OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

KEITH WRIGHT,	)	ED109755
	)	
Appellant,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
	)	the City of St. Louis
v.	)	1822-CC11628
	)	
STATE OF MISSOURI,	)	Honorable Katherine M. Fowler
	)	
Respondent.	)	Filed: December 6, 2022

Keith Wright appeals the judgment denying his amended motion for postconviction relief pursuant to Rule 29.15 without an evidentiary hearing. Wright alleged counsel convinced him to testify against his will, resulting in the submission of a self-defense instruction and the admission of prior statements inconsistent with his trial testimony.

AFFIRMED.

Division Two holds: Wright did not allege facts, not refuted by the record, showing that trial counsel convinced or coerced him to testify against his will. Further, Wright failed to specifically plead that trial counsel failed to discuss the possibility of a self-defense instruction or the admissibility of his prior statements. The motion court did not clearly err in denying relief without an evidentiary hearing. Rule 29.15(h).

Opinion by: Renée D. Hardin-Tammons, J.

Lisa P. Page, P.J. and Thomas C. Clark, II, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Kevin L. Schriener

Attorney for Respondent: Garrick Aplin

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.