2016

CALENDAR YEAR

MISSOURI

JUVENILE & FAMILY DIVISION

ANNUAL REPORT

MISSOURI COURTS
Judicial Branch of Government

Submitted by:
Supreme Court of Missouri
Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)
Prepared by:
Court Business Services Division
Research Unit

Table of Contents

Introduction

The *Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report* provides a comprehensive account of both case activity and youth served for calendar year 2016. This report presents general population data for Missouri youth; summary statistics on the youth referred for status, law, and abuse and neglect to Missouri's juvenile division; the risk and needs characteristics of the juvenile offender population; detention and DYS populations; recidivism rates; certifications of juveniles to adult court; disproportionate minority contact rates, Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload; and time standards for child abuse and neglect cases.

The *Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report* is not possible without the help of Missouri's juvenile and family court staff. It is their commitment to improving outcomes for court involved youth and their families that ensures the integrity of the information reported here.

Section 1: Missouri's Youth Population

Section 1 describes the 2015 population of Missouri's youth (ages 10-17). This description provides a useful context for considering subsequent sections of the report related to a subset of youth involved with juvenile and family court divisions in Missouri [Source: Missouri Census Data Center].



Figure 1-1 Youth Population
In CY15, Missouri's youth population, ages 10-17, was 629,138. This represents less than a 1% decrease from the previous year; and a 4% decrease from 2008.



Figure 1-2 Projected Youth Population
Population projections, compiled in 2008 for the Missouri youth population, suggest it will decrease until approximately 2015 at which time the population will increase at an average rate of nearly 2.5% every 5 years until 2030.



Figure 1-3 Youth Population by Age and Sex
In CY15, males outnumbered females across all age groups in Missouri's population of 10-17 year old youths.

Figure 1-4 Youth Population by Sex

In CY15, 51% [322,098] of Missouri's youth population was male and 49% [307,040] was female. These figures have not changed over the last three years.



Figure 1-5 Youth Population by Race

Between CY14 and CY15, the population of Native American youth increased by 0.2% to 3,559, the population of Asian / Pacific Islander youth increased by 4.0% to 14,581, and the population of Hispanic youth increased by 4.8% to 37,027 over the previous year. The population of black youth decreased by 0.4% to 93,407, while the population of white youth decreased by 0.3% 480,564 from the previous year.



Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Referrals

The Missouri Juvenile Officer Performance Standards (2017) define a juvenile and family division referral as *"the initial information provided to the juvenile officer from the referring agency inclusive of the identifying information and basis for the jurisdiction of the juvenile court."* For the purpose of annual reporting, unless otherwise indicated, disposed referrals represent the unit of measurement, not individual youth. A disposition refers to the outcome or finding of a referral [see pages 14-15 for details about how these dispositions are reported in Missouri's Judicial Information System (JIS)].

The juvenile and family division is responsible for processing and supervising four referral types:

- **Status Offenses:** Status offense referrals mainly include Behavior Injurious to Self/Others, Habitually Absent from Home, Truancy, Beyond Parental Control, and Status-Other. **Note:** The following offenses were also counted as Status Offenses: Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations, which are those municipal ordinance violations that are explicitly labeled with "JUVMUNI" in the charge code (http://www.mshp.dps.missouri.gov/MSHPWeb/PatrolDivisions/CRID/documents/2016JuvenileChargeCodes.pdf).

- **Law Offenses:** Law offense referrals include all criminal violations listed in the Missouri Charge Code Manual, including infraction and ordinance violations, except Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations.

- **Child Abuse and Neglect (CA/N):** CA/N referrals are allegations of child abuse or neglect where the child is the victim or custody related matters are an issue. Abuse referrals include Abuse-Emotional, Abuse-Incest, Abuse-Other Sexual, and Physical Abuse. Neglect referrals include Abandonment, Neglect-Education, Neglect-Improper Care/Supervision, Neglect-Medical Care, Neglect-Surgical Care, and Neglect-Other. Custody referrals include Abduction, Protective Custody, Transfer of Custody, Termination of Parental Rights, and Relief of Custody.

- **Administrative:** Administrative referrals include Violation of Valid Court Order, Juvenile Informal Supervision/Technical Violation, and Juvenile Formal Supervision/Technical Violation.[1]

Section 2 presents information on disposed referrals at the state level for the juvenile and family division in calendar year 2016.

[1] Counts of Administrative referrals throughout this report include these additional violations: Prob / Parole Violation and Probation Violation (Municipal Ordinance). While not sanctioned for use on juvenile referrals, circuits have used these charge codes in CY16.

Table 2-1 Source of Referrals
Referrals to Missouri's juvenile and family division originate from a variety of sources. In CY16, 44% of all referrals originated from some type of law enforcement agency, primarily municipal police [37%]. The Children's Division of Missouri's Department of Social Services accounted for 22% of all referrals, followed by schools [21%] (School Personnel and Resource Officer).
Missing Data [748].

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	19,101	36.92 %
CD	11,325	21.89 %
School Personnel	8,478	16.39 %
County Sheriff	3,016	5.83 %
Juv Court Personnel	2,798	5.41 %
School Resource Officer	2,296	4.44 %
Parent	2,096	4.05 %
Other	1,139	2.20 %
Other Juv Court	433	0.84 %
Other Law Enforcement	298	0.58 %
Private Social Agency	234	0.45 %
Highway Patrol	177	0.34 %
Other Relative	171	0.33 %
Victim or Self	83	0.16 %
Public Social Agency	78	0.15 %
DMH	13	0.03 %
Grand Total	**51,736**	**100.00 %**

Figure 2-1 Referrals by Referral Type
In CY16, a total of 52,484 referrals were disposed. The largest percentage [36%, 18,820] was for law violations. The rest of the referrals were divided between abuse / neglect allegations [32%, 16,704], status offenses [28%, 14,744], and administrative offenses [4%, 2,080].
Missing Data [136].

Note: Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations are included with status referrals.





Figure 2-2 Referrals by Sex
For all the disposed referrals in CY16, males were responsible for 61% [32,025] and females for the remaining 39% [20,388].
Missing Data [71]



Figure 2-3 Referrals by Race
Approximately, 71% [36,765] of all disposed referrals were for white youth and 26% [13,667] for black youth. Hispanic youth accounted for 3% [1,372], Asian / Pacific Islander youth accounted for .4% [231], and Native American youth accounted for 0.2% [120].
Missing Data [329].

Age	Frequency	Percentage
< 10	12,752	24.3 %
10	1,734	3.3 %
11	2,187	4.2 %
12	3,254	6.2 %
13	4,860	9.3 %
14	7,063	13.5 %
15	8,749	16.7 %
16	10,337	19.7 %
>= 17	1,506	2.9 %
Grand Total	**52,442**	**100.0 %**

Figure 2-4 Referrals by Age
The youngest age group, under 10 years, was responsible for 24% [12,752] of all referrals. Youth aged 16, were responsible for the next largest proportion, 20% of referrals [10,337], followed by youth aged 15, 17% [8,749]. Youth aged 14 accounted for 22% of referrals [7,063], youth aged 13 accounted for 9% [4,860], youth aged 12 accounted for 6% [3,254], youth aged 11 accounted for 4% [2,187], and youth aged 10 accounted for 3% [1,734]. Youth 17 years and older represented the remaining 3% [1,506].
Missing Data [42]

Figure 2-5 Youth Referral Trend

The total number of disposed referrals declined by 22% from 2008 to 2016. The trend shows the greatest decrease in law referrals (-47%). While status referrals decreased (-14%), CA/N referrals increased (35%), and administrative referrals increased by 2% over that period. Since last year, there was a decrease of in status referrals (-3%), CA/N referrals (-5%), and law referrals (-7%), while administrative referrals increased (84%).



Figure 2-6 Youth Referrals by Sex

Disposed referrals declined more for males (-25%) than for females (-16%) from 2008 to 2016. Between 2015 and 2016, the number referrals of males declined (-5%) and referrals of females declined (-3%).



Figure 2-7 Youth Referrals by Race

From 2008-2016, disposed referrals of white youth declined (-17%), referrals of black youth declined (-31%), referrals of Hispanic youth increased (15%), referrals of Asian / Pacific Islander youth increased (3%), and referrals of Native American youth increased (29%).

Note: Asian / Pacific Islander and Native American youth are not displayed to maintain readability.



The juvenile and family division responds to referrals either through a formal or informal process. Through the formal process, a juvenile officer files a petition in the juvenile and family division to have a judge hear and determine the outcome of the allegations contained in the petition. Through the informal process, a juvenile officer determines the disposition of the allegations contained in the referral without filing a petition seeking formal judicial jurisdiction. The following referral dispositions are recorded on the ***Site Defined (COASITE)*** form of the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** of JIS.

Formal Dispositions:

Allegation True, Youth Receives Out-of-Home Placement – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth is placed out-of-home with the Division of Youth Services (DYS), in foster care, with a relative, or with a private or public agency. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation True, Youth Receives In-Home Services – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth receives services while remaining in his or her home. This disposition requires the youth to receive supervision through the juvenile division. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation True, No Services – A judicial action finding the allegation true; however, the youth receives no services or supervision. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation Not True – A judicial action which results in the termination of a juvenile case during the initial juvenile division hearing because the allegation is found not true. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Sustain Motion to Dismiss – A judicial action which results in a motion to dismiss the petition before the initial division hearing. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Juvenile Certified – Felony Allegation - A judicial action sustaining a motion to dismiss a petition to the juvenile division and allow prosecution of youth under the general law. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Informal Dispositions:

Informal Adjustment with Supervision: Any informal non-judicial activity that occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference and the relevant contact standards contained in the Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice. This disposition requires completion of the risk and needs assessment when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = VIAWS]

Informal Adjustment without Supervision: Any informal non-judicial activity that occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference. Although services may be monitored, this disposition does not include direct supervision of a youth in accordance with the Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice. However, because the disposition is applied on the basis of an informal adjustment conference, completion of the mandated risk and needs assessments is required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = VIANS]

Informal Adjustment, Counseled and Warned: Any informal non-judicial activity that entails no more than brief face-to-face, telephone, or warning letter with the intent to inform, counsel, and warn the youth and/or family regarding a referral received. No official informal adjustment conference, per Supreme Court Rule is held; therefore completion of the mandated risk or needs assessments is not required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = DVCAW]

Transfer to Other Juvenile Division: A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another juvenile division for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DVTJC]

Transfer to Other Agency: A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another agency (CD, DMH, DYS, or other public or private agency) for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DVTA]

Referral Rejected: The referral is rejected because there is insufficient information for administrative action to proceed or the referral is found not true. No informal adjustment conference is conducted and no assessments are required. [JIS Docket = DVRIE – Insufficient information; DVRNT – Not True]



Figure 2-8 Youth Referrals by Action Taken

In CY16, 74% [38,563] of all referrals were disposed through the informal process. Only 25% [13,160] of referrals required formal court intervention.

Missing Data [761]



Figure 2-9 Youth Referrals by Disposition

Informal Adjustment, No Action with 18% [9,334] was the most frequently used method of disposing referrals, followed closely by Informal Adjustment, With Supervision [18%, 9,213]. Allegation True with Out-of-Home Placement [16%, 8,049] was the most frequently applied formal disposition, followed by referrals where supervision was applied as an in-home service [6%, 3,273].

Missing Data [761]

Section 3: Law Violation Referrals

Section 3 describes law violation referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. Law violation referrals made up 36% of all referrals disposed in CY16. A law violation referral is counted as a single delinquent act represented by the most serious allegation charged (misdemeanor or higher). However, multiple delinquent acts may be associated with a single referral. **Note:** Infractions and municipal ordinances are included under law violations.

Table 3-1 Source of Law Violation Referrals

The source of 79% of law violation referrals was some form of law enforcement agency, primarily municipal police [68%] and county sheriff departments [9%]. Schools were the second highest referring agency [15%] (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined).

