77	219
Ripley	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	17	9	0	0	30	63
37	**2**	**4**	**22**	**1**	**0**	**6**	**29**	**19**	**1**	**0**	**12**	**19**	**115**
Carter	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	9
Howell	1	2	16	1	0	4	26	12	1	0	11	16	90
Oregon	0	2	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	8
Shannon	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	8
38	**0**	**16**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**72**	**50**	**24**	**28**	**43**	**271**
Christian	0	16	13	0	0	0	25	72	50	24	28	43	271
39	**0**	**4**	**14**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**53**	**52**	**149**	**14**	**13**	**14**	**316**
Barry	0	0	6	0	0	0	20	18	60	0	6	4	114
Lawrence	0	1	7	0	1	0	21	26	52	3	2	6	119
Stone	0	3	1	2	0	0	12	8	37	11	5	4	83
40	**0**	**11**	**36**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**62**	**66**	**101**	**2**	**56**	**63**	**397**
McDonald	0	3	19	0	0	0	20	15	1	1	5	21	85
Newton	0	8	17	0	0	0	42	51	100	1	51	42	312
41	**1**	**3**	**14**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**34**	**12**	**3**	**6**	**11**	**88**
Macon	1	3	4	1	0	0	3	21	12	3	4	11	63
Shelby	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	2	0	25
42	**1**	**12**	**18**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**16**	**59**	**6**	**6**	**7**	**18**	**143**
Crawford	0	5	18	0	0	0	5	15	4	5	0	14	66
Dent	1	4	0	0	0	0	11	35	1	1	3	2	58
Iron	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Reynolds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	5
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	1	2	11
43	**0**	**9**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**37**	**49**	**28**	**12**	**8**	**8**	**165**
Caldwell	0	0	2	0	0	0	8	2	6	0	0	1	19
Clinton	0	5	4	1	0	0	12	15	9	6	3	4	59
Daviess	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	5	2	0	0	1	14
DeKalb	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	10	11	5	0	2	32
Livingston	0	3	2	0	0	0	13	17	0	1	5	0	41
44	**1**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**54**	**13**	**2**	**4**	**2**	**94**
Douglas	0	2	1	0	0	0	5	18	2	0	1	1	30
Ozark	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	2	1	0	0	14
Wright	1	1	2	0	0	0	3	29	9	1	3	1	50
45	**2**	**2**	**7**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**12**	**30**	**41**	**6**	**19**	**36**	**160**
Lincoln	2	2	7	0	2	2	10	23	28	4	17	31	128
Pike	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	13	2	2	5	32
46	**0**	**9**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**27**	**41**	**3**	**31**	**17**	**18**	**151**
Taney	0	9	4	0	0	1	27	41	3	31	17	18	151
Statewide Total	**88**	**819**	**1,407**	**143**	**178**	**247**	**2,895**	**3,515**	**2,912**	**1,282**	**537**	**3,501**	**17,524**

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
1	**0**	**3**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**65**	**24**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**94**
Clark	0	0	1	0	0	0	52	11	0	0	0	0	64
Schuyler	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	5
Scotland	0	3	0	0	0	0	11	11	0	0	0	0	25
2	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**34**	**35**	**39**	**3**	**20**	**1**	**134**
Adair	0	1	1	0	0	0	11	12	13	3	15	1	57
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	15	8	0	0	0	41
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	18	0	5	0	36
3	**0**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**15**	**12**	**1**	**11**	**16**	**69**
Grundy	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	4	10	1	3	10	38
Harrison	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	8	4	21
Mercer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
Putnam	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	6
4	**0**	**2**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**74**	**69**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**16**	**175**
Atchison	0	2	0	0	0	1	10	13	0	0	0	2	28
Gentry	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	13
Holt	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	13	0	0	0	1	26
Nodaway	0	0	5	0	0	0	44	35	0	1	5	11	101
Worth	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	7
5	**0**	**24**	**23**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**38**	**138**	**68**	**4**	**21**	**6**	**323**
Andrew	0	1	2	0	0	0	6	3	24	0	2	0	38
Buchanan	0	23	21	0	0	1	32	135	44	4	19	6	285
6	**0**	**7**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**22**	**24**	**1**	**0**	**9**	**76**
Platte	0	7	4	0	0	0	9	22	24	1	0	9	76
7	**0**	**9**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**13**	**39**	**39**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**110**
Clay	0	9	6	0	0	1	13	39	39	2	1	0	110
8	**0**	**13**	**16**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**83**	**23**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**13**	**155**
Carroll	0	1	1	0	0	0	18	12	2	0	0	1	35
Ray	0	12	15	0	0	0	65	11	2	3	0	12	120
9	**0**	**19**	**20**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**46**	**23**	**67**	**3**	**5**	**29**	**214**
Chariton	0	12	11	0	0	0	21	14	42	2	0	12	114
Linn	0	6	6	0	0	0	9	6	20	1	5	11	64
Sullivan	0	1	3	1	1	0	16	3	5	0	0	6	36
10	**1**	**5**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**27**	**55**	**18**	**3**	**6**	**7**	**129**
Marion	1	4	6	0	0	0	17	38	14	1	4	5	90
Monroe	0	1	1	0	0	0	8	9	2	0	2	2	25
Ralls	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	2	2	0	0	14
11	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**36**	**88**	**80**	**12**	**2**	**97**	**319**
St. Charles	0	4	0	0	0	0	36	88	80	12	2	97	319
12	**0**	**10**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**105**	**119**	**99**	**4**	**22**	**24**	**386**
Audrain	0	5	1	0	0	1	34	40	24	2	19	20	146
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	29	10	0	1	3	69
Warren	0	5	1	0	0	0	45	50	65	2	2	1	171
13	**0**	**45**	**135**	**91**	**16**	**10**	**122**	**40**	**267**	**44**	**41**	**13**	**824**
Boone	0	44	71	91	16	0	89	27	156	34	21	12	561
Callaway	0	1	64	0	0	10	33	13	111	10	20	1	263
14	**0**	**24**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**46**	**67**	**95**	**7**	**16**	**40**	**300**
Howard	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	12	41	1	6	13	80
Randolph	0	19	4	0	0	1	44	55	54	6	10	27	220
15	**0**	**7**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**59**	**21**	**65**	**22**	**4**	**27**	**206**
Lafayette	0	5	1	0	0	0	17	5	38	4	2	14	86
Saline	0	2	0	0	0	0	42	16	27	18	2	13	120
16	**2**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**2**	**8**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**37**	**70**
Jackson	2	5	0	0	10	2	8	1	4	0	1	37	70
17	**0**	**12**	**46**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**137**	**126**	**42**	**13**	**0**	**16**	**394**
Cass	0	5	23	1	0	1	106	79	30	8	0	8	261
Johnson	0	7	23	0	0	0	31	47	12	5	0	8	133

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
18	**0**	**3**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**47**	**121**	**110**	**19**	**7**	**26**	**346**
Cooper	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	58	58	0	0	7	146
Pettis	0	3	10	1	0	1	25	63	52	19	7	19	200
19	**0**	**11**	**22**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**39**	**17**	**44**	**23**	**8**	**66**	**234**
Cole	0	11	22	1	1	2	39	17	44	23	8	66	234
20	**0**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**46**	**24**	**93**	**2**	**7**	**83**	**269**
Franklin	0	9	5	0	0	0	43	21	86	2	7	73	246
Gasconade	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	0	0	2	12
Osage	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	8	11
21	**5**	**4**	**3**	**48**	**11**	**0**	**84**	**285**	**602**	**202**	**16**	**638**	**1,898**
St. Louis Co.	5	4	3	48	11	0	84	285	602	202	16	638	1,898
22	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**2**	**94**	**120**	**2**	**1**	**97**	**322**
St. Louis City	0	0	2	0	1	3	2	94	120	2	1	97	322
23	**0**	**7**	**40**	**0**	**0**	**11**	**129**	**63**	**183**	**10**	**1**	**2**	**446**
Jefferson	0	7	40	0	0	11	129	63	183	10	1	2	446
24	**0**	**9**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**231**	**18**	**34**	**3**	**6**	**5**	**308**
Madison	0	0	1	0	0	0	17	2	6	1	2	0	29
St. Francois	0	6	0	0	0	0	73	10	20	2	4	3	118
Ste. Genevieve	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	2	8	0	0	0	30
Washington	0	2	1	0	0	0	122	4	0	0	0	2	131
25	**0**	**19**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**477**	**54**	**0**	**11**	**70**	**25**	**662**
Maries	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	3	0	0	0	0	22
Phelps	0	8	1	0	0	2	191	33	0	3	5	10	253
Pulaski	0	4	3	0	0	0	127	3	0	6	9	15	167
Texas	0	7	0	0	0	0	140	15	0	2	56	0	220
26	**0**	**8**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**32**	**42**	**85**	**5**	**33**	**59**	**268**
Camden	0	5	3	0	0	0	7	7	26	0	16	19	83
Laclede	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	9	47	2	13	8	88
Miller	0	1	1	0	0	0	13	21	9	2	4	11	62
Moniteau	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	11	17
Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	10	18
27	**0**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**91**	**45**	**5**	**7**	**14**	**2**	**172**
Bates	0	0	1	0	0	0	49	17	3	1	6	0	77
Henry	0	4	2	0	0	0	36	18	2	5	7	2	76
St. Clair	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	10	0	1	1	0	19
28	**0**	**35**	**14**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**109**	**53**	**138**	**6**	**27**	**5**	**387**
Barton	0	4	2	0	0	0	49	36	1	0	2	0	94
Cedar	0	2	0	0	0	0	35	6	0	0	7	0	50
Dade	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	10
Vernon	0	27	12	0	0	0	17	11	137	6	18	5	233
29	**0**	**28**	**24**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**107**	**37**	**330**	**22**	**14**	**77**	**639**
Jasper	0	28	24	0	0	0	107	37	330	22	14	77	639
30	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**18**	**8**	**95**	**24**	**23**	**33**	**204**
Benton	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	22	1	2	6	34
Dallas	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	2	1	16
Hickory	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	2	3	17
Polk	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	38	17	15	14	92
Webster	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	22	0	2	9	45
31	**0**	**5**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**12**	**37**	**109**	**6**	**2**	**27**	**207**
Greene	0	5	6	0	0	3	12	37	109	6	2	27	207
32	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**27**	**221**	**261**	**3**	**18**	**30**	**563**
Bollinger	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	52	2	0	0	0	57
Cape Girardeau	0	3	0	0	0	0	24	59	259	3	18	29	395
Perry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	1	111
33	**0**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**34**	**11**	**9**	**4**	**20**	**88**
Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	7
Scott	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	34	9	9	3	17	81

