OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

E.Y.,	)	No. ED109961
	)	
Appellant,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
	)	of St. Louis County
v.	)	Cause No. 21SL-PN01890
	)	
C.T.,	)	Honorable Julia P. Lasater
	)	
Respondent.	)	Filed: May 3, 2022

Appellant E.Y. appeals the judgment of the circuit court denying E.Y. an order of protection against Respondent C.T.

DISMISSED.

Division Five Holds: In violation of Rule 81.12, E.Y. has not submitted a certified transcript of the order of protection hearing. Without the transcript, we cannot determine the accuracy of E.Y.'s allegations concerning the testimony and other evidence adduced at the hearing. *See In re K.S.*, 404 S.W.3d 900-01 (Mo. App. E.D. 2013); *State v. Unganisha*, 253 S.W.3d 108, 109 (Mo. App. W.D. 2008). We must dismiss an appeal when the appellant fails to provide a record containing everything necessary to determine the questions presented, including the transcript required under Rule 81.12. *See Unganisha*, 253 S.W.3d at 109. We grant C.T.'s motion to dismiss the appeal for failure of E.Y. to file a transcript of the order of protection hearing. The appeal is dismissed.[1]

Opinion by: Cristian M. Stevens, J.,
Sherri B. Sullivan, C.J., and James M. Dowd, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: E.Y., *Pro Se*
Attorney for Respondent: Matthew A. Radefeld

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.

[1] In addition to granting C.T.'s motion to dismiss the appeal, we deny E.Y.'s motion to supplement the record with a self-transcribed transcript, and we deny as moot C.T.'s motion to strike that transcript. Finally, given our dismissal of the appeal, we deny as moot C.T.'s motion to strike portions of the legal file outside the scope of the issues before the circuit court.