Missing Data [86]

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	12,564	68.10 %
County Sheriff	1,714	9.29 %
School Resource Officer	1,707	9.25 %
School Personnel	1,062	5.76 %
Juv Court Personnel	324	1.76 %
CD	233	1.26 %
Other Law Enforcement	221	1.20 %
Parent	218	1.18 %
Other Juv Court	156	0.85 %
Highway Patrol	130	0.70 %
Other	57	0.31 %
Victim or Self	29	0.16 %
Other Relative	16	0.09 %
Private Social Agency	8	0.04 %
Public Social Agency	7	0.04 %
DMH	3	0.02 %
Grand Total	18,449	100.00 %

Figure 3-1 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level

Class A misdemeanors accounted for most of the law referrals [46%, 8,747], followed by Class B misdemeanors [14%, 2,653]. Felonies represented about 1/5th of law referrals, with the majority being Class C [11%, 2,041]. Four percent of all law violations were for Class A and B felonies [264 & 491].

Missing Data [136]





Figure 3-2 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Sex

Law violations at the misdemeanor level were the most common allegation for both male and female offenders. However, within sex, the percentage of referrals for misdemeanors was higher for females [87%, 4,377] than for males [74%, 9,368]. Conversely, males were referred at a higher rate [26%, 3,317] for felonies than were females [13%, 662].

Missing Data [21]



Figure 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Race

Misdemeanor was the most common charge for all law violations. However, within race, the percentage of felony referrals was higher for black youth [28%, 1,615] than white youth [20%, 2,214], Hispanic youth [26%, 117], Asian / Pacific Islander youth [24%, 19], or Native American youth [16%, 5].

Missing Data [46]



Figure 3-4 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Age

Youth between 15-16 years old were responsible for the largest number of misdemeanors and the largest number of felonies. However, youth 12 and younger were proportionally more likely to commit misdemeanors (81% of their violations), while youth 17 and older were proportionally more likely to commit felonies (36% of their violations). 81% of referrals of youth aged 15-16 were for misdemeanors, while 22% of referrals of this group were for felonies.

Missing Data [11]

Table 3–2 Top Law Violation Referrals

Violations for Assault, Theft/Stealing, Property Damage, and Dangerous Drugs accounted for the majority [64%] of major allegations on law referrals.

Missing Data [0]

Top Law Violations CY16	Frequency	Percentage
ASSAULT	4,932	26.21 %
STEALING	3,336	17.73 %
DAMAGE PROPERTY	2,083	11.07 %
DANGEROUS DRUGS	1,744	9.27 %
PEACE DISTURBANCE	1,380	7.33 %
SEXUAL ASSAULT	766	4.07 %
LIQUOR LAWS	738	3.92 %
BURGLARY	572	3.04 %
INVASION OF PRIVACY	572	3.04 %
OBSTRUCT JUSTICE	385	2.05 %
WEAPONS	366	1.94 %
ROBBERY	275	1.46 %
OBSTRUCTING POLICE	254	1.35 %
SEX OFFENSES	242	1.29 %
OBSCENITY	178	0.95 %
STOLEN PROPERTY	159	0.84 %
HEALTH AND SAFETY	149	0.79 %
MOTOR VEHICLE	113	0.60 %
THREATS	106	0.56 %
STEALING MOTOR VEHICLE	93	0.49 %
ARSON	85	0.45 %
PUBLIC ORDER CRIMES	83	0.44 %
FRAUD	74	0.39 %
CONSERVATION	37	0.20 %
HOMICIDE	28	0.15 %
FLIGHT / ESCAPE	18	0.10 %
FAMILY OFFENSE	14	0.07 %
KIDNAPPING	10	0.05 %
EXPLOITATION / ENTICEMENT	10	0.05 %
FORGERY	9	0.05 %
COMMERCIALIZED SEXUAL OFFENSES	5	0.03 %
GAMBLING	4	0.02 %
Grand Total	**18,820**	**100.00 %**

Note: Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

Table 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Major Allegation and Age Group

Fifty-three percent of all law violation referrals were committed by juveniles between the ages of 15 and 16. These youth were responsible for 80% of kidnapping, 57% of homicides, 64% of robberies, 71% of drug charges, and 58% of stealing referrals. Only promoting obscenity and sex offenses were committed at a higher rate by youth between the ages of 13 and 14. Missing Data [136]

Major Allegation										
	< 10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	>= 17	Grand Total
ARSON	9	5	6	11	13	13	16	10	2	85
ASSAULT	211	139	243	418	703	921	1,042	1,142	112	4,931
BURGLARY	17	16	29	33	65	133	111	142	26	572
COMMERCIAL SEX OFFENSES							3	1	1	5
CONSERVATION		1			2	2	11	20	1	37
DAMAGE PROPERTY	87	58	83	168	244	378	493	529	42	2,082
DANGEROUS DRUGS	2	2	11	40	130	237	422	815	85	1,744
EXPLOITATION / ENTICEMENT					1	4	3	2		10
FAMILY OFFENSE				3	1	2	2	6		14
FLIGHT / ESCAPE					3	3	2	8	2	18
FORGERY						1	2	5	1	9
FRAUD		1	2	4	6	14	20	22	5	74
GAMBLING							1	1	2	4
HEALTH AND SAFETY	2	4	6	2	18	23	39	52	3	149
HOMICIDE			1		1	7	4	12	3	28
INVASION OF PRIVACY	14	11	13	33	61	99	147	187	7	572
KIDNAPPING						1	4	4	1	10
LIQUOR LAWS	1		3	11	36	85	220	346	35	737
MOTOR VEHICLE			2	2	9	33	32	32	3	113
OBSCENITY		1	5	22	42	40	28	35	5	178
OBSTRUCT JUSTICE			2	3	8	14	27	40	6	100
OBSTRUCTING POLICE	6		3	12	16	37	69	102	9	254
PEACE DISTURBANCE	26	35	63	127	190	288	291	329	29	1,378
PUBLIC ORDER CRIMES	7	4	3	8	8	13	18	18	4	83
ROBBERY	2	1	4	7	29	46	79	98	9	275
SEX OFFENSES	13	8	8	16	34	56	41	35	30	241
SEXUAL ASSAULT	35	16	25	48	93	139	134	161	111	762
STEALING	51	60	116	186	353	538	785	1,142	104	3,335
STEALING MOTOR VEHICLE				3	9	17	19	42	3	93
STOLEN PROPERTY	1	1	4	9	25	24	34	55	6	159
THREATS		3	3	7	13	24	23	30	3	106
WEAPONS	17	4	12	27	47	58	93	100	8	366
Grand Total	**501**	**370**	**647**	**1,200**	**2,160**	**3,250**	**4,215**	**5,523**	**658**	**18,524**

Note: Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

Figure 3-5 Law Violation Referrals by Action Taken

Eighty-two percent [15,183] of law violation referrals were disposed through the informal court process. The remaining 18% required formal court intervention [3,256].

Missing Data [96]



Figure 3-6 Law Violation Referrals by Disposition

The most frequently used method of disposing law violation referrals was Informal Adjustment with Supervision [21%, 3,805], followed by Informal Adjustment without Supervision [18%, 3,362]. Allegation True With In-Home Services was the most frequently applied formal disposition [9%, 1,654], followed by Allegation True-Out-of-Home Placement [5%, 879]. Less than 1% [85] of referrals resulted in petitions for Certification to Adult Court.

Missing Data [96]



Section 4 describes status offense referrals disposed by the juvenile and family division. Status offense referrals made up 28% of all referrals in CY16. A status violation referral is counted as a single behavioral act represented by the most serious allegation charged. However, multiple status offense acts may be associated with a single referral.

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
School Personnel	5,322	36.2 %
Municipal Police	5,196	35.4 %
Parent	1,263	8.6 %
County Sheriff	1,000	6.8 %
CD	592	4.0 %
School Resource Officer	534	3.6 %
Juv Court Personnel	385	2.6 %
Other Juv Court	75	0.5 %
Other	70	0.5 %
Other Relative	67	0.5 %
Other Law Enforcement	48	0.3 %
Public Social Agency	45	0.3 %
Private Social Agency	35	0.2 %
Highway Patrol	30	0.2 %
Victim or Self	19	0.1 %
DMH	8	0.1 %
Grand Total	**14,689**	**100.0 %**

Table 4-1 Source of Status Violation Referrals

Forty-three percent of status violation referrals originated from some form of law enforcement agency, primarily municipal police [35%] and county sheriff departments [7%]. Schools [40%] were the second highest referring agency (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined), followed by parents [9%] and Juvenile Division Personnel [7%].

Missing Data [55]



Figure 4-1 Status Violation Referrals by Allegation

Behavior Injurious to Self or Others [31%, 4,508] was the most frequent status offense for which youth were referred, followed closely by Truancy [25%, 3,737]. Muni-Curfew constitutes 5% of status offense referrals, while the remaining Juvenile Municipal Ordinance charges combined account for less than 1% of all status offense referrals.

Missing data [0].

Note: Juvenile Municipal Ordinances are included in Status Offenses.

Figure 4-2 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Sex

An approximately equal percentage of males [16%, 1,370] and females [15%, 921] were referred for Beyond Parental Control. However, females were most likely to be referred for Truancy [28%, 1,728], whereas males were more likely to be referred for Behavior Injurious to Self/Others [34%, 2,909].
Missing Data [16]

Note: Due to space constraints, only Muni-Curfew Juvenile Muni Ordinance is included.



Figure 4-3 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Race

Status violation referrals for white youth were most frequently for Behavior Injurious to Self or Others [32%, 3,484] and Truancy [26%, 2,795]. Black youth were most frequently referred for Habitually Absent from Home [28%, 930], followed by Behavior Injurious to Self or Others [25%, 833].
Missing Data [65]

Note: Due to space constraints, only Muni-Curfew Juvenile Muni Ordinance is included.



Frequency	BEHAVIOR INJURIOUS TO SELF/OTHERS	BEYOND PARENTAL CONTROL	HABITUALLY ABSENT FROM HOME	MUNI - CURFEW	STATUS OFFENSE - OTHER	TRUANCY
< 10	581	158	36	3	39	375
10	230	87	33	5	27	72
11	302	138	42	2	39	123
12	458	209	116	23	87	315
13	579	305	259	64	91	515
14	724	422	508	112	118	679
15	771	510	748	207	136	811
16	782	440	787	277	143	808
>= 17	77	26	132	13	24	36

Figure 4-4 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Age

Proportionally, youth aged 12 years and younger had the greatest percent of referrals for Behavior Injurious to Self or Others, with 45% of these youth referred for that charge. Youth in the age groups 13-14 and 15-16 were more likely to be referred for Truancy, with 27% and 25% of each age group referred for that charge, respectively. The oldest youth, aged 17 years and older, had the greatest percent of Habitually Absent From Home, with 43% of those youth being referred for that charge.

Missing Data [8]

Note: Due to space constraints, only Muni-Curfew Juvenile Muni Ordinance is included.



Figure 4-5 Status Offense Referrals by Action Taken

The vast majority of status offense referrals [90%, 13,281] were disposed through the informal process, leaving only 10% [1,407] to be disposed through the formal court process.

Missing Data [56]

Figure 4-6 Status Offense Referrals by Disposition

Informal Adjustment, No Action [29%, 4,242] was the most frequently used method for disposing status referrals, followed by Informal Adjustment without Supervision [22%, 3,284]. Allegation True with In-Home Services was the most frequently applied formal disposition [5%, 689].

Missing Data [56]



Section 5 describes child abuse and neglect (CA/N) referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. CA/N referrals made up 32% of all referrals in CY16. A CA/N referral is counted as a single event, represented by the most serious allegation where a youth is the victim. However, a youth may be the victim of multiple incidences of abuse and/or neglect at the time at which they are referred.