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
34	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**156**	**138**	**3**	**0**	**17**	**47**	**364**
New Madrid	0	2	0	0	0	0	73	48	1	0	5	15	144
Pemiscot	0	1	0	0	0	0	83	90	2	0	12	32	220
35	**1**	**2**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**1**	**47**	**168**	**0**	**55**	**12**	**299**
Dunklin	1	0	8	0	0	4	1	0	115	0	0	0	129
Stoddard	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	47	53	0	55	12	170
36	**0**	**2**	**20**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**20**	**49**	**0**	**3**	**36**	**134**
Butler	0	1	17	0	0	0	1	16	28	0	2	23	88
Ripley	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	4	21	0	1	13	46
37	**0**	**4**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**106**	**45**	**10**	**0**	**30**	**29**	**229**
Carter	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	6	0	1	4	26
Howell	0	3	4	0	0	1	82	28	1	0	23	21	163
Oregon	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	6	2	0	4	2	21
Shannon	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	1	0	2	2	19
38	**0**	**6**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**68**	**57**	**40**	**14**	**36**	**17**	**242**
Christian	0	6	3	0	0	1	68	57	40	14	36	17	242
39	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**26**	**7**	**89**	**6**	**28**	**3**	**162**
Barry	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	3	20	2	9	0	47
Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	4	42	2	12	2	68
Stone	0	1	0	1	0	0	8	0	27	2	7	1	47
40	**0**	**6**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**91**	**47**	**59**	**3**	**72**	**26**	**309**
McDonald	0	4	2	1	0	0	12	9	5	0	5	1	39
Newton	0	2	2	0	0	0	79	38	54	3	67	25	270
41	**1**	**8**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**42**	**70**	**14**	**1**	**6**	**7**	**152**
Macon	1	8	2	0	0	0	18	42	13	1	4	6	95
Shelby	0	0	1	0	0	0	24	28	1	0	2	1	57
42	**0**	**20**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**36**	**162**	**14**	**2**	**19**	**26**	**287**
Crawford	0	6	6	0	0	1	15	14	12	0	2	23	79
Dent	0	7	1	0	0	0	2	23	0	0	6	0	39
Iron	0	1	0	0	0	0	16	100	0	1	6	0	124
Reynolds	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	3	0	15
Wayne	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	19	2	0	2	3	30
43	**0**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**69**	**50**	**54**	**7**	**2**	**5**	**195**
Caldwell	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	8	2	0	1	23
Clinton	0	1	2	0	0	0	31	12	20	2	2	3	73
Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	7
DeKalb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	23	2	0	1	33
Livingston	0	1	4	0	0	0	27	25	1	1	0	0	59
44	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**14**	**6**	**16**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**41**
Douglas	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	2	0	11
Ozark	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Wright	0	1	1	0	0	0	10	4	12	0	0	0	28
45	**0**	**6**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**5**	**30**	**158**	**5**	**5**	**35**	**262**
Lincoln	0	4	10	0	0	8	5	27	142	3	3	35	237
Pike	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	2	2	0	25
46	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**20**	**3**	**10**	**13**	**20**	**8**	**78**
Taney	0	3	0	0	0	1	20	3	10	13	20	8	78
Statewide Total	**10**	**403**	**491**	**146**	**40**	**58**	**3,004**	**2,740**	**3,827**	**528**	**701**	**1,797**	**13,745**

Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
1	**0**	**15**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**68**	**21**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**108**
Clark	0	5	0	0	0	0	63	11	0	0	0	0	79
Schuyler	0	6	2	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	14
Scotland	0	4	2	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	15
2	**0**	**86**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**13**	**2**	**11**	**0**	**40**	**3**	**159**
Adair	0	73	0	0	2	2	2	0	4	0	21	3	107
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	5	0	13
Lewis	0	13	0	0	0	0	4	2	6	0	14	0	39
3	**0**	**78**	**20**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**10**	**47**	**0**	**54**	**46**	**256**
Grundy	0	27	5	0	0	0	1	0	43	0	17	2	95
Harrison	0	32	6	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	9	30	84
Mercer	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	6	3	19
Putnam	0	14	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	22	11	58
4	**0**	**141**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**56**	**26**	**1**	**0**	**24**	**63**	**317**
Atchison	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	2	16
Gentry	0	14	0	0	0	0	12	10	0	0	4	8	48
Holt	0	68	1	0	0	0	15	6	0	0	7	5	102
Nodaway	0	38	1	0	0	3	29	7	1	0	11	46	136
Worth	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15
5	**0**	**54**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**18**	**31**	**112**
Andrew	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Buchanan	0	53	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	30	110
6	**0**	**23**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**24**
Platte	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	24
7	**2**	**99**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**16**	**15**	**2**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**164**	**311**
Clay	2	99	1	0	0	16	15	2	12	0	0	164	311
8	**0**	**16**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**126**	**13**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**170**
Carroll	0	7	0	0	0	0	8	3	2	0	0	0	20
Ray	0	9	1	0	0	0	118	10	9	1	0	2	150
9	**0**	**64**	**6**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**87**
Chariton	0	27	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	34
Linn	0	25	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	4	37
Sullivan	0	12	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	16
10	**0**	**50**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**12**	**3**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**73**
Marion	0	28	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	35
Monroe	0	13	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	1	19
Ralls	0	9	0	0	0	2	4	1	3	0	0	0	19
11	**8**	**123**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**45**	**198**
St. Charles	8	123	0	0	0	9	4	3	0	6	0	45	198
12	**0**	**102**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**9**	**1**	**1**	**27**	**4**	**151**
Audrain	0	54	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	1	71
Montgomery	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	10	3	39
Warren	0	25	1	0	0	0	4	6	1	0	4	0	41
13	**0**	**137**	**131**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**301**
Boone	0	137	1	15	0	0	7	0	7	1	0	2	170
Callaway	0	0	130	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	131
14	**0**	**65**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**14**	**8**	**36**	**16**	**13**	**36**	**199**
Howard	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	2	4	9	50
Randolph	0	42	4	0	0	7	14	8	24	14	9	27	149
15	**0**	**19**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**26**
Lafayette	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	15
Saline	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11
16	**184**	**976**	**62**	**8**	**52**	**32**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**6**	**20**	**546**	**1,891**
Jackson	184	976	62	8	52	32	2	0	3	6	20	546	1,891
17	**0**	**124**	**31**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**15**	**16**	**173**	**0**	**2**	**24**	**386**
Cass	0	75	23	0	0	1	9	11	154	0	1	11	285
Johnson	0	49	8	0	0	0	6	5	19	0	1	13	101

Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
18	**0**	**28**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**25**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**9**	**71**
Cooper	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	6	7	0	1	0	23
Pettis	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	9	48
19	**0**	**32**	**28**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**9**	**13**	**101**	**19**	**6**	**48**	**257**
Cole	0	32	28	0	0	1	9	13	101	19	6	48	257
20	**0**	**83**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**25**	**0**	**2**	**4**	**116**
Franklin	0	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	25	0	2	4	107
Gasconade	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Osage	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
21	**135**	**331**	**47**	**109**	**106**	**15**	**35**	**41**	**79**	**46**	**0**	**260**	**1,204**
St. Louis Co.	135	331	47	109	106	15	35	41	79	46	0	260	1,204
22	**19**	**268**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**62**	**0**	**113**	**169**	**7**	**0**	**60**	**702**
St. Louis City	19	268	2	0	2	62	0	113	169	7	0	60	702
23	**11**	**394**	**31**	**0**	**1**	**41**	**5**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**172**	**55**	**714**
Jefferson	11	394	31	0	1	41	5	0	4	0	172	55	714
24	**1**	**189**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**195**
Madison	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
St. Francois	1	83	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85
Ste. Genevieve	0	23	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Washington	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	53
25	**16**	**261**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**18**	**232**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**551**	**17**	**1,100**
Maries	1	19	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	26
Phelps	11	110	0	0	0	15	58	0	0	2	124	8	328
Pulaski	0	90	1	0	0	1	117	0	0	0	192	7	408
Texas	4	42	0	0	0	2	55	0	0	2	231	2	338
26	**0**	**85**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**45**	**189**	**4**	**51**	**51**	**443**
Camden	0	31	1	0	0	0	4	8	31	0	21	16	112
Laclede	0	38	1	0	0	0	1	12	96	0	30	16	194
Miller	0	12	0	0	0	0	8	22	41	4	0	10	97
Moniteau	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
Morgan	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	3	21	0	0	7	37
27	**0**	**54**	**5**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**112**	**23**	**60**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**261**
Bates	0	14	2	0	0	0	66	13	35	1	0	0	131
Henry	0	34	2	0	1	0	41	9	13	0	3	0	103
St. Clair	0	6	1	0	0	0	5	1	12	0	2	0	27
28	**0**	**48**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**108**	**1**	**38**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**203**
Barton	0	5	0	0	0	0	11	0	7	0	1	0	24
Cedar	0	14	0	0	0	0	34	1	0	0	1	0	50
Dade	0	1	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	16
Vernon	0	28	0	0	0	0	48	0	31	0	6	0	113
29	**0**	**183**	**29**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**105**	**36**	**112**	**0**	**10**	**4**	**480**
Jasper	0	183	29	0	1	0	105	36	112	0	10	4	480
30	**0**	**106**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**58**	**35**	**34**	**2**	**100**	**76**	**412**
Benton	0	5	0	0	0	0	22	4	11	1	14	7	64
Dallas	0	22	0	0	0	0	9	17	4	0	5	17	74
Hickory	0	5	0	0	0	0	8	0	1	1	5	2	22
Polk	0	27	0	0	0	0	11	12	14	0	67	15	146
Webster	0	47	0	1	0	0	8	2	4	0	9	35	106
31	**0**	**174**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**20**	**5**	**216**
Greene	0	174	3	0	2	12	0	0	0	0	20	5	216
32	**0**	**179**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**108**	**0**	**2**	**18**	**24**	**332**
Bollinger	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	60
Cape Girardeau	0	118	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	2	18	24	177
Perry	0	17	0	0	0	0	1	77	0	0	0	0	95
33	**0**	**167**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**40**	**0**	**0**	**13**	**109**	**333**
Mississippi	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	2	28	95
Scott	0	109	3	0	0	0	1	33	0	0	11	81	238

Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
34	**0**	**138**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**128**	**19**	**291**
New Madrid	0	67	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	47	2	120
Pemiscot	0	71	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	81	17	171
35	**0**	**184**	**4**	**0**	**2**	**43**	**18**	**30**	**208**	**2**	**216**	**75**	**782**
Dunklin	0	80	4	0	2	15	18	1	183	0	6	33	342
Stoddard	0	104	0	0	0	28	0	29	25	2	210	42	440
36	**0**	**147**	**16**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**25**	**35**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**235**
Butler	0	106	14	1	0	0	6	20	17	0	0	0	164
Ripley	0	41	2	0	0	0	4	5	18	0	0	1	71
37	**0**	**88**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**18**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**62**	**3**	**178**
Carter	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	9
Howell	0	44	0	0	0	1	18	0	0	1	43	0	107
Oregon	0	22	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	30
Shannon	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	3	32
38	**0**	**42**	**0**	**21**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**12**	**4**	**9**	**42**	**50**	**184**
Christian	0	42	0	21	0	2	2	12	4	9	42	50	184
39	**0**	**183**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**11**	**2**	**277**	**0**	**71**	**16**	**562**
Barry	0	65	0	0	0	0	3	2	199	0	35	2	306
Lawrence	0	67	0	0	0	2	8	0	62	0	15	12	166
Stone	0	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	21	2	90
40	**0**	**118**	**9**	**0**	**4**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**9**	**3**	**29**	**28**	**207**
McDonald	0	61	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	70
Newton	0	57	9	0	2	6	1	0	9	2	26	25	137
41	**0**	**61**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**11**	**43**	**1**	**6**	**3**	**15**	**148**
Macon	0	41	0	0	0	6	2	37	0	6	2	5	99
Shelby	0	20	0	0	0	2	9	6	1	0	1	10	49
42	**0**	**109**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**100**	**100**	**326**
Crawford	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	65	111
Dent	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	35
Iron	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	52
Reynolds	0	24	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	12	0	42
Wayne	0	16	0	0	0	0	5	4	1	0	30	30	86
43	**0**	**76**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**80**
Caldwell	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Clinton	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	30
Daviess	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
DeKalb	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Livingston	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
44	**7**	**46**	**11**	**0**	**2**	**24**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**95**
Douglas	0	16	1	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Ozark	7	1	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Wright	0	29	5	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	1	4	48
45	**8**	**98**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**6**	**0**	**13**	**157**	**1**	**1**	**24**	**313**
Lincoln	8	72	1	2	0	6	0	13	157	1	1	23	284
Pike	0	26	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	29
46	**17**	**166**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**8**	**2**	**2**	**7**	**2**	**207**
Taney	17	166	0	0	0	3	0	8	2	2	7	2	207
Statewide Total	**408**	**6,210**	**477**	**159**	**180**	**318**	**1,102**	**737**	**1,828**	**142**	**1,816**	**2,039**	**15,416**

Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
4	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**
Gentry	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
5	**1**	**7**	**76**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1,024**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**1,113**
Andrew	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	1	32
Buchanan	1	7	76	0	0	0	1	993	1	0	0	2	1,081
6	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Platte	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
7	**0**	**8**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**
Clay	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
8	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Ray	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
11	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**
St. Charles	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
14	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Randolph	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
16	**10**	**65**	**23**	**2**	**9**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**19**	**1**	**104**	**247**
Jackson	10	65	23	2	9	9	3	1	1	19	1	104	247
17	**0**	**3**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**17**
Cass	0	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	14
Johnson	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
18	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**4**
Pettis	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4
19	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**
Cole	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6
20	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Franklin	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
21	**23**	**17**	**23**	**45**	**58**	**8**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**17**	**194**
St. Louis Co.	23	17	23	45	58	8	0	1	0	2	0	17	194
22	**6**	**16**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**4**	**57**
St. Louis City	6	16	9	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	10	4	57
23	**4**	**19**	**32**	**0**	**0**	**36**	**9**	**0**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**113**
Jefferson	4	19	32	0	0	36	9	0	13	0	0	0	113
24	**0**	**11**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**9**	**9**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**37**
Madison	0	2	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	11
St. Francois	0	7	4	0	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	22
Ste. Genevieve	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
26	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Camden	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
28	**0**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**22**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**35**
Barton	0	2	1	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	12
Cedar	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Vernon	0	2	0	0	0	0	11	0	3	1	1	1	19
29	**0**	**6**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**
Jasper	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
31	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
33	**0**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**41**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**62**
Mississippi	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	16
Scott	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	29	3	0	0	0	46
35	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Dunklin	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Stoddard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
38	**0**	**7**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**14**
Christian	0	7	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	14
39	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
40	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Newton	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
41	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**9**
Macon	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	8
Shelby	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
43	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
DeKalb	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
45	**1**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**
Lincoln	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Statewide Total	**45**	**189**	**209**	**47**	**67**	**72**	**58**	**1,080**	**28**	**26**	**12**	**131**	**1,964**

Appendix F: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Misc.	People	Property	Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Total
1	**4**	**8**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**94**	**108**	**219**
Clark	0	1	0	0	0	64	79	144
Schuyler	1	2	0	0	0	5	14	22
Scotland	3	5	5	0	0	25	15	53
2	**7**	**40**	**56**	**13**	**10**	**134**	**159**	**419**
Adair	4	26	52	6	7	57	107	259
Knox	3	10	2	1	3	41	13	73
Lewis	0	4	2	6	0	36	39	87
3	**12**	**22**	**27**	**2**	**5**	**69**	**256**	**393**
Grundy	0	4	12	0	0	38	95	149
Harrison	8	12	10	0	2	21	84	137
Mercer	2	4	3	1	3	4	19	36
Putnam	2	2	2	1	0	6	58	71
4	**6**	**12**	**19**	**1**	**10**	**175**	**317**	**540**
Atchison	2	0	0	0	1	28	16	47
Gentry	3	2	3	0	2	13	48	71
Holt	0	2	3	0	2	26	102	135
Nodaway	1	6	9	1	5	101	136	259
Worth	0	2	4	0	0	7	15	28
5	**293**	**89**	**68**	**13**	**38**	**323**	**112**	**936**
Andrew	7	22	5	2	4	38	2	80
Buchanan	286	67	63	11	34	285	110	856
6	**19**	**58**	**86**	**14**	**24**	**76**	**24**	**301**
Platte	19	58	86	14	24	76	24	301
7	**43**	**187**	**175**	**20**	**111**	**110**	**311**	**957**
Clay	43	187	175	20	111	110	311	957
8	**8**	**67**	**33**	**8**	**25**	**155**	**170**	**466**
Carroll	1	13	8	0	1	35	20	78
Ray	7	54	25	8	24	120	150	388
9	**3**	**9**	**16**	**0**	**6**	**214**	**87**	**335**
Chariton	1	5	2	0	4	114	34	160
Linn	1	2	10	0	1	64	37	115
Sullivan	1	2	4	0	1	36	16	60
10	**11**	**34**	**27**	**27**	**13**	**129**	**73**	**314**
Marion	3	20	16	24	9	90	35	197
Monroe	4	10	8	3	3	25	19	72
Ralls	4	4	3	0	1	14	19	45
11	**41**	**246**	**323**	**49**	**149**	**319**	**198**	**1,325**
St. Charles	41	246	323	49	149	319	198	1,325
12	**22**	**56**	**66**	**6**	**25**	**386**	**151**	**712**
Audrain	13	32	32	1	7	146	71	302
Montgomery	3	8	5	3	2	69	39	129
Warren	6	16	29	2	16	171	41	281
13	**65**	**236**	**193**	**65**	**70**	**824**	**301**	**1,754**
Boone	44	164	147	36	40	561	170	1,162
Callaway	21	72	46	29	30	263	131	592
14	**2**	**46**	**28**	**40**	**16**	**300**	**199**	**631**
Howard	2	12	12	22	7	80	50	185
Randolph	0	34	16	18	9	220	149	446
15	**51**	**138**	**60**	**22**	**18**	**206**	**26**	**521**
Lafayette	44	76	30	12	10	86	15	273
Saline	7	62	30	10	8	120	11	248
16	**51**	**430**	**387**	**97**	**80**	**70**	**1,891**	**3,006**
Jackson	51	430	387	97	80	70	1,891	3,006
17	**38**	**198**	**142**	**14**	**59**	**394**	**386**	**1,231**
Cass	18	143	110	7	43	261	285	867
Johnson	20	55	32	7	16	133	101	364

