OPINION SUMMARY
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

C.M.M.,	)	No. ED112435
	)	
Respondent,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
	)	of St. Louis County
vs.	)	Cause No. 23SL-PN06778
	)	
A.M.C.,	)	Honorable Amanda B. McNelley
	)	
Appellant.	)	FILED: February 25, 2025

A.M.C. (Husband) appeals from the trial court's judgment granting an order of protection to C.M.M (Wife) under the Adult Abuse Act (the Act). Husband raises three points on appeal. Point One argues the trial court erred in granting the order of protection because there was not substantial evidence of physical harm so as to prove battery or assault. Point Two alleges the trial court erred in granting the order of protection because there was not substantial evidence to support finding Husband stalked Wife in that she did not prove she feared a danger of physical harm or that a reasonable person would have feared a danger of physical harm. Point Three contends the trial court erred in granting the order of protection because there was not substantial evidence to support finding Husband harassed Wife in that no reasonable person would have suffered substantial emotional distress nor did Wife actually suffer substantial emotional distress.

REVERSED.

DIVISION TWO HOLDS: Because we find the trial court's judgment granting a full order of protection was not supported by substantial evidence. We reverse the trial court's judgment and vacate the order.

Opinion by: Rebeca M. Navarro-McKelvey, J.
Lisa P. Page, P.J., and Virginia W. Lay, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Matthew P. Donahoe

Attorney for Respondent: Mathew G. Eilerts, III
Dzenana Delic
Amber Fitzgerald

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.