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
CD	10,363	64.08 %
School Personnel	1,768	10.93 %
Municipal Police	1,051	6.50 %
Other	986	6.10 %
Juv Court Personnel	676	4.18 %
Parent	557	3.44 %
County Sheriff	286	1.77 %
Other Juv Court	177	1.09 %
Private Social Agency	143	0.88 %
Other Relative	67	0.41 %
School Resource Officer	45	0.28 %
Highway Patrol	15	0.09 %
Other Law Enforcement	12	0.07 %
Victim or Self	12	0.07 %
Public Social Agency	11	0.07 %
DMH	2	0.01 %
Grand Total	**16,171**	**100.00 %**

Table 5-1 Source of CA/N Referrals
The source of 64% of all CA/N referrals was Children's Division (CD) of Missouri's Department of Social Services (DSS). Law enforcement agencies were responsible for 8% of the referrals. Approximately, 11% of the referrals originated from schools (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined).
Missing Data [533]



Figure 5-1 CA/N Referrals by Allegation Type
Neglect–Improper Care / Supervision represented nearly half [45%, 7,472] of all CA/N referrals, followed by Neglect-Other [13%, 2,215] and Neglect-Education [12%, 1,927].

Figure 5-2 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Sex

Within sex, the percentage of Neglect related referrals was slightly greater for males [73%, 6,043] than for females [71%, 5,958]. Conversely, referrals for Abuse were greater for females [18%, 1,471] compared with their male counterparts [15%, 1,217].

Missing Data [27]



Figure 5-3 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Race

Youth were most likely to be referred for Neglect. Black youth were more likely than other minority youth to be referred for Abuse.

Missing Data [45]

Race/Ethnicity	JUVENILE ABUSE	JUVENILE CUSTODY	JUVENILE NEGLECT
White	1,910	1,403	9,186
Black	664	514	2,341
Hispanic	62	50	265
Asian / Pacific Islander	11	14	36
Native American	9	1	39

Figure 5-4 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Age

The vast majority of abuse, neglect, and custody referrals were for youth 12 years of age and younger [13,556], with neglect (74%) as the most frequently reported allegation.

Missing [17]

Age	JUVENILE ABUSE	JUVENILE CUSTODY	JUVENILE NEGLECT
< 10	1,512	1,344	8,148
10	158	84	661
11	153	82	640
12	138	82	554
13	164	79	468
14	170	95	451
15	180	96	457
16	149	97	467
>= 17	62	33	163



Figure 5-5 CA/N Referrals by Action Taken

Fifty-one percent of CA/N referrals were disposed through the informal court process [8,452]. The remaining 46% [7,717] of referrals were handled through formal court process.

Missing Data [535]



Figure 5-6 CA/N Referrals by Disposition

Allegation True, Out-of-home Placement was the most frequently applied disposition [40%, 6,476] to CA/N referrals, followed by Transfer to Other Agency (CD) [13%, 2,110] and Referral Rejected [13%, 2,034].

Missing Data [535]

Section 6: Assessment & Classification

In 1995, the Missouri General Assembly passed the Juvenile Crime and Crime Prevention Bill [HB 174]. The bill was aimed at reshaping Missouri's juvenile justice system through the development of a comprehensive juvenile justice strategy. As part of the strategy, the Office of State Courts Administrator was charged with coordinating an effort to design and implement a standardized assessment process for classifying juvenile offenders. The result of this effort was the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System.

The Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System includes an empirically validated risk assessment for estimating a youthful offender's relative likelihood of future delinquency and a classification matrix that links the level of risk and offense severity to a recommended set of graduated sanctions. The system also includes a needs assessment for identifying the underlying psychosocial needs of youth.

Since its inception, the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification system has helped Missouri's juvenile justice professionals to ensure public safety, promote statewide consistency in the services and supervision of youthful offenders, and estimate juvenile officer workload.

Section 6 presents information on juveniles with referrals, disposed during calendar year 2016, who had risk and needs assessments entered on the ***Custom Assessment Maintenance (CZAASMT)*** form of JIS. When a referral has more than one associated risk/needs assessment(s), the highest score is reported. When a referral is not associated with any risk/needs assessment(s) in the reporting year, the score associated with the risk/needs assessment that was completed on the nearest date before or after the initial filing date of the referral is the one that is reported, regardless of the year the assessment was completed. Figures 6-1 to 6-3 provide risk level information with Tables 6-1 and 6-2 providing information about the prevalence of individual risk factors. **

***Readers should refer to Missouri's Juvenile Offender Risk & Needs Assessment and Classification System Manual (2005) for the operational definitions of risk and needs factors.*



Figure 6-1 Risk by Level
The majority of youth [65%, 10,120] scored at moderate risk for future delinquent acts on risk assessments in CY16. The remaining youth scored at low [21%, 3,222] or high risk levels [14%, 2,197].



Figure 6-2 Risk by Sex
Proportionately, more male youth [16%, 1,573] were assessed high risk than females [12%, 624]. Females [23%, 1,246] were more likely than their male counterparts [20%, 1,972] to be assessed low risk. Relatively similar percentages of male youth [65%, 6,558] and female youth [66%, 3,554] were assessed moderate risk.
Missing Data [19]



Figure 6-3 Risk by Race
Proportionately, more black youth [19%, 673] were assessed high risk than white youth [13%, 1,458]. White youth [22%, 2,525] were more likely than their black counterparts [16%, 577] to be assessed low risk.
Missing Data [31]

Table 6-1 Risk Factors

Age at First Referral	Frequency	Percentage
12 and under	6,307	40.6 %
13	2,458	15.8 %
14	2,569	16.5 %
15	2,295	14.8 %
16	1,910	12.3 %
Prior Referrals	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
None	7,140	45.9 %
One or more	8,399	54.1 %
Assault Referrals	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No prior or present referrals for assault	11,411	73.4 %
One or more prior or present referrals for misdemeanor assault	3,638	23.4 %
One or more prior or present referrals for felony assault	490	3.2 %
History of Placement	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No prior out-of-home placement	11,140	71.7 %
Prior out-of-home placement	4,399	28.3 %
Peer Relationships	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Neutral influence	8,246	53.1 %
Negative influence	5,870	37.8 %
Strong negative influence	1,424	9.2 %
History of Child Abuse/Neglect	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No history of child abuse/neglect	11,681	75.2 %
History of child abuse/neglect	3,858	24.8 %
Substance Abuse	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No alcohol or drug abuse problem is apparent	11,854	76.3 %
Moderate alcohol and/or drug abuse problem	3,170	20.4 %
Severe alcohol and/or drug abuse/dependence	515	3.3 %
School Attendance/Disciplinary	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No or only minor problems	6,800	43.8 %
Moderate problems	6,250	40.2 %
Severe problems	2,489	16.0 %
Parental Management Style	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Effective management style	6,906	44.4 %
Moderately effective management style	6,621	42.6 %
Severely ineffective management style	2,013	13.0 %
Parental History of Incarceration	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No prior incarceration	10,819	69.6 %
Prior incarceration	4,720	30.4 %

Table 6-2 Needs Factors

Behavior Problems	Frequency	Percentage
No significant behavior problem	5,688	38.4 %
Moderate behavior problem	7,006	47.3 %
Severe behavior problem	2,118	14.3 %
Attitude	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Motivated to change/accepts responsibility	9,671	65.3 %
Generally uncooperative, defensive, not motivated to change	4,216	28.5 %
Very negative attitude, defiant, and resistant to change	925	6.2 %
Interpersonal Skills	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Good interpersonal skills	9,264	62.5 %
Moderately impaired interpersonal skills	4,948	33.4 %
Severely impaired interpersonal skills	600	4.1 %
Peer Relationships	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Neutral influence	7,658	51.7 %
Negative Influence	5,820	39.3 %
Strong negative Influence	1,334	9.0 %
History of Child Abuse/Neglect	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No history child abuse/neglect	10,991	74.2 %
History of child abuse/neglect	3,821	25.8 %
Mental Health	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No mental health disorder	10,320	69.7 %
Mental health disorder with treatment	3,746	25.3 %
Mental health disorder with no treatment	746	5.0 %
Substance Abuse	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No alcohol or drug abuse problem is apparent	11,228	75.8 %
Moderate alcohol and/or drug abuse problem	3,093	20.9 %
Severe alcohol and/or drug abuse/dependence	491	3.3 %
School Attendance	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No or only minor problems	6,368	43.0 %
Moderate problems	6,077	41.0 %
Severe problems	2,367	16.0 %
Academic Performance	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Passing without difficulty	7,382	49.8 %
Functioning below average	5,373	36.3 %
Failing	2,057	13.9 %
Learning Disorder	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No diagnosed learning disorder	12,743	86.0 %
Diagnosed learning disorder	2,069	14.0 %

Needs Factors (Cont.)

Employment	Frequency	Percentage
Full-time employment	594	4.0 %
Part-time employment	661	4.5 %
Unemployed	2,004	13.5 %
Juvenile's Parental Responsibility	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No children	14,237	96.1 %
One child	288	1.9 %
Two children	169	1.1 %
Three or more children	118	0.8 %
Health/Handicaps	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No health problems or physical handicaps	14,145	95.5 %
No health problems/handicaps but limited access to health care	208	1.4 %
Mild physical handicap or medical condition	384	2.6 %
Pregnancy	20	0.1 %
Serious physical handicap or medical condition	55	0.4 %
Parental Management Style	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Effective management style	6,311	42.6 %
Moderately ineffective management style	6,486	43.8 %
Severely ineffective management style	2,015	13.6 %
Parental Mental Health	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No parental history of mental health disorder	11,787	79.6 %
Parental history of mental health disorder	3,025	20.4 %
Parental Substance Abuse	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
No parental substance abuse	11,342	76.6 %
Parental substance abuse	3,470	23.4 %
Social Support System	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Strong social support system	6,885	46.5 %
Limited support system, with one positive role model	6,202	41.9 %
Weak support system; no positive role models	1,442	9.7 %
Strong negative or criminal influence	283	1.9 %

Section 7: Detention Services

Missouri's juvenile and family division of the circuit court includes 17 detention facilities to house youth in need of secure detention. Juvenile justice personnel identify offenders most in need of secure detention using the objective criteria contained in Missouri's Juvenile Detention Assessment (JDTA). In addition, 16 detention centers participate in the Annie Casey Foundation Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) [highlighted in table 7-1] for CY16. [The 29th and 32nd circuits also participate in JDAI but do not have detention centers.] JDAI is an effort to assist the juvenile and family division with development and use of community-based alternatives to secure detention when detention is determined to be unnecessary or inappropriate. The initiative emphasizes the collection and application of objective data to identify practices that may contribute to over-utilization of secure detention, detention overcrowding, and disproportionate minority confinement.

Section 7 presents admission, discharge, population, and length of stay information entered on the ***Custom Room Facility Assignment (CZAROOM)*** form of JIS for Missouri's secure detention facilities. Depending on the reporting objective, counts are based on admissions or discharges; a single youth may be counted multiple times if they were detained on more than one occasion.

Table 7-1 Youth Population August 31 of CY16

Metropolitan circuits [16, 21, & 22] account for 57% of all youth detained in Missouri as of the last day of August 2016.

Note: Non-JDAI sites with detention facilities are shaded.

Circuit	Population	Percent MO Youth Detained
2	3	1.8 %
5	4	2.3 %
7	4	2.3 %
11	2	1.2 %
13	8	4.7 %
16	29	17.0 %
17	1	0.6 %
19	3	1.8 %
21	53	31.0 %
22	16	9.4 %
23	2	1.2 %
24	12	7.0 %
26	1	0.6 %
31	8	4.7 %
33	8	4.7 %
35	10	5.8 %
44	7	4.1 %
Grand Total	**171**	**100.0 %**

Figure 7-1 Total Admissions by Sex

There were 3,193 admissions to secure detention facilities in CY16. Males [2,548] accounted for 80% of these admissions. Females accounted for the remaining 20% [641].