Appendix F: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Misc.	People	Property	Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Total
18	**35**	**137**	**119**	**33**	**50**	**346**	**71**	**791**
Cooper	11	54	31	17	15	146	23	297
Pettis	24	83	88	16	35	200	48	494
19	**21**	**81**	**83**	**12**	**33**	**234**	**257**	**721**
Cole	21	81	83	12	33	234	257	721
20	**27**	**109**	**148**	**14**	**54**	**269**	**116**	**737**
Franklin	25	95	143	11	52	246	107	679
Gasconade	1	14	5	3	2	12	4	41
Osage	1	0	0	0	0	11	5	17
21	**302**	**1,023**	**1,260**	**132**	**333**	**1,898**	**1,204**	**6,152**
St. Louis Co.	302	1,023	1,260	132	333	1,898	1,204	6,152
22	**61**	**232**	**305**	**27**	**42**	**322**	**702**	**1,691**
St. Louis City	61	232	305	27	42	322	702	1,691
23	**201**	**322**	**185**	**24**	**124**	**446**	**714**	**2,016**
Jefferson	201	322	185	24	124	446	714	2,016
24	**45**	**363**	**118**	**74**	**38**	**308**	**195**	**1,141**
Madison	0	25	10	4	3	29	33	104
St. Francois	34	233	75	49	27	118	85	621
Ste. Genevieve	3	36	19	6	3	30	24	121
Washington	8	69	14	15	5	131	53	295
25	**37**	**76**	**91**	**16**	**25**	**662**	**1,100**	**2,007**
Maries	0	0	0	0	1	22	26	49
Phelps	3	22	40	1	6	253	328	653
Pulaski	30	45	21	13	11	167	408	695
Texas	4	9	30	2	7	220	338	610
26	**25**	**77**	**82**	**6**	**39**	**268**	**443**	**940**
Camden	5	17	30	1	17	83	112	265
Laclede	11	31	26	3	10	88	194	363
Miller	6	15	13	0	9	62	97	202
Moniteau	0	3	8	1	0	17	3	32
Morgan	3	11	5	1	3	18	37	78
27	**28**	**36**	**40**	**4**	**12**	**172**	**261**	**553**
Bates	14	20	14	0	5	77	131	261
Henry	13	12	21	1	6	76	103	232
St. Clair	1	4	5	3	1	19	27	60
28	**30**	**141**	**122**	**22**	**39**	**387**	**203**	**944**
Barton	6	26	7	2	6	94	24	165
Cedar	5	18	10	2	4	50	50	139
Dade	1	7	9	0	2	10	16	45
Vernon	18	90	96	18	27	233	113	595
29	**210**	**187**	**258**	**68**	**46**	**639**	**480**	**1,888**
Jasper	210	187	258	68	46	639	480	1,888
30	**50**	**272**	**148**	**42**	**69**	**204**	**412**	**1,197**
Benton	6	43	17	12	20	34	64	196
Dallas	7	22	22	1	3	16	74	145
Hickory	2	18	5	3	4	17	22	71
Polk	16	96	60	16	33	92	146	459
Webster	19	93	44	10	9	45	106	326
31	**32**	**281**	**246**	**30**	**103**	**207**	**216**	**1,115**
Greene	32	281	246	30	103	207	216	1,115
32	**25**	**134**	**91**	**15**	**52**	**563**	**332**	**1,212**
Bollinger	1	3	8	2	4	57	60	135
Cape Girardeau	20	114	75	13	31	395	177	825
Perry	4	17	8	0	17	111	95	252
33	**19**	**65**	**61**	**51**	**27**	**88**	**333**	**644**
Mississippi	3	19	20	14	2	7	95	160
Scott	16	46	41	37	25	81	238	484

Appendix F: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Misc.	People	Property	Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Total
34	**12**	**42**	**61**	**57**	**35**	**364**	**291**	**862**
New Madrid	2	6	19	14	12	144	120	317
Pemiscot	10	36	42	43	23	220	171	545
35	**26**	**91**	**62**	**57**	**23**	**299**	**782**	**1,340**
Dunklin	13	28	25	14	5	129	342	556
Stoddard	13	63	37	43	18	170	440	784
36	**37**	**117**	**70**	**21**	**37**	**134**	**235**	**651**
Butler	27	89	55	13	35	88	164	471
Ripley	10	28	15	8	2	46	71	180
37	**21**	**31**	**42**	**6**	**15**	**229**	**178**	**522**
Carter	1	4	1	0	3	26	9	44
Howell	16	20	37	5	12	163	107	360
Oregon	0	4	3	1	0	21	30	59
Shannon	4	3	1	0	0	19	32	59
38	**37**	**104**	**83**	**16**	**34**	**242**	**184**	**700**
Christian	37	104	83	16	34	242	184	700
39	**61**	**129**	**78**	**13**	**36**	**162**	**562**	**1,041**
Barry	35	40	27	3	9	47	306	467
Lawrence	24	47	29	4	16	68	166	354
Stone	2	42	22	6	11	47	90	220
40	**56**	**108**	**117**	**50**	**69**	**309**	**207**	**916**
McDonald	15	11	27	9	23	39	70	194
Newton	41	97	90	41	46	270	137	722
41	**34**	**33**	**14**	**4**	**3**	**152**	**148**	**388**
Macon	29	20	9	4	1	95	99	257
Shelby	5	13	5	0	2	57	49	131
42	**19**	**56**	**49**	**5**	**14**	**287**	**326**	**756**
Crawford	4	21	32	4	5	79	111	256
Dent	13	26	14	0	5	39	35	132
Iron	0	3	0	0	0	124	52	179
Reynolds	1	2	1	0	1	15	42	62
Wayne	1	4	2	1	3	30	86	127
43	**19**	**58**	**51**	**19**	**20**	**195**	**80**	**442**
Caldwell	1	11	4	2	1	23	17	59
Clinton	8	25	15	2	9	73	30	162
Daviess	0	2	10	1	1	7	11	32
DeKalb	4	9	2	13	6	33	13	80
Livingston	6	11	20	1	3	59	9	109
44	**12**	**24**	**22**	**7**	**29**	**41**	**95**	**230**
Douglas	3	11	9	0	7	11	31	72
Ozark	1	3	7	2	1	2	16	32
Wright	8	10	6	5	21	28	48	126
45	**14**	**64**	**57**	**14**	**11**	**262**	**313**	**735**
Lincoln	11	47	46	14	10	237	284	649
Pike	3	17	11	0	1	25	29	86
46	**8**	**46**	**66**	**2**	**29**	**78**	**207**	**436**
Taney	8	46	66	2	29	78	207	436
Statewide Total	**2,180**	**6,315**	**5,840**	**1,232**	**2,100**	**13,745**	**15,416**	**46,828**

Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Felony A	Felony B	Felony C	Felony D	Felony E	Felony U	Infraction	Misd. A	Misd. B	Misd. C	Misd. D	Misd. U	Ordinance	Total
1	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**17**
Clark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Scotland	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	3	13
2	**1**	**1**	**2**	**16**	**16**	**1**	**2**	**24**	**36**	**14**	**10**	**3**	**0**	**126**
Adair	1	0	2	13	10	0	2	16	30	11	10	0	0	95
Knox	0	0	0	2	5	1	0	7	0	1	0	3	0	19
Lewis	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	6	2	0	0	0	12
3	**0**	**0**	**3**	**15**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**23**	**6**	**2**	**9**	**3**	**1**	**68**
Grundy	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	16
Harrison	0	0	2	5	4	0	0	14	4	1	0	1	1	32
Mercer	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	0	13
Putnam	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	7
4	**1**	**0**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**14**	**6**	**5**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**48**
Atchison	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
Gentry	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	3	2	0	0	10
Holt	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	7
Nodaway	1	0	2	3	0	0	1	5	0	2	7	1	0	22
Worth	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	6
5	**4**	**6**	**4**	**28**	**33**	**3**	**7**	**135**	**22**	**12**	**12**	**2**	**229**	**497**
Andrew	0	1	1	3	6	1	1	12	7	5	0	1	1	39
Buchanan	4	5	3	25	27	2	6	123	15	7	12	1	228	458
6	**0**	**5**	**2**	**14**	**7**	**1**	**0**	**75**	**27**	**31**	**38**	**1**	**0**	**201**
Platte	0	5	2	14	7	1	0	75	27	31	38	1	0	201
7	**5**	**12**	**23**	**28**	**18**	**15**	**3**	**262**	**53**	**34**	**70**	**7**	**6**	**536**
Clay	5	12	23	28	18	15	3	262	53	34	70	7	6	536
8	**0**	**2**	**2**	**7**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**50**	**20**	**38**	**14**	**2**	**3**	**141**
Carroll	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	11	6	2	0	0	1	23
Ray	0	2	1	5	3	0	0	39	14	36	14	2	2	118
9	**0**	**0**	**10**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**5**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**34**
Chariton	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	0	2	2	0	0	12
Linn	0	0	5	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	14
Sullivan	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	1	8
10	**0**	**1**	**2**	**14**	**9**	**5**	**3**	**35**	**24**	**5**	**7**	**4**	**3**	**112**
Marion	0	1	1	10	4	0	2	29	15	4	4	1	1	72
Monroe	0	0	1	2	2	4	0	6	7	0	1	3	2	28
Ralls	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	12
11	**8**	**14**	**10**	**57**	**31**	**14**	**3**	**380**	**103**	**36**	**138**	**6**	**8**	**808**
St. Charles	8	14	10	57	31	14	3	380	103	36	138	6	8	808
12	**4**	**4**	**11**	**15**	**15**	**2**	**5**	**66**	**24**	**7**	**11**	**1**	**10**	**175**
Audrain	3	1	3	9	9	2	1	30	14	2	4	0	7	85
Montgomery	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	8	2	3	1	0	1	21
Warren	1	3	6	4	4	0	4	28	8	2	6	1	2	69
13	**8**	**8**	**9**	**55**	**42**	**6**	**0**	**228**	**106**	**101**	**63**	**2**	**1**	**629**
Boone	6	5	5	38	26	3	0	163	68	83	34	0	0	431
Callaway	2	3	4	17	16	3	0	65	38	18	29	2	1	198
14	**3**	**0**	**5**	**7**	**11**	**0**	**1**	**47**	**33**	**18**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**132**
Howard	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	30	10	4	2	0	0	55
Randolph	3	0	4	2	8	0	1	17	23	14	5	0	0	77
15	**2**	**3**	**10**	**20**	**41**	**3**	**8**	**73**	**42**	**45**	**11**	**25**	**6**	**289**
Lafayette	1	2	7	12	31	2	8	27	18	28	5	25	6	172
Saline	1	1	3	8	10	1	0	46	24	17	6	0	0	117
16	**71**	**82**	**64**	**169**	**100**	**49**	**4**	**311**	**126**	**37**	**28**	**4**	**0**	**1,045**
Jackson	71	82	64	169	100	49	4	311	126	37	28	4	0	1,045
17	**3**	**11**	**13**	**58**	**33**	**5**	**6**	**125**	**53**	**90**	**50**	**0**	**4**	**451**
Cass	2	5	10	33	24	4	1	78	45	77	41	0	1	321
Johnson	1	6	3	25	9	1	5	47	8	13	9	0	3	130

Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Felony A	Felony B	Felony C	Felony D	Felony E	Felony U	Infraction	Misd. A	Misd. B	Misd. C	Misd. D	Misd. U	Ordinance	Total
18	**5**	**7**	**7**	**37**	**21**	**1**	**5**	**158**	**54**	**31**	**45**	**3**	**0**	**374**
Cooper	0	1	0	0	7	1	4	51	25	20	18	1	0	128
Pettis	5	6	7	37	14	0	1	107	29	11	27	2	0	246
19	**5**	**2**	**4**	**18**	**17**	**1**	**0**	**88**	**25**	**16**	**51**	**2**	**1**	**230**
Cole	5	2	4	18	17	1	0	88	25	16	51	2	1	230
20	**3**	**6**	**5**	**30**	**31**	**2**	**5**	**107**	**81**	**25**	**45**	**10**	**2**	**352**
Franklin	3	5	4	28	27	1	5	101	76	21	43	10	2	326
Gasconade	0	1	1	1	4	1	0	6	5	4	2	0	0	25
Osage	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
21	**44**	**79**	**164**	**311**	**63**	**53**	**21**	**1,584**	**525**	**45**	**120**	**23**	**17**	**3,049**
St. Louis Co.	44	79	164	311	63	53	21	1,584	525	45	120	23	17	3,049
22	**44**	**22**	**28**	**94**	**29**	**29**	**2**	**283**	**60**	**24**	**24**	**2**	**14**	**655**
St. Louis City	44	22	28	94	29	29	2	283	60	24	24	2	14	655
23	**14**	**34**	**25**	**101**	**49**	**12**	**5**	**379**	**70**	**57**	**23**	**13**	**14**	**796**
Jefferson	14	34	25	101	49	12	5	379	70	57	23	13	14	796
24	**13**	**9**	**2**	**40**	**42**	**5**	**3**	**241**	**95**	**163**	**23**	**0**	**2**	**638**
Madison	1	3	1	2	9	0	0	19	4	1	2	0	0	42
St. Francois	8	4	1	33	25	2	1	157	49	120	18	0	0	418
Ste. Genevieve	2	1	0	2	2	0	1	30	19	6	2	0	2	67
Washington	2	1	0	3	6	3	1	35	23	36	1	0	0	111
25	**1**	**4**	**2**	**16**	**9**	**2**	**3**	**89**	**31**	**46**	**34**	**1**	**7**	**245**
Maries	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Phelps	0	2	0	6	1	0	0	26	16	11	10	0	0	72
Pulaski	0	0	2	5	4	2	3	48	7	33	9	0	7	120
Texas	1	2	0	5	4	0	0	15	8	2	14	1	0	52
26	**6**	**5**	**6**	**21**	**21**	**2**	**3**	**84**	**23**	**15**	**38**	**2**	**3**	**229**
Camden	2	0	3	5	10	1	1	22	7	4	14	1	0	70
Laclede	4	5	2	4	5	0	0	40	7	4	8	1	1	81
Miller	0	0	0	9	2	1	2	7	1	7	13	0	1	43
Moniteau	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	5	0	0	0	0	12
Morgan	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	9	3	0	3	0	1	23
27	**0**	**2**	**7**	**15**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**42**	**13**	**9**	**8**	**1**	**17**	**120**
Bates	0	2	0	8	0	1	2	21	5	4	1	1	8	53
Henry	0	0	5	6	1	0	1	17	6	2	7	0	8	53
St. Clair	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	4	2	3	0	0	1	14
28	**30**	**6**	**6**	**57**	**36**	**1**	**22**	**84**	**22**	**51**	**27**	**7**	**5**	**354**
Barton	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	13	4	11	7	0	1	47
Cedar	3	0	3	8	3	1	3	6	3	8	1	0	0	39
Dade	0	3	0	4	4	0	0	3	2	1	1	1	0	19
Vernon	22	3	3	39	29	0	19	62	13	31	18	6	4	249
29	**12**	**14**	**5**	**71**	**44**	**2**	**8**	**274**	**105**	**31**	**40**	**126**	**37**	**769**
Jasper	12	14	5	71	44	2	8	274	105	31	40	126	37	769
30	**9**	**7**	**6**	**62**	**43**	**2**	**4**	**274**	**73**	**52**	**38**	**3**	**8**	**581**
Benton	1	1	0	8	9	0	0	59	6	4	5	3	2	98
Dallas	2	0	1	7	3	0	3	17	1	15	3	0	3	55
Hickory	1	0	1	3	1	1	0	17	3	1	4	0	0	32
Polk	0	2	0	23	10	0	0	108	43	11	23	0	1	221
Webster	5	4	4	21	20	1	1	73	20	21	3	0	2	175
31	**3**	**8**	**37**	**47**	**64**	**11**	**2**	**350**	**69**	**20**	**58**	**20**	**3**	**692**
Greene	3	8	37	47	64	11	2	350	69	20	58	20	3	692
32	**6**	**4**	**6**	**31**	**20**	**1**	**4**	**90**	**44**	**59**	**36**	**9**	**7**	**317**
Bollinger	1	0	0	3	2	0	1	4	1	0	4	2	0	18
Cape Girardeau	4	4	5	26	17	1	3	71	42	45	27	4	4	253
Perry	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	15	1	14	5	3	3	46
33	**2**	**2**	**0**	**23**	**12**	**2**	**3**	**65**	**67**	**20**	**19**	**4**	**4**	**223**
Mississippi	0	0	0	5	5	0	1	13	25	9	0	0	0	58
Scott	2	2	0	18	7	2	2	52	42	11	19	4	4	165

Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Felony A	Felony B	Felony C	Felony D	Felony E	Felony U	Infraction	Misd. A	Misd. B	Misd. C	Misd. D	Misd. U	Ordinance	Total
34	**1**	**3**	**3**	**18**	**11**	**0**	**1**	**66**	**67**	**16**	**21**	**0**	**0**	**207**
New Madrid	0	0	2	5	1	0	0	21	13	3	8	0	0	53
Pemiscot	1	3	1	13	10	0	1	45	54	13	13	0	0	154
35	**1**	**7**	**1**	**14**	**18**	**1**	**0**	**62**	**64**	**44**	**38**	**0**	**9**	**259**
Dunklin	0	1	1	9	3	1	0	31	18	6	7	0	8	85
Stoddard	1	6	0	5	15	0	0	31	46	38	31	0	1	174
36	**0**	**3**	**5**	**19**	**32**	**1**	**9**	**103**	**55**	**23**	**29**	**0**	**3**	**282**
Butler	0	3	4	13	25	1	3	88	45	8	26	0	3	219
Ripley	0	0	1	6	7	0	6	15	10	15	3	0	0	63
37	**1**	**3**	**3**	**18**	**7**	**2**	**1**	**38**	**14**	**8**	**8**	**9**	**3**	**115**
Carter	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	9
Howell	1	1	2	18	5	1	1	25	13	8	6	8	1	90
Oregon	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	8
Shannon	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	8
38	**2**	**3**	**8**	**44**	**26**	**3**	**4**	**112**	**34**	**16**	**19**	**2**	**1**	**274**
Christian	2	3	8	44	26	3	4	112	34	16	19	2	1	274
39	**3**	**1**	**3**	**17**	**12**	**0**	**2**	**109**	**50**	**17**	**51**	**32**	**19**	**316**
Barry	0	0	3	2	2	0	2	39	14	7	15	28	2	114
Lawrence	0	1	0	11	6	0	0	38	20	5	19	2	17	119
Stone	3	0	0	4	4	0	0	32	16	5	17	2	0	83
40	**14**	**14**	**20**	**28**	**40**	**0**	**27**	**149**	**50**	**9**	**38**	**5**	**3**	**397**
McDonald	1	7	8	4	10	0	8	20	9	2	13	3	0	85
Newton	13	7	12	24	30	0	19	129	41	7	25	2	3	312
41	**5**	**0**	**1**	**8**	**8**	**3**	**3**	**15**	**15**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**28**	**88**
Macon	3	0	1	6	7	2	3	8	8	1	0	0	24	63
Shelby	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	7	7	1	0	0	4	25
42	**2**	**4**	**2**	**30**	**15**	**3**	**2**	**40**	**18**	**12**	**10**	**1**	**4**	**143**
Crawford	1	0	2	14	8	0	1	18	10	8	2	1	1	66
Dent	0	4	0	12	6	1	1	18	5	3	5	0	3	58
Iron	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Reynolds	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	5
Wayne	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	1	1	2	0	0	11
43	**3**	**3**	**5**	**22**	**13**	**2**	**0**	**76**	**19**	**13**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**165**
Caldwell	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	13	1	1	0	0	0	19
Clinton	2	2	4	4	6	1	0	22	8	6	4	0	0	59
Daviess	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	4	0	1	0	0	14
DeKalb	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	20	3	2	0	0	0	32
Livingston	0	1	0	9	2	1	0	17	3	4	4	0	0	41
44	**2**	**0**	**9**	**14**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**21**	**11**	**7**	**11**	**10**	**0**	**94**
Douglas	0	0	3	7	3	0	0	5	5	2	4	1	0	30
Ozark	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	14
Wright	2	0	4	4	3	0	0	12	6	4	6	9	0	50
45	**1**	**1**	**1**	**13**	**12**	**2**	**1**	**64**	**37**	**9**	**15**	**0**	**4**	**160**
Lincoln	0	1	0	12	11	2	1	47	34	5	13	0	2	128
Pike	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	17	3	4	2	0	2	32
46	**0**	**2**	**2**	**5**	**7**	**3**	**2**	**67**	**20**	**13**	**30**	**0**	**0**	**151**
Taney	0	2	2	5	7	3	2	67	20	13	30	0	0	151
Statewide Total	**342**	**404**	**546**	**1,736**	**1,084**	**252**	**188**	**6,977**	**2,497**	**1,331**	**1,390**	**346**	**491**	**17,584**

Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
1	**13**	**0**	**95**	**108**
Clark	8	0	71	79
Schuyler	1	0	13	14
Scotland	4	0	11	15
2	**8**	**0**	**151**	**159**
Adair	6	0	101	107
Knox	1	0	12	13
Lewis	1	0	38	39
3	**62**	**11**	**183**	**256**
Grundy	38	0	57	95
Harrison	0	0	84	84
Mercer	4	2	13	19
Putnam	20	9	29	58
4	**10**	**1**	**306**	**317**
Atchison	0	0	16	16
Gentry	0	0	48	48
Holt	6	0	96	102
Nodaway	4	0	132	136
Worth	0	1	14	15
5	**41**	**0**	**71**	**112**
Andrew	1	0	1	2
Buchanan	40	0	70	110
6	**3**	**2**	**19**	**24**
Platte	3	2	19	24
7	**18**	**17**	**276**	**311**
Clay	18	17	276	311
8	**33**	**2**	**135**	**170**
Carroll	7	0	13	20
Ray	26	2	122	150
9	**0**	**2**	**85**	**87**
Chariton	0	2	32	34
Linn	0	0	37	37
Sullivan	0	0	16	16
10	**7**	**3**	**63**	**73**
Marion	0	0	35	35
Monroe	7	3	9	19
Ralls	0	0	19	19
11	**10**	**55**	**133**	**198**
St. Charles	10	55	133	198
12	**42**	**3**	**106**	**151**
Audrain	22	3	46	71
Montgomery	9	0	30	39
Warren	11	0	30	41
13	**18**	**6**	**277**	**301**
Boone	18	5	147	170
Callaway	0	1	130	131
14	**39**	**1**	**159**	**199**
Howard	13	0	37	50
Randolph	26	1	122	149
15	**2**	**12**	**12**	**26**
Lafayette	1	3	11	15
Saline	1	9	1	11
16	**518**	**382**	**991**	**1,891**
Jackson	518	382	991	1,891
17	**28**	**31**	**327**	**386**
Cass	28	25	232	285
Johnson	0	6	95	101
18	**7**	**3**	**61**	**71**
Cooper	1	2	20	23
Pettis	6	1	41	48

Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
19	**133**	**0**	**125**	**258**
Cole	133	0	125	258
20	**5**	**0**	**111**	**116**
Franklin	5	0	102	107
Gasconade	0	0	4	4
Osage	0	0	5	5
21	**296**	**250**	**660**	**1,206**
St. Louis Co.	296	250	660	1,206
22	**48**	**97**	**557**	**702**
St. Louis City	48	97	557	702
23	**184**	**101**	**429**	**714**
Jefferson	184	101	429	714
24	**46**	**0**	**149**	**195**
Madison	5	0	28	33
St. Francois	28	0	57	85
Ste. Genevieve	8	0	16	24
Washington	5	0	48	53
25	**328**	**56**	**716**	**1,100**
Maries	9	7	10	26
Phelps	79	22	227	328
Pulaski	146	10	252	408
Texas	94	17	227	338
26	**64**	**169**	**210**	**443**
Camden	13	30	69	112
Laclede	42	82	70	194
Miller	2	39	56	97
Moniteau	2	0	1	3
Morgan	5	18	14	37
27	**38**	**7**	**216**	**261**
Bates	15	2	114	131
Henry	23	5	75	103
St. Clair	0	0	27	27
28	**31**	**6**	**166**	**203**
Barton	2	3	19	24
Cedar	7	0	43	50
Dade	4	0	12	16
Vernon	18	3	92	113
29	**17**	**5**	**458**	**480**
Jasper	17	5	458	480
30	**65**	**21**	**326**	**412**
Benton	22	2	40	64
Dallas	9	1	64	74
Hickory	2	3	17	22
Polk	22	10	114	146
Webster	10	5	91	106
31	**31**	**72**	**113**	**216**
Greene	31	72	113	216
32	**41**	**15**	**276**	**332**
Bollinger	3	7	50	60
Cape Girardeau	33	4	140	177
Perry	5	4	86	95
33	**10**	**0**	**323**	**333**
Mississippi	3	0	92	95
Scott	7	0	231	238
34	**69**	**0**	**222**	**291**
New Madrid	35	0	85	120
Pemiscot	34	0	137	171
35	**67**	**146**	**569**	**782**
Dunklin	16	0	326	342
Stoddard	51	146	243	440

Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
36	**4**	**3**	**228**	**235**
Butler	2	0	162	164
Ripley	2	3	66	71
37	**19**	**92**	**67**	**178**
Carter	0	8	1	9
Howell	12	55	40	107
Oregon	3	14	13	30
Shannon	4	15	13	32
38	**37**	**21**	**126**	**184**
Christian	37	21	126	184
39	**80**	**165**	**317**	**562**
Barry	58	54	194	306
Lawrence	12	64	90	166
Stone	10	47	33	90
40	**13**	**18**	**176**	**207**
McDonald	3	4	63	70
Newton	10	14	113	137
41	**26**	**6**	**116**	**148**
Macon	20	5	74	99
Shelby	6	1	42	49
42	**55**	**12**	**259**	**326**
Crawford	20	1	90	111
Dent	3	11	21	35
Iron	7	0	45	52
Reynolds	4	0	38	42
Wayne	21	0	65	86
43	**12**	**2**	**66**	**80**
Caldwell	4	0	13	17
Clinton	0	1	29	30
Daviess	5	1	5	11
DeKalb	3	0	10	13
Livingston	0	0	9	9
44	**0**	**0**	**95**	**95**
Douglas	0	0	31	31
Ozark	0	0	16	16
Wright	0	0	48	48
45	**14**	**25**	**274**	**313**
Lincoln	9	23	252	284
Pike	5	2	22	29
46	**16**	**53**	**138**	**207**
Taney	16	53	138	207
Statewide Total	**2,608**	**1,873**	**10,938**	**15,419**

Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	Beyond Parental Control	Habitually Absent From Home	Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	Muni - Curfew	Muni - Other Violation	Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	Muni- Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	Muni - Smoking In Public Place	Status Offense - Other	Truancy	Total
1	**58**	**21**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**15**	**94**
Clark	35	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	64
Schuyler	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Scotland	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	25
2	**45**	**46**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**37**	**134**
Adair	17	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	57
Knox	19	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	41
Lewis	9	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	36
3	**31**	**6**	**10**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**18**	**69**
Grundy	15	2	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	38
Harrison	9	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	21
Mercer	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Putnam	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
4	**56**	**66**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**51**	**175**
Atchison	6	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	28
Gentry	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13
Holt	6	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	26
Nodaway	38	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	32	101
Worth	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	**44**	**49**	**130**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**10**	**0**	**6**	**77**	**323**
Andrew	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	23	38
Buchanan	44	44	121	0	6	0	1	10	0	5	54	285
6	**26**	**11**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**33**	**76**
Platte	26	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	76
7	**3**	**18**	**31**	**0**	**9**	**11**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**37**	**110**
Clay	3	18	31	0	9	11	0	1	0	0	37	110
8	**58**	**19**	**31**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**43**	**155**
Carroll	17	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	35
Ray	41	13	27	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	35	120
9	**76**	**21**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**13**	**103**	**214**
Chariton	29	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	64	114
Linn	32	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	19	64
Sullivan	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	36
10	**48**	**39**	**9**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**18**	**129**
Marion	32	29	5	0	8	0	0	0	0	3	13	90
Monroe	13	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	25
Ralls	3	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	14
11	**31**	**3**	**151**	**0**	**72**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**57**	**319**
St. Charles	31	3	151	0	72	3	0	2	0	0	57	319
12	**179**	**35**	**51**	**1**	**18**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**64**	**38**	**386**
Audrain	62	8	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	35	17	146
Montgomery	29	10	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	21	5	69
Warren	88	17	26	0	16	0	0	0	0	8	16	171
13	**495**	**190**	**28**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**106**	**824**
Boone	445	39	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	58	561
Callaway	50	151	10	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	48	263
14	**142**	**25**	**14**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**118**	**300**
Howard	53	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	80
Randolph	89	24	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	97	220
15	**51**	**72**	**44**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**29**	**206**
Lafayette	27	22	21	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	86
Saline	24	50	23	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	15	120
16	**23**	**0**	**34**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**5**	**70**
Jackson	23	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	70
17	**155**	**79**	**78**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**61**	**394**
Cass	84	52	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	45	261
Johnson	71	27	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	133

Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	Beyond Parental Control	Habitually Absent From Home	Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	Muni - Curfew	Muni - Other Violation	Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	Muni- Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	Muni - Smoking In Public Place	Status Offense - Other	Truancy	Total
18	**184**	**40**	**49**	**0**	**5**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**46**	**346**
Cooper	89	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	22	146
Pettis	95	25	46	0	5	1	0	0	0	4	24	200
19	**160**	**20**	**34**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**18**	**234**
Cole	160	20	34	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	18	234
20	**52**	**13**	**44**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**35**	**114**	**269**
Franklin	45	11	39	0	8	0	0	3	0	34	106	246
Gasconade	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	12
Osage	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	11
21	**74**	**136**	**921**	**0**	**404**	**2**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**33**	**323**	**1,898**
St. Louis Co.	74	136	921	0	404	2	0	5	0	33	323	1,898
22	**0**	**22**	**70**	**0**	**28**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**201**	**322**
St. Louis City	0	22	70	0	28	0	0	1	0	0	201	322
23	**62**	**63**	**118**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**11**	**181**	**446**
Jefferson	62	63	118	0	9	0	0	2	0	11	181	446
24	**79**	**57**	**27**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**1**	**53**	**84**	**308**
Madison	11	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	29
St. Francois	44	24	18	0	0	0	0	7	1	3	21	118
Ste. Genevieve	6	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	30
Washington	18	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	42	131
25	**388**	**62**	**26**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**180**	**662**
Maries	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	22
Phelps	124	47	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	253
Pulaski	104	5	14	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	38	167
Texas	152	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	220
26	**92**	**15**	**26**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**57**	**78**	**268**
Camden	38	5	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	83
Laclede	32	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	24	88
Miller	11	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	19	62
Moniteau	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	17
Morgan	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	18
27	**89**	**24**	**25**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**6**	**23**	**172**
Bates	39	13	10	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	7	77
Henry	39	9	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	76
St. Clair	11	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	19
28	**109**	**79**	**37**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**157**	**387**
Barton	17	11	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	58	94
Cedar	12	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	50
Dade	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10
Vernon	77	59	16	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	78	233
29	**262**	**123**	**152**	**0**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**19**	**66**	**639**
Jasper	262	123	152	0	15	0	0	2	0	19	66	639
30	**34**	**41**	**94**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**31**	**204**
Benton	3	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	34
Dallas	2	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	16
Hickory	1	4	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17
Polk	13	16	56	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	92
Webster	15	8	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	45
31	**120**	**18**	**66**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**207**
Greene	120	18	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	207
32	**207**	**29**	**66**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**251**	**563**
Bollinger	13	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	57
Cape Girardeau	164	13	53	0	3	0	0	0	0	7	155	395
Perry	30	11	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	111
33	**21**	**37**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**22**	**88**
Mississippi	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Scott	18	34	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	22	81

Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	Beyond Parental Control	Habitually Absent From Home	Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	Muni - Curfew	Muni - Other Violation	Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	Muni- Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	Muni - Smoking In Public Place	Status Offense - Other	Truancy	Total
34	**200**	**104**	**31**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**28**	**364**
New Madrid	70	40	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25	144
Pemiscot	130	64	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	220
35	**49**	**36**	**13**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**39**	**158**	**299**
Dunklin	5	15	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	97	129
Stoddard	44	21	8	0	1	0	0	2	0	33	61	170
36	**4**	**36**	**42**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**51**	**134**
Butler	2	19	35	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	31	88
Ripley	2	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	46
37	**63**	**54**	**35**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**24**	**53**	**229**
Carter	13	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	26
Howell	34	44	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	35	163
Oregon	11	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	21
Shannon	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	19
38	**173**	**23**	**39**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**242**
Christian	173	23	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	242
39	**67**	**42**	**51**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**162**
Barry	21	10	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	47
Lawrence	17	29	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
Stone	29	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	47
40	**126**	**73**	**40**	**0**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**13**	**44**	**309**
McDonald	20	10	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	39
Newton	106	63	34	0	13	0	0	0	0	13	41	270
41	**71**	**36**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**33**	**152**
Macon	45	28	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	95
Shelby	26	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	57
42	**48**	**107**	**9**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**6**	**111**	**287**
Crawford	23	20	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	27	79
Dent	11	16	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	39
Iron	7	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	124
Reynolds	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	15
Wayne	2	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	30
43	**89**	**36**	**23**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**43**	**195**
Caldwell	11	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	23
Clinton	30	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	73
Daviess	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
DeKalb	20	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	33
Livingston	24	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	59
44	**12**	**24**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**41**
Douglas	2	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	11
Ozark	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Wright	9	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	28
45	**56**	**29**	**22**	**0**	**8**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**142**	**262**
Lincoln	52	27	20	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	133	237
Pike	4	2	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	9	25
46	**48**	**1**	**29**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**78**
Taney	48	1	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
Statewide Total	**4,460**	**2,080**	**2,729**	**1**	**646**	**22**	**2**	**45**	**1**	**464**	**3,295**	**13,745**

Appendix J: Administrative Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Juvenile Formal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Juvenile Informal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Probation/ Parole Violation	Probation Violation	Violation Of Valid Court Order	Total
4	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**
Gentry	0	0	0	0	3	3
5	**994**	**115**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**1,113**
Andrew	27	4	0	1	0	32
Buchanan	967	111	3	0	0	1,081
6	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**
Platte	0	0	0	0	3	3
7	**8**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**10**
Clay	8	1	0	0	1	10
8	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Ray	1	0	0	0	0	1
11	**8**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**
St. Charles	8	0	0	0	0	8
14	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**
Randolph	0	0	0	0	3	3
16	**0**	**208**	**0**	**0**	**39**	**247**
Jackson	0	208	0	0	39	247
17	**15**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**17**
Cass	13	0	0	0	1	14
Johnson	2	1	0	0	0	3
18	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**4**
Pettis	0	1	0	0	3	4
19	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**6**
Cole	0	0	0	0	6	6
20	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**
Franklin	0	0	0	0	1	1
21	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**193**	**194**
St. Louis Co.	0	0	1	0	193	194
22	**32**	**9**	**12**	**0**	**4**	**57**
St. Louis City	32	9	12	0	4	57
23	**10**	**5**	**60**	**0**	**38**	**113**
Jefferson	10	5	60	0	38	113
24	**6**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**27**	**37**
Madison	0	1	0	0	10	11
St. Francois	6	3	0	0	13	22
Ste. Genevieve	0	0	0	0	4	4
26	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**
Camden	0	0	0	0	1	1
28	**19**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**35**
Barton	6	6	0	0	0	12
Cedar	1	3	0	0	0	4
Vernon	12	6	0	0	1	19
29	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**9**	**10**
Jasper	1	0	0	0	9	10
31	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**
Greene	0	0	0	0	1	1
33	**48**	**14**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**62**
Mississippi	16	0	0	0	0	16
Scott	32	14	0	0	0	46
35	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**
Dunklin	0	0	0	0	1	1
Stoddard	1	0	0	0	0	1
38	**10**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**14**
Christian	10	4	0	0	0	14
39	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	1
40	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Newton	0	0	3	0	0	3

