



In the Missouri Court of Appeals Eastern District

DIVISION FOUR

MARCUS GREER,	)	No. ED109340
	)	
Appellant,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
	)	Ste. Genevieve County
vs.	)	20SG-CC00028
	)	
STATE OF MISSOURI,	)	Honorable Wendy Wexler Horn
	)	
Respondent.	)	Filed: October 26, 2021

Michael E. Gardner, P.J., James M. Dowd, J., and Lisa P. Page, J.

Following his convictions for multiple sex crimes involving three minor girls for which he was sentenced to a total of 128 years in prison, Appellant Marcus Greer filed his amended Rule 29.15 motion in which he alleged trial counsel was ineffective (1) for violating the duty of confidentiality by discussing the State's plea offer with his parents to enlist their assistance in convincing Greer to accept the offer, and (2) for failing to object to allegedly improper remarks made by the prosecutor during closing argument.

AFFIRMED.

DIVISION IV HOLDS: We have considered Greer's Point I in a separate published opinion in which we affirm the motion court's denial of Greer's claim that his counsel was ineffective by violating his duty of confidentiality. As to Greer's Point II, we find that the motion court did not err in denying his claim that counsel was ineffective by failing to object to the State's alleged improper closing argument. An extended opinion reciting the detailed facts and restating the principles of law would have no precedential or jurisprudential value. Pursuant to Rule 84.16(b), we have provided the parties with a memorandum, for their information only, setting forth the reasons for our decision on Greer's Point II.

Opinion by: James M. Dowd, J.

Michael E. Gardner, P.J., and Lisa P. Page, J., concur.

Attorneys for Appellant: Kristina S. Olson
Attorneys for Respondent: Shaun J. Mackelprang

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.