Missing Data [4]





Figure 7-2 Total Admissions by Race
White youth accounted for 49% [1,557] of admissions to secure detention facilities while black youth accounted for 47% [1,493]. Hispanic youth accounted for 3% [110] of admissions, while Asian / Pacific Islander youth accounted for 0.6% [19], and Native American youth accounted for 0.3% [10].
Missing Data [4]



Figure 7-3 Total Admissions by Sex and Race
Among male detainees, black males accounted for the largest number of admissions to secure detention facilities [49%, 1,254], followed by white males [47%, 1,188]. Among female detainees, white females accounted for the largest percentage of admissions to a detention center [57%, 368], followed by black females [37%, 239].
Missing data [4]



Figure 7-4 Total Admissions by Age Group
Youth between the ages 15-16 years accounted for a majority of admissions [65%, 2,061], followed by 13-14 year olds [24%, 777]. Fewer youth were admitted from the age groups of 12 years or younger [6%, 174] and 17 years or older [6%, 180].
Missing Data [1]

Figure 7-5 Total Admissions by Sex and Age Group
Male youth, 15 to 16 years old, represented the greatest number of admissions to detention facilities [52%, 1664].
Missing Data [1]



Figure 7-6 Total Admissions by Race and Age Group
White youth, 15 to 16 years old, represented the greatest number of admissions to detention facilities [31%, 995], but black youth of the same age were not far behind [30%, 970].
Missing Data [1]



Figure 7-7 Average Daily Population by Sex
The statewide average daily detention population was 162. The vast majority [140, 86%] of these detainees were male.
Missing Data [4]





Figure 7-8 Average Daily Population by Race
The statewide average daily population for black youth [97] in secure detention was greater than that of white youth [61].
Missing Data [4]



Figure 7-9 Average Daily Population by Sex and Race
Within sex, the statewide average daily detention population was greatest for black males [87]. For just the female population, white detainees had the highest average daily detention population [11].
Missing Data [13]



Figure 7-10 Average Daily Population by Age Group
Within age groupings, the statewide average daily detention population was greatest for 15-16 year old youth [111], followed by 13-14 year old youth [40]. The average daily population was least for ages 12 and younger [6] and 17 and older [6].
Missing Data [1].

Figure 7-11 Median and Average Length of Stay by Sex

The statewide average length of stay in detention facilities was 20 days for males and 13 days for females. The median length of stay for males was 8 days, while the median length of stay for females was 6.

Missing Data [4].



Figure 7-12 Median and Average Length of Stay by Race

Black youth had a longer statewide average length of stay in detention facilities [23 days] than white and other minority youth besides Asian / Pacific Islander youth. Asian / Pacific Islander youth had the longest median length of stay [18 days].

Missing Data [5]



Figure 7-13 Average Length of Stay by Sex and Race

The statewide average length of stay was longest for Asian /Pacific Islander males [29 days] and black males [25], while Hispanic males [13], white males [15], and Native American males [7] had shorter stays on average. The average length of stay was longer for Asian / Pacific Islander females [16 days] and black females [15] than for white females [11], Hispanic females [10] or Native American females [8].

Missing Data [13]





Figure 7-14 Median Length of Stay by Sex and Race

The statewide median length of stay was longest for Asian / Pacific Islander males [29 days]. For females, the median length of stay was also longest for Asian / Pacific Islander females [12 days].

Missing Data [13]



Figure 7-15 Median and Average Length of Stay by Age Group

Youth between the age of 15 and 16 years represented the largest number of detained youth and the longest median length of stay [16 days]. The average length of stay for the oldest detainees (17 years and older) was the shortest [12 days].

Missing Data [5]

Section 8: Division of Youth Services Commitments

Section 8 presents demographic information on youth committed to the Division of Youth Services (DYS) identified by a docket entry of DDYS – Committed to DYS on the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** form of JIS in CY16. For circuit level information on these commitments, refer to Appendix M. Assuming commitments to DYS are entered into JIS only once for a youth, the count is unduplicated. (**Note:** Docket entries in JIS produce data different from that historically reported by DYS.)

Figure 8-1 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex and Race

There were 606 youths committed to the custody of DYS in CY16. A majority [83%, 502] were male. White youth accounted for 57% [347] of juveniles committed to DYS, while black youth accounted for 37% [221]. The remaining 6% percent [37] were from other race groups.

Missing Data [1]



Figure 8-2 Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Group

Sixty-nine percent [420] of youth committed to DYS were between the ages of 15 and 16. An additional 20% [121] were between 13-14 years of age. Youth younger than 13 years accounted for 2% [11], while 9% [54] of youth were aged 17 or older.

Missing Data [0]





Figure 8-3 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex, Race, and Age Group

White males, aged 15-16 years, were committed to DYS more frequently than females, other races, and age groups.

Missing Data [1]

Section 9: Certification to Adult Court

Section 9 presents demographic information about youth certified to adult court, identified by the docket entry of DJVCA - JUV Certified to Adult Court on the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** form of JIS in CY16. For additional circuit level information about these certifications, refer to Appendix N. Assuming certifications are entered into JIS only once for a youth, the count presented is unduplicated.

Figure 9-1 Certifications by Sex

The statewide total for offenders certified to adult courts was 53. Males represented 98% [52] while females only represented 2% [1].



Figure 9-2 Certifications by Race

The percentage of offenders certified to adult courts was greater for black youth [72%, 38] than for white youth [26%, 14]. Hispanic youth represented 2% [1] of youth certified to adult courts.



Figure 9-3 Certifications by Age

Over one-third [19] of offenders certified to adult courts were 17 years or older. Forty-three percent [23] were 16 years old. Eight percent [8] were 15 years of age. The remaining 6% [3] were 14 years old.





Figure 9-4 Certification Trends by Race

The number of offenders certified to adult courts declined between 2010 and 2012 for all races. In 2013 the number of certifications increased, mostly due to a 47% increase in certifications of black youth. In 2014, the number of white offenders certified declined (48%) while the number of black youth continued to increase (16%). In 2015, the number of white offenders did not change while the number of black offenders decreased by (26%).

In 2016, this switched, because the number of white youth certified increased by 17%, while the number of black youth certified did not change.

Section 10: Juvenile Offender Recidivism

Juvenile divisions across the country are being asked to provide evidence that public funds are used in cost-effective ways to reduce and prevent juvenile crime. For Missouri juvenile divisions to measure progress in this area, the following statewide definition of juvenile offender recidivism was developed through consensus:

"A juvenile offender recidivist is any youth, referred to the juvenile office for a legally sufficient law violation during a calendar year, who receives one or more legally sufficient law violation(s) to the juvenile or adult court within one year of the initial referral's disposition date."

Section 10 presents the demographic and offense characteristics that influenced recidivism rates for the CY15 cohort of Missouri juvenile law offenders who were tracked through CY16 for recidivism.



Figure 10-1 Missouri Youth Offender Population
Approximately 2% [10,662] of the 629,138 juveniles aged 10-17 were referred to Missouri's juvenile and family division for legally sufficient law violation referrals in CY15.



Figure 10-2 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (All Law)
Twenty-one percent [2,233] of the 10,662 juvenile law offenders in CY15 recidivated through a new law violation within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.



Figure 10-3 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Misd A or Felony)
Fifteen percent [1,587] of the 10,662 juvenile law offenders in CY15 recidivated either with a new Class A misdemeanor or felony offense within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.

Figure 10-4 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Felony)
Five percent [497] of the 10,662 juvenile law offenders in CY15 recidivated with a felony offense within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.



Figure 10-5 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Year
The percentage of the recidivists with any law violation declined by 1.2% between 2014 and 2015. Additionally, the percent of youth who recidivated with either a Class A misdemeanor or felony decreased from 15.4% to 14.9%, while the percentage of youth recidivating with a felony remained practically the same [0.1% increase].



Figure 10-6 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Sex
Males [24%] from the CY15 cohort recidivated at a higher rate than their female [14%] counterparts. This holds true for those who recidivated with either Class A misdemeanor or felony offense, as well as for those who recidivated with only a felony offense.





Figure 10-7 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Race

Proportionately, black youth [25%, 783], Hispanic youth [20.2%, 54], and Native American youth [21.4%, 6] from the CY15 cohort had a higher rate of recidivism than their white counterparts (19%, 1,379] for all law referrals.



Figure 10-8 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Circuit Type

Recidivism from CY15 for all law violations was slightly higher for youth in single-county [22%, 1,272] than for youth in multi-county circuits, [20%, 961]. The percentages were higher by 3% for Class A misdemeanors and felonies [17%, 1,003] in single county circuits than in multi-county circuits [12%, 584], and also higher for just felony referrals in single county circuits [5%, 310] than in multi-county circuits [4%, 187].



Figure 10-9 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Age

The percentage of recidivism is highest for youth between the ages of 14 and 15 years for all types of offenses.

Section 11: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC)

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Initiative
DMC is one of four core requirements of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended in 2002. All states are required by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) to make efforts to document and reduce DMC.

DMC occurs whenever the overall volume of activity for minority youth at various juvenile justice contact points is disproportionately larger than the volume of activity for white youth at those points. It is important to examine *all* juvenile justice contact points due to the likelihood that minority youth will penetrate deeper into the juvenile justice system as a result of disproportionate minority contact with the system.

The existence of disproportionality does not necessarily mean that minority youth are experiencing disparity (or unequal treatment), because further analysis is needed to determine whether or not disproportionality is a consequence of disparities and/or other contributing mechanisms.

For additional county level information about DMC, refer to Appendix O.

What is a Relative Rate Index (RRI)?
The data analysis of the OJJDP Relative Rate Index (RRI) compares the relative volume of activity (rate) for eight court contact points for each minority youth group with the volume of activity (rate) for the majority group (white youth). It provides a single index number that indicates the extent to which the volume of contact differs.

Because the Relative Rate Index is intended to capture the overall extent of youth involvement with the juvenile justice system, the RRI calculation is based on *cases*, not individual *youth*. If a youth is referred to the juvenile court multiple times during the course of a single year, all of those referrals are included. Therefore, the data provided include ***duplicated*** counts for all court contact points.

Example: The RRI comparing rates of referral to juvenile court:

Rate of Referral for black youth:

# of black youth referred	150	= 0.30 X 1000	= 300
# of black youth in population	500		

Rate of Referral for white youth:

# of white youth referred	200	= 0.04 X 1000	= 40
# of white youth in population	5000		

Relative Rate Calculation for Referrals:

Rate of Referral for black youth	300	= **7.5 RRI**
Rate of Referral for white youth	40	

If the RRI is larger than 1.0, that means that the minority group experiences contact more often than white youth. If it is less than 1.0, that means that contact is less frequent .In this example, the RRI for black referrals is 7.5. This means that black youth are seven and a half times more likely to be referred to the juvenile office than white youth.

With the exception of the first rate (referral), which is calculated using the base of the number of youth in each major racial/ethnic grouping in the general population, each of the subsequent RRIs is calculated based on the volume of activity for that racial/ethnic group in a proceeding stage in the case process. See Table 11-1.

Table 11-1: Identifying the Numerical Bases for Rate Calculations

Decision Stage / Contact Point	Base for Rates
Referrals to Juvenile Court	Rate per 1,000 Population
Referrals Diverted	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Involving Secure Detention	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Petitioned	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Resulting in Delinquency Findings	Rate per 100 Petitions
Referrals Resulting in Supervision / Probation Placement	Rate per 100 Delinquency Findings
Referrals Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Rate per 100 Delinquency Findings
Referrals Transferred to Adult Court	Rate per 100 Petitions Filed

Table 11-2: Relative Rate Index (RRI) Values

Area of Concern	Decision States or Contact Points
More than 1.00	Referrals to Juvenile Court Referrals Involving Secure Detention Referrals Petitioned Referrals Resulting in Delinquency Findings Referrals Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities Referrals Transferred to Adult Court
Less Than 1.00	Referrals Diverted Referrals Resulting in Supervision / Probation Placement

Note: RRI values that cause DMC concern can be greater than 1.00 or less than 1.00.

What Data are Used?

- U.S. Census data for youth ages 10-16 in all counties in Missouri. Seventeen year olds were not included, because they are under the jurisdiction of the adult court.
- Census data from the previous Calendar Year was used, because the Census population updates for the current year are not available at the time of publication.
- Office of State Courts Administrator delinquency data in the Judicial Information System (JIS). Law violation referrals and status referrals (but not child abuse and neglect referrals) were included.
- Transfers to other juvenile court referrals were not included.

What is a Parity Number?

- This is the number of minority referrals that would need to be reduced for the rate of juvenile justice involvement to be statistically equal for white and minority youth.