Appendix J: Administrative Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Juvenile Formal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Juvenile Informal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Probation/ Parole Violation	Probation Violation	Violation Of Valid Court Order	Total
41	**1**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**9**
Macon	1	7	0	0	0	8
Shelby	0	1	0	0	0	1
43	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**3**
DeKalb	1	0	0	2	0	3
45	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**6**
Lincoln	5	0	0	0	1	6
Statewide Total	**1,160**	**385**	**80**	**3**	**336**	**1,964**

Appendix K: Out of Home Placements by Circuit

	Court Res. Care		DMH		CD		DYS*		Relative		Private Agency		Public Agency		Other		Total
Circuit	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases
1	0	0%	0	0%	11	92%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	12
2	0	0%	0	0%	84	95%	2	2%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	88
3	0	0%	0	0%	45	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47
4	0	0%	1	2%	47	98%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	48
5	29	36%	0	0%	42	52%	1	1%	4	5%	1	1%	3	4%	1	1%	81
6	1	3%	0	0%	22	73%	7	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30
7	0	0%	0	0%	100	70%	34	24%	2	1%	0	0%	6	4%	0	0%	142
8	0	0%	0	0%	13	32%	25	61%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	41
9	2	3%	0	0%	48	72%	1	1%	13	19%	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	67
10	1	2%	0	0%	55	96%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	57
11	0	0%	0	0%	122	88%	14	10%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	138
12	0	0%	0	0%	28	64%	0	0%	13	30%	1	2%	0	0%	2	5%	44
13	0	0%	0	0%	117	98%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	120
14	5	9%	0	0%	21	37%	9	16%	16	28%	2	4%	1	2%	3	5%	57
15	0	0%	0	0%	20	61%	13	39%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
16	39	5%	0	0%	582	79%	60	8%	42	6%	2	0%	0	0%	9	1%	734
17	0	0%	0	0%	41	79%	4	8%	3	6%	2	4%	0	0%	2	4%	52
18	0	0%	0	0%	28	60%	13	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	13%	47
19	0	0%	0	0%	20	77%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	26
20	0	0%	0	0%	5	42%	6	50%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	12
21	0	0%	0	0%	238	61%	20	5%	96	25%	0	0%	7	2%	29	7%	390
22	10	4%	0	0%	230	84%	27	10%	5	2%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	275
23	0	0%	3	1%	154	35%	44	10%	240	54%	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%	446
24	1	1%	0	0%	118	69%	31	18%	10	6%	0	0%	10	6%	0	0%	170
25	6	2%	0	0%	264	93%	4	1%	9	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	283
26	0	0%	1	3%	32	97%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
27	1	2%	0	0%	34	67%	3	6%	12	24%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	51
28	0	0%	0	0%	37	41%	54	59%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	91
29	6	3%	0	0%	173	74%	26	11%	21	9%	1	0%	1	0%	6	3%	234
30	4	5%	0	0%	37	44%	12	14%	27	32%	0	0%	3	4%	1	1%	84
31	1	1%	0	0%	163	87%	12	6%	9	5%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	187
32	0	0%	0	0%	103	93%	8	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
33	0	0%	0	0%	125	69%	8	4%	39	21%	4	2%	5	3%	1	1%	182
34	0	0%	0	0%	126	91%	1	1%	4	3%	4	3%	3	2%	0	0%	138
35	6	3%	0	0%	114	59%	3	2%	70	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	193
36	5	7%	0	0%	39	52%	2	3%	25	33%	0	0%	0	0%	4	5%	75
37	2	4%	0	0%	49	86%	5	9%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	57
38	1	2%	1	2%	33	59%	13	23%	7	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	56
39	0	0%	0	0%	160	98%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	164
40	0	0%	0	0%	14	54%	4	15%	8	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26
41	3	7%	0	0%	38	93%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	41
42	3	2%	0	0%	102	84%	4	3%	10	8%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	122
43	0	0%	0	0%	69	83%	11	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	83
44	0	0%	0	0%	47	94%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50
45	0	0%	2	2%	74	68%	5	5%	28	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	109
46	0	0%	0	0%	169	95%	5	3%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	178
Total	**126**	**2%**	**8**	**0%**	**4,193**	**73%**	**506**	**9%**	**719**	**13%**	**28**	**0%**	**47**	**1%**	**78**	**1%**	**5,705**

Appendix L: In Home Services by Circuit

Circuit	Supervision By Court		DMH		CD		DYS		Private Agency		Public Agency		Other		Total
	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases
1	0	66%	0	0%	24	22%	0	0%	12	11%	0	0%	0	0%	107
2	60	64%	0	0%	4	5%	0	0%	20	27%	3	4%	0	0%	74
3	72	73%	3	2%	23	17%	0	0%	10	7%	1	1%	0	0%	139
4	112	73%	9	6%	14	9%	0	0%	14	9%	4	3%	2	1%	158
5	1195	83%	0	0%	8	3%	0	0%	20	7%	21	7%	1	0%	300
6	112	79%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	37	19%	191
7	63	98%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	206
8	42	81%	4	10%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	2	5%	42
9	21	45%	0	0%	3	27%	0	0%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	11
10	78	93%	0	0%	7	4%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	165
11	337	57%	1	0%	4	0%	0	0%	454	38%	50	4%	3	0%	1,180
12	78	92%	3	1%	8	3%	0	0%	3	1%	2	1%	6	2%	265
13	59	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	178
14	6	14%	2	29%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	7
15	51	78%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	6	9%	6	9%	0	0%	65
16	147	43%	0	0%	80	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	141
17	291	93%	12	2%	19	3%	0	0%	10	2%	2	0%	0	0%	641
18	112	73%	18	10%	5	3%	0	0%	17	10%	2	1%	4	2%	172
19	64	82%	0	0%	7	11%	1	2%	0	0%	2	3%	2	3%	66
20	61	98%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	132
21	103	56%	1	0%	123	38%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	15	5%	320
22	287	92%	4	1%	2	0%	0	0%	21	4%	7	1%	7	1%	522
23	391	87%	0	0%	47	13%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	365
24	74	64%	21	17%	2	2%	0	0%	2	2%	7	6%	12	10%	121
25	63	98%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	63
26	28	52%	2	3%	22	35%	0	0%	5	8%	1	2%	0	0%	62
27	93	75%	8	3%	48	20%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	236
28	146	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	134
29	156	62%	3	1%	68	17%	3	1%	15	4%	48	12%	15	4%	400
30	114	63%	8	6%	32	23%	0	0%	10	7%	2	1%	0	0%	139
31	248	84%	43	11%	3	1%	0	0%	5	1%	1	0%	11	3%	395
32	61	98%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	103
33	187	79%	0	0%	19	11%	0	0%	3	2%	13	7%	2	1%	176
34	129	96%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	27
35	38	85%	1	1%	15	11%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	134
36	41	36%	0	0%	7	5%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	85	58%	146
37	54	89%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	8	9%	94
38	145	98%	0	0%	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	173
39	47	81%	3	4%	10	12%	0	0%	2	2%	1	1%	0	0%	83
40	106	83%	2	1%	10	7%	2	1%	2	1%	8	6%	0	0%	144
41	10	64%	1	2%	18	30%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	1	2%	61
42	66	80%	1	1%	12	7%	0	0%	13	8%	5	3%	3	2%	166
43	94	99%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	210
44	5	92%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	51
45	61	99%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	144
46	56	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	56
Total	**5,764**	**78%**	**150**	**2%**	**664**	**8%**	**9**	**0%**	**664**	**8%**	**192**	**2%**	**225**	**3%**	**8,709**

Appendix M: Commitments to DYS by Circuit, Race, and Gender

	White		Black		Hispanic		Native American		Asian / Pacific Islander		
Circuit	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
7	12	6	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	29
8	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
9	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
10	6	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
11	8	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	16
13	8	2	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	19
14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
15	9	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14
16	5	1	34	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
17	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
18	13	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
19	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
20	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
21	3	0	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	18
22	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
23	18	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
24	23	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
25	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
26	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
27	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
28	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
29	24	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	37
30	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
31	17	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
32	6	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
33	10	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	14
34	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
35	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
36	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
37	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
38	11	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	17
39	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
40	8	4	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	17
41	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
42	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
43	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
44	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
45	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
46	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Total	**274**	**72**	**134**	**25**	**10**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**518**

Appendix N: Certification to Adult Court by Circuit, Race, and Gender

Circuit	White		Black		Hispanic		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	12
18	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
21	1	0	13	1	0	0	0	0	15
22	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
33	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
38	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
46	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	**14**	**0**	**37**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**53**

Missouri's 46 Judicial Circuits

Office of State Courts Administrator
P.O. Box 104480, 2112 Industrial Drive, Jefferson City, MO 65110