**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS**
**WESTERN DISTRICT**

**R.A.W., Respondent,**
**v.**
**S.R.W., Appellant.**

---

DOCKET NUMBER WD88729

DATE: November 10, 2026

---

Appeal From:

Boone County Circuit Court
The Honorable Jayne Anne Pearman, Judge

---

Appellate Judges:

Division One: Alok Ahuja, Presiding Judge, Thomas N. Chapman, Judge, and Janet Sutton, Judge

---

Attorneys:

S.R.W., Columbia, MO, Appellant acting pro se.

S.R.W., Ashland, MO, for Respondent.

---

**MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY**

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS**
**WESTERN DISTRICT**

**R.A.W., Respondent,**
**v.**
**S.R.W., Appellant.**

WD88729 Boone County

Before Division One: Alok Ahuja, Presiding Judge, Thomas N. Chapman, Judge, and Janet Sutton, Judge

S.W. appeals a judgment of the Circuit Court of Boone County that entered a full order of protection against her. In her *pro se* appeal, S.W. argues that the trial court erred by finding jurisdiction, by depriving her of due process, by finding a full protection order was warranted, by deciding the case on an incomplete record, and by imposing unworkable terms.

**AFFIRMED.**

**Division One holds:**

1. S.W. waived the defenses of personal jurisdiction and sufficiency of service of process by failing to raise such defenses at the first opportunity, and, in any case, failed to preserve her contentions of error by presenting a sufficient legal argument or legal authority.

2. S.W. failed to preserve an objection to the trial court's consideration of a motion to modify by failing to present the issues underlying her argument on appeal to the trial court and by failing to present particular legal arguments or relevant legal authority in support of her assertions of error.

3. S.W. failed to preserve the argument in her multifarious third point relied on, which nevertheless failed to make clear the type of argument being made and failed to adhere to the analytical framework required to establish evidentiary error.

4. S.W. failed to preserve for appeal the arguments in her multifarious fourth point relied on, and failed to make a discernible legal argument regarding the trial court's consideration of evidence and failed to support her assertions with legal authority.

5. S.W. failed to preserve the arguments in her fifth point on appeal by failing to raise with the trial court the issues she attempted to present on appeal.

6. S.W.'s sixth point relied on failed to present an allegation of trial court error as necessary to establish reversible error. Instead, S.W.'s sixth point merely requested that meaningful relief be granted if reversible error were found in one of her remaining points.

**Opinion by: Thomas N. Chapman, Judge** November 10, 2026

This summary is UNOFFICIAL and should not be quoted or cited.