Table 11-3: 2016 Statewide Relative Rate Indices

Black youth experienced the largest disproportionality overall. Black youth were over-represented at referral, while Hispanic, Asian /Pacific Islander, and Native American youth were under-represented at that point. Black youth and Hispanic youth were over-represented at secure detention. Black, Hispanic, and Asian / Pacific Islander youth were over-represented at petition. Black youth also experienced disproportionality at: diversion, supervision, secure confinement, and certification. Statewide, black youth were under-represented at adjudication.

Contact Point	Black	Hispanic	Asian / Pacific Islander	Native American
Referral	2.1	0.5	0.2	0.4
Diversion	0.9			
Secure Detention	2.6	1.4		
Petition	1.5	1.4	1.5	
Adjudication	0.8			
Supervision	0.9			
Secure Confinement	1.2			
Certification	10.1			

Note: Caution should be used when interpreting the Hispanic data, because race and ethnicity are not separated in JIS. Thus, Hispanic youth are under-counted.

Figure 11-1 Six-Year Trend of Statewide RRI for Referrals of Black Youth

The RRI for referrals of black youth decreased from 2008 to 2011, but it subsequently increased from 2011 to 2013 before declining in 2014 and 2015 and then increasing in 2016. The reason for this is that, although referrals declined for all youth from 2010 to 2016, they did not do so evenly across groups in each year.



The Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload (JOWWL) system is an automated means of estimating the direct service need for additional deputy juvenile officers in Missouri's 35 multi-county circuits. The JOWWL compares the number of staff hours required to screen and process the status, law, and CA/N referrals received by juvenile divisions and to supervise youth in accordance with the ***Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice,*** against the actual number of staff hours available to complete these direct service activities. When workload demand exceeds the number of staff hours available to meet it, a need for additional direct service personnel is projected. The Circuit Court Budget Committee (CCBC) adopted and first used the results of the JOWWL for estimating FTE needs for juvenile officers in fiscal 2004. The CCBC has since used the JOWWL annually for this budgetary purpose. In the Spring of 2013 a new workload study was conducted by the National Center for State Courts, and a new model was delivered January 2014. The new model required new methods of retrieving data from JIS pertaining to different activities conducted in Juvenile Courts, including diversion programs. The old model was used until January 2015 until sufficient data had been collected to calculate an annual workload using the new model.

Example of Workload Estimate for Mock Multi-County Circuit

Annual Case-Specific Workload: Annual total work hours required to service juvenile cases at established standards includes screening, processing and supervising delinquency and CA/N cases, based on workload values identified by the 2013 juvenile officer workload study [Table 12-1].

Example: Mock Circuit, 5,264 hours of direct service work are required to accommodate case management demand.

Staffing Demand: Total number of direct service staff needed to meet Annual Case-Specific Workload. (Annual available work hours per Juvenile Office is 1,316)

Example: Mock Circuit, Total Annual Case-Specific Workload / 1,316 hrs. = Staffing Demand (5,264 /1,316 hrs. = 4.0 direct service staff needed).

Circuit FTE: Total number of direct service staff currently employed by circuit.

Example: Mock Circuit employs 3 direct service staff. Currently this includes all state-paid DJO I & II positions and all full-time staff paid through DYS diversion grant funds.

FTE Need: Additional direct service staff needed to service Total Workload Hours per standards.

Example: Mock Circuit, Staffing Demand – Circuit FTE = FTE Need (4.0 - 3.0 = 1.0 additional direct service staff).

Table 12-1 Workload Values per Year from Juvenile Officer Workload Study (2013)		
Section Name	Column Description	Workload Value (hrs.)
Diversion	Diversion	61.20
Status Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	15.60
	Informal Processing	44.88
	Informal Supervision	24.72
	Formal Processing	49.20
	Formal Supervision: All risk levels	22.56
	Truancy Court	78.72
Law Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	22.80
	Informal Processing	47.04
	Informal Supervision	11.40
	Formal Processing	237.48
	Formal Supervision: All risk levels	40.92
	Juvenile Treatment Court	16.92
CA/N Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	19.44
	Informal Processing	85.80
	Informal Supervision	14.28
	Formal Processing	183.60
	Formal Supervision and out-of-home placement	7.32
	Protections Orders	7.92
	Family Treatment Court	34.80
Termination of Parental Rights	Screening	36.36
	Court Related Activity	27.12
Alternatives to Detention	Alternatives (All Types)	14.52

Table 12-2: Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload



Circuit	All Types	Status Cases						Law Cases						CA/N Cases								TPR Cases		All Types	Annual Case-Specific Workload	Circuit FTE	Staffing Demand	Additional or Subtraced FTE Need	Postive Staffing Need Only	Total Staff Increase Needed for 100%	Circuit
Monthly WL Hrs	5.10	1.30	3.74	2.06	4.10	1.88	6.56	1.90	3.92	0.95	19.79	3.41	1.41	1.62	7.15	1.19	15.30	0.61	0.66	2.90	3.03	2.26	1.21							
Annual WL Hrs	61.20	15.60	44.88	24.72	49.20	22.56	78.72	22.80	47.04	11.40	237.48	40.92	16.92	19.44	85.80	14.28	183.60	7.32	7.92	34.80	36.36	27.12	14.52							
1	4.83	4.67	11.17	0.00	2.17		1.50	1.08	8.42	0.25	1.92			8.25	7.83	4.50	1.58	57.83	3.50		0.08	0.33		0.00	2,579	2	1.96	-0.04	0.00	-2%	**1**
2	17.1	17.00	6.58	21.50	1.08	3.00		9.08	4.17	12.83	1.17	8.25		11.50	0.83	2.33	5.08	120.00	6.42		0.92	2.75	4	2.17	5,754	4	4.37	0.37	0.37	9%	**2**
3		6.17	1.83	10.08	0.17	1.75		4.92	1.75	10.50	1.17	18.00		9.17	1.00	11.67	3.92	110.08	6.75		2.08	4.58	3	1.17	4,029	3	3.06	0.06	0.06	2%	**3**
4		12.08	5.67	26.17	0.33	1.50		4.92	2.42	10.33	0.58	1.08		21.33	4.67	15.83	7.58	57.58	3.67		0.75	2.25	4	1.00	4,653	4	3.54	-0.46	0.00	-12%	**4**
5	218.3	76.50	60.08	19.58	8.08	33.42		29.42	14.33	32.17	8.25	74.08		7.08	0.00	0.25	3.83	82.58	10.00		0.08	1.50	12	13.00	27,349	12	20.79	8.79	8.79	73%	**5**
8		10.58	7.83	14.83	0.75	1.00		9.50	6.83	14.17	1.00	2.42		3.67	1.92	1.42	0.67	8.83	9.17		0.25	0.25	2	0.58	2,519	2	1.91	-0.09	0.00	-4%	**8**
9		8.75	1.67	14.50	3.00	31.92		1.42	0.08	6.50	0.58	7.08	0.08	2.67	0.08	3.00	2.58	94.42	2.08		1.17	1.42	3	6.33	3,434	3	2.61	-0.39	0.00	-13%	**9**
10		12.67	6.42	56.83	1.75	18.00		11.75	4.75	46.42	3.33	31.33		9.67	1.58	3.42	6.83	160.42	10.58		1.00	3.83	6.5	3.00	8,546	6.5	6.50	0.00	0.00	0%	**10**
12		28.92	15.50	79.00	0.92	3.92		12.58	6.50	52.00	1.67	45.25		13.92	1.42	13.58	8.00	190.58	9.17	1.42	3.25	8.25	7	6.83	10,679	7	8.12	1.12	1.12	16%	**12**
13	42.2	51.58	16.17	25.00	13.33	13.58		49.17	23.08	26.50	12.50	59.25		32.17	3.33	1.00	27.67	495.92	24.25		1.75	8.33	16	15.25	23,934	16	18.19	2.19	2.19	14%	**13**
14		24.67	8.92	36.83	1.83	1.33		8.17	2.25	16.58	1.25	8.08		24.50	2.25	4.08	9.50	150.83	5.75		0.58	1.83	5	1.50	6,639	5	5.05	0.05	0.05	1%	**14**
15		11.25	4.25	8.50	1.25	3.67		19.08	7.83	26.50	3.00	9.08		4.25	0.00	0.00	3.83	117.58	10.17		0.17	0.25	3	6.00	4,738	3	3.60	0.60	0.60	20%	**15**
17	18.9	34.67	23.33	106.08	6.08	21.42	0.58	33.08	17.58	60.50	10.67	79.08		22.83	7.67	8.33	16.58	318.92	9.25		3.17	14.33	10	38.83	21,976	10	16.70	6.70	6.70	67%	**17**
18		31.17	10.33	45.67	0.83	0.00	12.08	24.75	7.08	43.25	3.92	12.17	7.67	5.50	2.42	7.58	1.67	80.33	7.75		1.58	1.92	4.25	6.25	7,597	7	5.77	1.52	1.52	36%	**18**
20	4.8	22.50	3.75	17.58	1.08	5.83		23.50	4.33	21.75	2.50	16.92		17.83	0.00	0.00	12.92	387.75	23.17		2.67	24.42	7	0.67	10,215	7	7.76	0.76	0.76	11%	**20**
24	45	26.25	14.42	7.08	1.17	0.00		47.83	25.00	24.25	8.08	78.58		10.08	0.00	0.33	9.92	331.17	17.50		6.67	18.58	14.42		17,261	7	13.12	6.12	6.12	87%	**24**
25		52.58	38.83	20.58	2.25	8.83		21.00	12.58	35.50	2.00	21.08		106.08	18.42	0.58	31.25	463.00	28.33		6.42	40.25	8	1.33	20,541	8	15.61	7.61	7.61	95%	**25**
26		15.33	1.92	8.58	1.75	7.42		15.92	3.08	11.42	2.50	22.00		23.58	2.25	13.75	12.33	342.67	30.83		2.33	8.50	7	3.25	9,150	7	6.96	-0.04	0.00	-1%	**26**
27		14.00	11.08	25.67	0.92	3.50		7.58	5.67	24.50	0.50	3.33		20.67	13.67	12.17	6.50	173.17	6.42		1.50	3.67	5	0.92	6,875	5	5.23	0.23	0.23	5%	**27**
28		21.92	13.92	22.92	4.08	10.25		17.25	10.58	17.17	3.08	22.50		23.92	1.33	0.00	7.50	129.92	13.33		1.17	3.42	4	0.00	7,853	4	5.97	1.97	1.97	49%	**28**
30		16.00	3.17	0.50	0.75	0.00		41.08	16.17	35.33	3.08	24.17		39.33	11.50	15.75	8.00	227.58	9.41		1.33	6.42	7	9.08	9,802	7	7.45	0.45	0.45	6%	**30**
32	19.1	62.33	25.50	78.75	0.25	0.00	52.50	23.50	15.25	80.33	3.83	21.17		34.17	12.92	92.08	19.50	349.58	13.50	7.08	2.08	2.17	8	9.33	23,173	8	17.61	9.61	9.61	120%	**32**
33	75.9	20.17	4.25	7.33	3.25	2.00	45.67	19.75	5.67	20.83	5.50	19.75	5.33	20.42	3.50	6.67	12.17	206.17	10.50	3.25	0.83	2.75	7	4.75	17,196	7	13.07	6.07	6.07	87%	**33**
34		24.75	21.17	40.83	0.33	1.50		18.17	12.92	19.17	2.67	35.25		25.50	1.50	6.17	12.50	215.08	6.08		0.67	2.92	6	4.50	10,510	6	7.99	1.99	1.99	33%	**34**
35		18.75	3.92	13.17	2.25	18.17		18.92	1.33	16.67	6.25	26.17		65.25	6.00	7.42	23.00	319.33	5.00	22.92	0.92	2.58	8.5	2.50	13,980	8.5	10.63	2.13	2.13	25%	**35**
36		15.00	3.83	15.92	0.83	7.33		23.08	5.50	15.25	2.25	16.33		29.58	4.50	10.42	19.67	261.83	4.83		2.58	17.50	3	1.58	10,435	3	7.93	4.93	4.93	164%	**36**
37	132.3	24.92	21.33	29.17	0.67	0.00		9.58	5.92	14.17	1.25	12.25		17.58	6.25	0.00	6.25	103.08	7.50		0.00	0.00	6	9.75	14,634	6	11.12	5.12	5.12	85%	**37**
38		13.50	3.92	15.75	1.33	4.50		21.58	5.83	20.67	2.67	18.17		11.42	0.08	0.00	6.33	111.50	5.67		1.83	3.42	4	3.33	5,782	4	4.40	0.40	0.40	10%	**38**
39		11.50	1.50	1.00	0.58	1.83		21.92	6.58	13.50	2.42	24.33		27.58	0.08	0.00	14.75	442.50	11.17		5.08	4.17	6.5	0.00	9,751	6.5	7.41	0.91	0.91	14%	**39**
40		19.33	2.25	11.08	1.83	1.67		27.58	4.00	23.08	3.42	5.50	38.42	16.75	1.92	0.00	11.75	244.17	16.75	4.83	1.08	4.50	6	6.17	8,557	6	6.50	0.50	0.50	8%	**40**
41		6.08	3.42	14.75	0.50	3.08		1.67	1.17	12.42	0.33	6.83		7.83	3.58	10.25	3.25	118.75	0.83		1.08	1.75	3.5	2.67	3,504	3.5	2.66	-0.84	0.00	-24%	**41**
42	6.7	14.00	7.67	31.58	1.33	2.58		8.83	4.42	13.33	0.83	0.25		39.08	0.17	0.50	9.33	198.42	11.08		3.25	14.50	1.75		7,218	6	5.49	-0.51	0.00	-9%	**42**
43		17.00	8.00	28.17	2.17	12.25		13.75	5.50	24.58	4.17	42.08		6.33	0.00	0.00	6.17	180.42	2.83		2.17	4.67	0.33		8,076	3	6.14	3.14	3.14	105%	**43**
44	33.1	2.08	0.58	3.00	0.08	0.92		8.17	4.33	22.33	1.25	6.58		6.17	0.00	0.00	6.17	164.67	5.83		0.83	4.42	0.58		6,056	3	4.60	1.60	1.60	53%	**44**
45		15.92	3.42	18.58	0.92	4.33		18.58	8.00	28.08	3.00	18.33		23.83	2.58	18.42	8.25	144.00	10.75	10.00	1.08	5.08	3.92		7,771	5	5.91	0.91	0.91	18%	**45**
46		7.58	1.92	2.42	0.92	2.00		13.92	5.83	22.17	1.83	11.33		11.92	0.33	0.00	11.25	230.92	6.67		1.75	10.92	0.00		6,526	3	4.96	1.96		65%	**46**
Total	**613**	**772**	**373**	**890**	**69**	**235**	**111**	**642**	**269**	**883**	**113**	**810**	**52**	**761**	**126**	**272**	**358**	**7,392**	**366**	**50**	**64**	**238**	**183**		**369,296**	**205.25**	**280.71**	**75.46**	**75.88**		**Totals**
	55.76	**21.45**	**10.36**	**24.73**	**1.91**	**6.52**	**27.71**	**17.85**	**7.48**	**24.53**	**3.13**	**22.50**	**12.88**	**21.15**	**3.49**	**7.54**	**9.95**	**205.32**	**10.18**	**8.25**	**1.78**	**6.62**	**5.08**								

Statewide Averages for each Workload Category

Section 13: CA/N Time Standards

In March 2005, the Supreme Court of Missouri issued an order adopting Court Operating Rule (COR) 23.01, Reporting Requirements for Child Abuse and Neglect Cases, effective July 1, 2005. This COR requires the presiding judge in each circuit to submit a quarterly report (CA/N Quarterly) to OSCA. The CA/N Quarterly Report lists all child abuse and neglect hearings where standards were not met during the quarter. These standards are based on the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 124.01, Rules of Practice and Procedure in Juvenile Divisions and Family Court Divisions of the Circuit, which states that the following hearings shall be held:

1) Within three days, excluding Saturday, Sunday and legal holidays, a protective custody hearing
2) Within 60 days, an adjudication hearing
3) Within 90 days, a dispositional hearing
4) Every 90 to 120 days after the dispositional hearing during the first 12 months in which the juvenile is in the custody of the children's division, a case review hearing
5) Within 12 months and at least annually thereafter, a permanency hearing
6) As often as necessary after each permanency hearing, but at least every six months, during the period in which the juvenile remains in the custody of the children's division, a permanency review hearing.

The data from each circuit are compiled into a final report and submitted to the Supreme Court Chief Justice and the Commission on Retirement, Removal and Discipline.

Table 13-1 CA/N Hearings Held Timely

In FY16, the juvenile and family divisions conducted the required CA/N hearings in a timely fashion. Forty divisions held 95% or more of their hearings on time; while at the statewide level, 97% of hearings were held timely.

Circuit	Hearings Held	Hearings Held Timely	Percent Held Timely
1	186	186	100%
2	516	516	100%
3	384	384	100%
4	337	337	100%
5	387	384	99%
6	123	123	100%
7	755	727	96%
8	86	85	99%
9	352	344	98%
10	483	451	93%
11	1,299	1,295	100%
12	714	699	98%
13	2,143	2,142	100%
14	639	639	100%
15	350	349	100%
16	5,771	5,319	92%
17	1,080	1,021	95%
18	363	362	100%
19	651	650	100%
20	1,227	1,175	96%
21	4,137	3,665	89%
22	2,451	2,445	100%
23	2,899	2,859	99%
24	1,844	1,827	99%
25	1,812	1,812	100%
26	1,346	1,344	100%
27	616	612	99%
28	519	514	99%
29	1,722	1,674	97%
30	898	898	100%
31	2,797	2,791	100%
32	1,499	1,484	99%
33	1,046	1,046	100%
34	643	617	96%
35	1,326	1,305	98%
36	998	928	93%
37	348	340	98%
38	1,300	1,300	100%
39	1,919	1,919	100%
40	1,205	1,114	92%
41	465	461	99%
42	966	935	97%
43	654	643	98%
44	699	690	99%
45	564	552	98%
Statewide	52,519	**50,963**	**97%**

APPENDICES A-P

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
1	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**26**	**46**	**102**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**181**
Clark	0	0	0	0	8	24	97	0	0	0	0	0	129
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	6	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	12
Scotland	0	0	2	0	12	19	2	5	0	0	0	0	40
2	**0**	**0**	**17**	**0**	**65**	**131**	**62**	**125**	**22**	**3**	**66**	**6**	**497**
Adair	0	0	11	0	51	37	12	71	16	3	46	5	252
Knox	0	0	0	0	1	27	28	5	0	0	3	0	64
Lewis	0	0	6	0	13	67	22	49	6	0	17	1	181
3	**0**	**0**	**23**	**0**	**47**	**51**	**8**	**66**	**47**	**0**	**56**	**2**	**300**
Grundy	0	0	4	0	11	15	4	49	19	0	18	1	121
Harrison	0	0	12	0	18	21	0	2	13	0	19	1	86
Mercer	0	0	3	0	7	8	2	7	10	0	8	0	45
Putnam	0	0	4	0	11	7	2	8	5	0	11	0	48
4	**2**	**1**	**11**	**0**	**74**	**128**	**139**	**3**	**100**	**9**	**18**	**3**	**488**
Atchison	0	0	1	0	5	12	11	0	0	2	2	0	33
Gentry	0	0	2	0	19	28	17	0	10	2	2	1	81
Holt	0	1	3	0	17	31	14	2	9	1	7	1	86
Nodaway	2	0	3	0	30	49	87	1	79	4	7	1	263
Worth	0	0	2	0	3	8	10	0	2	0	0	0	25
5	**4**	**3**	**307**	**4**	**165**	**1,511**	**97**	**159**	**124**	**3**	**39**	**10**	**2,426**
Andrew	0	0	7	0	6	113	8	13	26	0	3	0	176
Buchanan	4	3	300	4	159	1,398	89	146	98	3	36	10	2,250
6	**0**	**1**	**26**	**0**	**31**	**108**	**31**	**37**	**22**	**3**	**6**	**40**	**305**
Platte	0	1	26	0	31	108	31	37	22	3	6	40	305
7	**4**	**0**	**37**	**0**	**182**	**208**	**176**	**170**	**196**	**5**	**6**	**84**	**1,068**
Clay	4	0	37	0	182	208	176	170	196	5	6	84	1,068
8	**0**	**0**	**26**	**1**	**13**	**64**	**244**	**20**	**26**	**0**	**2**	**8**	**404**
Carroll	0	0	3	0	1	19	37	6	0	0	0	0	66
Ray	0	0	23	1	12	45	207	14	26	0	2	8	338
9	**42**	**1**	**24**	**6**	**44**	**13**	**30**	**0**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**168**
Chariton	33	0	5	0	11	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	53
Linn	9	1	10	2	27	3	16	0	4	1	0	1	74
Sullivan	0	0	9	4	6	10	10	0	1	0	1	0	41
10	**8**	**2**	**36**	**1**	**89**	**137**	**61**	**59**	**51**	**2**	**13**	**5**	**464**
Marion	8	2	23	1	70	101	48	39	29	0	11	4	336
Monroe	0	0	4	0	16	14	9	4	9	2	2	1	61
Ralls	0	0	9	0	3	22	4	16	13	0	0	0	67
11	**11**	**2**	**72**	**0**	**200**	**506**	**158**	**89**	**307**	**47**	**5**	**108**	**1,505**
St. Charles	11	2	72	0	200	506	158	89	307	47	5	108	1,505
12	**0**	**0**	**16**	**0**	**136**	**249**	**165**	**61**	**45**	**1**	**80**	**26**	**779**
Audrain	0	0	10	0	64	80	49	29	32	1	23	14	302
Montgomery	0	0	4	0	26	42	32	6	7	0	26	4	147
Warren	0	0	2	0	46	127	84	26	6	0	31	8	330
13	**0**	**97**	**282**	**89**	**259**	**76**	**384**	**374**	**53**	**10**	**51**	**107**	**1,782**
Boone	0	97	73	89	259	62	281	294	44	0	27	64	1,290
Callaway	0	0	209	0	0	14	103	80	9	10	24	43	492
14	**0**	**3**	**15**	**1**	**129**	**169**	**59**	**159**	**170**	**10**	**31**	**36**	**782**
Howard	0	0	3	0	21	31	10	38	24	1	4	7	139
Randolph	0	3	12	1	108	138	49	121	146	9	27	29	643

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
15	**0**	**1**	**18**	**14**	**64**	**64**	**113**	**87**	**49**	**6**	**8**	**50**	**474**
Lafayette	0	0	11	0	26	22	43	62	23	5	5	3	200
Saline	0	1	7	14	38	42	70	25	26	1	3	47	274
16	**344**	**148**	**332**	**2**	**1,154**	**64**	**88**	**176**	**838**	**29**	**84**	**55**	**3,314**
Jackson	344	148	332	2	1,154	64	88	176	838	29	84	55	3,314
17	**0**	**5**	**212**	**2**	**239**	**389**	**317**	**215**	**68**	**3**	**11**	**29**	**1,490**
Cass	0	3	141	2	163	252	229	182	53	3	11	22	1,061
Johnson	0	2	71	0	76	137	88	33	15	0	0	7	429
18	**0**	**1**	**57**	**2**	**26**	**219**	**127**	**254**	**72**	**8**	**20**	**34**	**820**
Cooper	0	0	21	0	4	129	81	138	13	4	5	5	400
Pettis	0	1	36	2	22	90	46	116	59	4	15	29	420
19	**0**	**25**	**177**	**7**	**62**	**44**	**123**	**411**	**154**	**6**	**16**	**75**	**1,100**
Cole	0	25	177	7	62	44	123	411	154	6	16	75	1,100
20	**0**	**0**	**31**	**0**	**163**	**72**	**87**	**224**	**194**	**6**	**35**	**41**	**853**
Franklin	0	0	26	0	143	60	76	204	168	6	34	32	749
Gasconade	0	0	2	0	9	10	6	17	17	0	1	5	67
Osage	0	0	3	0	11	2	5	3	9	0	0	4	37
21	**197**	**277**	**237**	**304**	**421**	**878**	**652**	**1,085**	**1,786**	**29**	**38**	**650**	**6,554**
St. Louis Co	197	277	237	304	421	878	652	1,085	1,786	29	38	650	6,554
22	**19**	**14**	**107**	**4**	**341**	**312**	**37**	**402**	**526**	**140**	**10**	**60**	**1,972**
St. Louis City	19	14	107	4	341	312	37	402	526	140	10	60	1,972
23	**39**	**2**	**233**	**0**	**479**	**134**	**416**	**401**	**46**	**123**	**152**	**44**	**2,069**
Jefferson	39	2	233	0	479	134	416	401	46	123	152	44	2,069
24	**0**	**2**	**86**	**12**	**188**	**116**	**642**	**40**	**56**	**1**	**64**	**23**	**1,230**
Madison	0	0	13	0	28	13	60	9	5	0	4	1	133
St. Francois	0	1	24	0	76	77	295	21	33	1	50	20	598
Ste. Genevieve	0	0	12	5	42	1	52	7	15	0	5	1	140
Washington	0	1	37	7	42	25	235	3	3	0	5	1	359
25	**14**	**0**	**28**	**0**	**365**	**112**	**749**	**1**	**150**	**24**	**761**	**22**	**2,226**
Maries	0	0	0	0	24	6	36	0	1	4	30	0	101
Phelps	8	0	10	0	151	52	225	0	49	17	209	6	727
Pulaski	6	0	16	0	139	33	296	1	89	2	260	11	853
Texas	0	0	2	0	51	21	192	0	11	1	262	5	545
26	**0**	**0**	**19**	**29**	**153**	**124**	**89**	**219**	**194**	**3**	**75**	**33**	**938**
Camden	0	0	13	0	66	16	44	61	55	0	21	11	287
Laclede	0	0	0	17	73	46	5	112	62	0	19	8	342
Miller	0	0	2	5	1	53	23	36	33	0	25	6	184
Moniteau	0	0	1	0	5	3	10	5	13	3	4	2	46
Morgan	0	0	3	7	8	6	7	5	31	0	6	6	79
27	**2**	**0**	**29**	**0**	**100**	**182**	**250**	**103**	**60**	**2**	**50**	**25**	**803**
Bates	2	0	5	0	25	86	85	23	4	1	4	2	237
Benton	0	0	16	0	17	27	52	54	46	0	33	8	253
Henry	0	0	5	0	41	51	87	17	10	1	13	13	238
St. Clair	0	0	3	0	17	18	26	9	0	0	0	2	75
28	**0**	**0**	**89**	**0**	**151**	**82**	**384**	**203**	**3**	**0**	**36**	**19**	**967**
Barton	0	0	26	0	34	42	115	26	0	0	5	1	249
Cedar	0	0	3	0	36	3	135	1	0	0	2	0	180
Dade	0	0	1	0	12	4	40	0	0	0	0	1	58
Vernon	0	0	59	0	69	33	94	176	3	0	29	17	480

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
29	**2**	**0**	**118**	**1**	**203**	**511**	**283**	**536**	**63**	**4**	**19**	**46**	**1,786**
Jasper	2	0	118	1	203	511	283	536	63	4	19	46	1,786
30	**0**	**0**	**23**	**0**	**91**	**122**	**179**	**220**	**163**	**0**	**134**	**37**	**969**
Dallas	0	0	2	0	19	33	33	10	25	0	24	0	146
Hickory	0	0	4	0	4	0	15	20	15	0	9	3	70
Polk	0	0	10	0	34	60	55	104	64	0	80	25	432
Webster	0	0	7	0	34	29	76	86	59	0	21	9	321
31	**4**	**9**	**65**	**0**	**297**	**274**	**123**	**648**	**272**	**31**	**87**	**90**	**1,900**
Greene	4	9	65	0	297	274	123	648	272	31	87	90	1,900
32	**0**	**0**	**26**	**4**	**229**	**683**	**106**	**575**	**71**	**1**	**68**	**11**	**1,774**
Bollinger	0	0	0	0	47	177	0	0	0	0	0	0	224
Cape Girardeau	0	0	25	4	151	256	105	575	67	1	68	9	1,261
Perry	0	0	1	0	31	250	1	0	4	0	0	2	289
33	**0**	**1**	**77**	**5**	**165**	**131**	**36**	**163**	**108**	**1**	**61**	**17**	**765**
Mississippi	0	1	23	0	30	29	7	21	27	0	15	0	153
Scott	0	0	54	5	135	102	29	142	81	1	46	17	612
34	**0**	**0**	**17**	**0**	**171**	**142**	**224**	**4**	**71**	**0**	**154**	**3**	**786**
New Madrid	0	0	8	0	64	59	65	0	22	0	47	0	265
Pemiscot	0	0	9	0	107	83	159	4	49	0	107	3	521
35	**1**	**2**	**94**	**0**	**241**	**79**	**58**	**435**	**97**	**52**	**240**	**4**	**1,303**
Dunklin	1	2	63	0	96	1	58	283	24	26	7	1	562
Stoddard	0	0	31	0	145	78	0	152	73	26	233	3	741
36	**0**	**0**	**70**	**1**	**217**	**107**	**60**	**302**	**77**	**2**	**12**	**3**	**851**
Butler	0	0	65	0	157	75	53	209	58	2	11	3	633
Ripley	0	0	5	1	60	32	7	93	19	0	1	0	218
37	**5**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**80**	**78**	**371**	**10**	**59**	**3**	**88**	**3**	**704**
Carter	1	0	0	0	7	12	32	5	3	0	6	1	67
Howell	2	0	7	0	50	54	321	5	49	3	58	2	551
Oregon	0	0	0	0	17	7	10	0	5	0	8	0	47
Shannon	2	0	0	0	6	5	8	0	2	0	16	0	39
38	**3**	**0**	**45**	**18**	**233**	**168**	**163**	**85**	**141**	**8**	**110**	**74**	**1,048**
Christian	3	0	34	18	78	112	90	64	111	3	64	50	627
Taney	0	0	11	0	155	56	73	21	30	5	46	24	421
39	**0**	**0**	**24**	**0**	**181**	**27**	**120**	**522**	**41**	**0**	**149**	**19**	**1,083**
Barry	0	0	3	0	57	8	30	231	3	0	54	2	388
Lawrence	0	0	13	0	86	18	44	193	24	0	29	6	413
Stone	0	0	8	0	38	1	46	98	14	0	66	11	282
40	**0**	**9**	**44**	**0**	**154**	**115**	**72**	**138**	**88**	**9**	**177**	**13**	**819**
McDonald	0	2	11	0	61	27	23	6	17	0	23	0	170
Newton	0	7	33	0	93	88	49	132	71	9	154	13	649
41	**0**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**47**	**98**	**23**	**22**	**8**	**2**	**10**	**4**	**219**
Macon	0	1	3	0	25	58	21	4	6	2	8	3	131
Shelby	0	0	1	0	22	40	2	18	2	0	2	1	88
42	**5**	**1**	**11**	**0**	**120**	**145**	**68**	**33**	**163**	**4**	**276**	**3**	**829**
Crawford	0	0	10	0	53	32	46	30	80	0	2	2	255
Dent	5	1	1	0	29	35	17	1	4	4	4	1	102
Iron	0	0	0	0	22	42	2	1	3	0	58	0	128
Reynolds	0	0	0	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	89	0	104
Wayne	0	0	0	0	13	24	3	1	76	0	123	0	240

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
43	**0**	**0**	**44**	**0**	**113**	**139**	**73**	**51**	**22**	**0**	**8**	**12**	**462**
Caldwell	0	0	5	0	5	17	8	4	15	0	0	3	57
Clinton	0	0	28	0	59	38	42	28	5	0	5	3	208
Daviess	0	0	2	0	16	13	5	1	2	0	1	0	40
DeKalb	0	0	3	0	15	26	1	16	0	0	1	3	65
Livingston	0	0	6	0	18	45	17	2	0	0	1	3	92
44	**1**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**65**	**56**	**31**	**15**	**2**	**14**	**4**	**3**	**201**
Douglas	0	0	3	0	12	23	10	6	1	6	0	0	61
Ozark	0	0	3	0	8	9	3	2	0	0	1	1	27
Wright	1	0	4	0	45	24	18	7	1	8	3	2	113
45	**22**	**9**	**43**	**4**	**76**	**141**	**32**	**342**	**78**	**16**	**16**	**22**	**801**
Lincoln	22	3	37	4	51	129	23	318	60	14	16	20	697
Pike	0	6	6	0	25	12	9	24	18	2	0	2	104
Total	**729**	**617**	**3,271**	**511**	**8,049**	**9,205**	**7,812**	**9,249**	**6,888**	**621**	**3,347**	**1,960**	**52,259**

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Court	Trans Other Court	Total
1	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**17**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**25**
Clark	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Scotland	0	0	1	0	1	10	1	2	0	0	0	0	15
2	**0**	**0**	**11**	**0**	**4**	**48**	**12**	**28**	**6**	**0**	**7**	**6**	**122**
Adair	0	0	6	0	4	16	5	20	4	0	6	5	66
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Lewis	0	0	5	0	0	30	6	8	2	0	1	1	53
3	**0**	**0**	**11**	**0**	**2**	**16**	**2**	**5**	**10**	**0**	**8**	**2**	**56**
Grundy	0	0	4	0	0	5	1	1	3	0	1	1	16
Harrison	0	0	3	0	2	8	0	1	2	0	5	1	22
Mercer	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	1	4	0	1	0	12
Putnam	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	6
4	**2**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**31**	**3**	**0**	**20**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**64**
Atchison	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Gentry	0	0	1	0	0	5	1	0	4	0	0	1	12
Holt	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	5	0	0	0	12
Nodaway	2	0	0	0	0	12	2	0	10	0	3	0	29
Worth	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
5	**2**	**2**	**55**	**1**	**45**	**141**	**53**	**29**	**60**	**2**	**16**	**8**	**414**
Andrew	0	0	5	0	2	18	3	0	26	0	2	0	56
Buchanan	2	2	50	1	43	123	50	29	34	2	14	8	358
6	**0**	**1**	**19**	**0**	**13**	**81**	**19**	**28**	**17**	**0**	**3**	**32**	**213**
Platte	0	1	19	0	13	81	19	28	17	0	3	32	213
7	**0**	**0**	**27**	**0**	**53**	**160**	**157**	**125**	**10**	**3**	**6**	**79**	**620**
Clay	0	0	27	0	53	160	157	125	10	3	6	79	620
8	**0**	**0**	**11**	**0**	**3**	**25**	**103**	**4**	**14**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**166**
Carroll	0	0	3	0	0	5	17	2	0	0	0	0	27
Ray	0	0	8	0	3	20	86	2	14	0	1	5	139
9	**7**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**17**
Chariton	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Linn	4	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
Sullivan	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
10	**1**	**1**	**19**	**1**	**17**	**50**	**16**	**24**	**15**	**0**	**6**	**4**	**154**
Marion	1	1	14	1	13	35	12	14	9	0	6	3	109
Monroe	0	0	2	0	2	5	2	1	3	0	0	1	16
Ralls	0	0	3	0	2	10	2	9	3	0	0	0	29
11	**1**	**1**	**55**	**0**	**33**	**405**	**100**	**23**	**185**	**17**	**4**	**82**	**906**
St. Charles	1	1	55	0	33	405	100	23	185	17	4	82	906
12	**0**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**25**	**85**	**46**	**13**	**13**	**0**	**13**	**18**	**222**
Audrain	0	0	4	0	12	32	18	8	10	0	5	9	98
Montgomery	0	0	3	0	0	21	3	0	2	0	1	2	32
Warren	0	0	2	0	13	32	25	5	1	0	7	7	92
13	**0**	**32**	**54**	**26**	**59**	**39**	**211**	**99**	**26**	**4**	**28**	**58**	**636**
Boone	0	32	17	26	59	35	157	89	22	0	11	32	480
Callaway	0	0	37	0	0	4	54	10	4	4	17	26	156
14	**0**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**4**	**30**	**7**	**21**	**29**	**4**	**1**	**8**	**113**
Howard	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	11	2	0	0	4	23
Randolph	0	3	5	0	4	26	6	10	27	4	1	4	90
15	**0**	**1**	**14**	**8**	**14**	**43**	**71**	**52**	**34**	**5**	**2**	**18**	**262**
Lafayette	0	0	9	0	5	13	28	39	13	4	1	2	114
Saline	0	1	5	8	9	30	43	13	21	1	1	16	148

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Court	Trans Other Court	Total
16	**13**	**58**	**171**	**0**	**102**	**62**	**81**	**168**	**338**	**11**	**19**	**16**	**1,039**
Jackson	13	58	171	0	102	62	81	168	338	11	19	16	1,039
17	**0**	**3**	**126**	**1**	**39**	**172**	**111**	**51**	**25**	**3**	**4**	**11**	**546**
Cass	0	1	102	1	34	122	89	44	21	3	4	8	429
Johnson	0	2	24	0	5	50	22	7	4	0	0	3	117
18	**0**	**1**	**46**	**1**	**5**	**86**	**51**	**76**	**42**	**7**	**10**	**21**	**346**
Cooper	0	0	14	0	1	47	35	25	7	3	2	4	138
Pettis	0	1	32	1	4	39	16	51	35	4	8	17	208
19	**0**	**12**	**97**	**3**	**16**	**6**	**34**	**51**	**47**	**5**	**1**	**26**	**298**
Cole	0	12	97	3	16	6	34	51	47	5	1	26	298
20	**0**	**0**	**21**	**0**	**9**	**35**	**53**	**56**	**121**	**3**	**9**	**30**	**337**
Franklin	0	0	19	0	8	27	49	45	111	3	8	25	295
Gasconade	0	0	1	0	1	8	1	9	9	0	1	3	33
Osage	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	2	9
21	**36**	**114**	**115**	**114**	**66**	**489**	**534**	**379**	**885**	**18**	**11**	**439**	**3,200**
St. Louis Co	36	114	115	114	66	489	534	379	885	18	11	439	3,200
22	**9**	**7**	**80**	**1**	**31**	**80**	**32**	**115**	**370**	**48**	**4**	**48**	**825**
St. Louis City	9	7	80	1	31	80	32	115	370	48	4	48	825
23	**1**	**2**	**113**	**0**	**18**	**91**	**226**	**210**	**3**	**27**	**0**	**29**	**720**
Jefferson	1	2	113	0	18	91	226	210	3	27	0	29	720
24	**0**	**2**	**56**	**2**	**57**	**82**	**348**	**25**	**46**	**1**	**50**	**21**	**690**
Madison	0	0	11	0	7	8	34	5	1	0	1	1	68
St. Francois	0	1	23	0	29	64	217	17	29	1	45	19	445
Ste. Genevieve	0	0	12	0	12	0	20	1	14	0	3	0	62
Washington	0	1	10	2	9	10	77	2	2	0	1	1	115
25	**0**	**0**	**17**	**0**	**8**	**69**	**96**	**0**	**41**	**2**	**22**	**13**	**268**
Maries	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phelps	0	0	4	0	2	28	15	0	15	0	6	3	73
Pulaski	0	0	12	0	6	29	66	0	24	2	12	6	157
Texas	0	0	1	0	0	12	14	0	2	0	4	4	37
26	**0**	**0**	**13**	**10**	**6**	**40**	**43**	**35**	**64**	**0**	**11**	**17**	**239**
Camden	0	0	11	0	5	4	21	12	18	0	0	5	76
Laclede	0	0	0	4	0	13	2	19	11	0	3	6	58
Miller	0	0	1	3	1	17	10	1	12	0	8	2	55
Moniteau	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	8	0	0	1	16
Morgan	0	0	1	3	0	5	4	3	15	0	0	3	34
27	**0**	**0**	**16**	**0**	**5**	**74**	**43**	**21**	**27**	**0**	**15**	**17**	**218**
Bates	0	0	0	0	0	28	5	3	3	0	0	1	40
Benton	0	0	13	0	1	20	25	14	20	0	15	3	111
Henry	0	0	1	0	3	20	13	1	4	0	0	11	53
St. Clair	0	0	2	0	1	6	0	3	0	0	0	2	14
28	**0**	**0**	**23**	**0**	**20**	**39**	**90**	**63**	**3**	**0**	**17**	**9**	**264**
Barton	0	0	3	0	6	20	17	1	0	0	4	1	52
Cedar	0	0	3	0	6	1	51	0	0	0	1	0	62
Dade	0	0	1	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	1	10
Vernon	0	0	16	0	8	16	16	62	3	0	12	7	140
29	**1**	**0**	**50**	**1**	**25**	**216**	**105**	**207**	**31**	**3**	**9**	**26**	**674**
Jasper	1	0	50	1	25	216	105	207	31	3	9	26	674
30	**0**	**0**	**22**	**0**	**8**	**62**	**94**	**128**	**73**	**0**	**15**	**34**	**436**
Dallas	0	0	2	0	0	10	11	2	6	0	0	0	31
Hickory	0	0	4	0	0	0	9	10	9	0	1	2	35
Polk	0	0	9	0	7	26	24	69	50	0	5	23	213
Webster	0	0	7	0	1	26	50	47	8	0	9	9	157

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Court	Trans Other Court	Total
31	**4**	**3**	**61**	**0**	**34**	**235**	**89**	**383**	**223**	**21**	**13**	**67**	**1,133**
Greene	4	3	61	0	34	235	89	383	223	21	13	67	1,133
32	**0**	**0**	**25**	**2**	**18**	**170**	**18**	**40**	**13**	**1**	**19**	**11**	**317**
Bollinger	0	0	0	0	3	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Cape Girardeau	0	0	24	2	13	102	17	40	10	1	19	9	237
Perry	0	0	1	0	2	47	1	0	3	0	0	2	56
33	**0**	**0**	**51**	**3**	**17**	**54**	**22**	**33**	**52**	**1**	**18**	**11**	**262**
Mississippi	0	0	15	0	5	13	3	10	11	0	4	0	61
Scott	0	0	36	3	12	41	19	23	41	1	14	11	201
34	**0**	**0**	**15**	**0**	**20**	**50**	**89**	**4**	**25**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**209**
New Madrid	0	0	7	0	8	6	16	0	12	0	2	0	51
Pemiscot	0	0	8	0	12	44	73	4	13	0	4	0	158
35	**1**	**0**	**48**	**0**	**9**	**17**	**0**	**104**	**13**	**24**	**20**	**1**	**237**
Dunklin	1	0	26	0	6	0	0	27	1	11	1	1	74
Stoddard	0	0	22	0	3	17	0	77	12	13	19	0	163
36	**0**	**0**	**26**	**0**	**5**	**42**	**32**	**114**	**65**	**1**	**10**	**3**	**298**
Butler	0	0	25	0	5	29	30	81	52	1	10	3	236
Ripley	0	0	1	0	0	13	2	33	13	0	0	0	62
37	**5**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**1**	**21**	**54**	**5**	**24**	**1**	**15**	**2**	**133**
Carter	1	0	0	0	0	5	13	1	3	0	2	1	26
Howell	2	0	5	0	0	13	37	4	19	1	10	1	92
Oregon	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	2	0	8
Shannon	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	7
38	**0**	**0**	**32**	**0**	**24**	**113**	**100**	**39**	**76**	**0**	**43**	**50**	**477**
Christian	0	0	21	0	12	66	64	30	56	0	24	32	305
Taney	0	0	11	0	12	47	36	9	20	0	19	18	172
39	**0**	**0**	**19**	**0**	**2**	**19**	**88**	**80**	**20**	**0**	**21**	**11**	**260**
Barry	0	0	2	0	1	6	22	28	2	0	3	1	65
Lawrence	0	0	9	0	0	12	25	37	11	0	9	4	107
Stone	0	0	8	0	1	1	41	15	7	0	9	6	88
40	**0**	**0**	**33**	**0**	**22**	**73**	**39**	**101**	**51**	**0**	**54**	**6**	**379**
McDonald	0	0	10	0	12	17	18	4	17	0	7	0	85
Newton	0	0	23	0	10	56	21	97	34	0	47	6	294
41	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**11**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**27**
Macon	0	1	2	0	2	8	4	2	0	0	1	1	21
Shelby	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	6
42	**3**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**2**	**45**	**26**	**14**	**18**	**0**	**9**	**2**	**127**
Crawford	0	0	8	0	1	16	23	13	13	0	2	2	78
Dent	3	0	0	0	1	18	3	1	0	0	4	0	30
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
Reynolds	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	5
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	9
43	**0**	**0**	**24**	**0**	**20**	**58**	**11**	**16**	**18**	**0**	**6**	**10**	**163**
Caldwell	0	0	4	0	2	9	1	2	14	0	0	2	34
Clinton	0	0	13	0	13	16	8	10	2	0	4	3	69
Daviess	0	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	0	11
DeKalb	0	0	2	0	1	5	1	4	0	0	1	2	16
Livingston	0	0	3	0	4	22	1	0	0	0	0	3	33
44	**1**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**5**	**44**	**19**	**8**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**1**	**92**
Douglas	0	0	3	0	1	20	8	4	0	0	0	0	36
Ozark	0	0	3	0	1	6	0	2	0	0	1	0	13
Wright	1	0	2	0	3	18	11	2	1	1	3	1	43

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Court	Trans Other Court	Total
45	**9**	**0**	**34**	**1**	**6**	**78**	**25**	**36**	**36**	**4**	**4**	**13**	**246**
Lincoln	9	0	32	1	4	68	17	27	29	4	4	11	206
Pike	0	0	2	0	2	10	8	9	7	0	0	2	40
Total	**96**	**244**	**1,654**	**177**	**879**	**3,805**	**3,362**	**3,018**	**3,191**	**217**	**539**	**1,268**	**18,450**

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
1	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**42**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**69**
Clark	0	0	0	0	0	18	41	0	0	0	0	0	59
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Scotland	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	9
2	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**5**	**75**	**41**	**83**	**5**	**0**	**18**	**0**	**233**
Adair	0	0	5	0	5	21	4	44	5	0	9	0	93
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	22	24	5	0	0	3	0	54
Lewis	0	0	1	0	0	32	13	34	0	0	6	0	86
3	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**21**	**6**	**20**	**18**	**0**	**15**	**0**	**82**
Grundy	0	0	0	0	1	9	3	9	16	0	5	0	43
Harrison	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	10	0	17
Mercer	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	5	1	0	0	0	13
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	1	0	0	0	9
4	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**61**	**69**	**0**	**11**	**0**	**5**	**2**	**152**
Atchison	0	0	1	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	2	0	15
Gentry	0	0	1	0	0	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	11
Holt	0	0	0	0	0	19	8	0	2	0	1	1	31
Nodaway	0	0	1	0	0	26	42	0	9	0	2	1	81
Worth	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	14
5	**1**	**0**	**62**	**3**	**63**	**135**	**39**	**126**	**3**	**1**	**18**	**2**	**453**
Andrew	0	0	1	0	3	9	5	13	0	0	0	0	31
Buchanan	1	0	61	3	60	126	34	113	3	1	18	2	422
6	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**3**	**27**	**12**	**9**	**5**	**0**	**2**	**8**	**72**
Platte	0	0	6	0	3	27	12	9	5	0	2	8	72
7	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**30**	**44**	**11**	**27**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**122**
Clay	0	0	4	0	30	44	11	27	1	1	0	4	122
8	**0**	**0**	**15**	**1**	**4**	**36**	**109**	**9**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**185**
Carroll	0	0	0	0	0	12	16	4	0	0	0	0	32
Ray	0	0	15	1	4	24	93	5	8	0	0	3	153
9	**35**	**1**	**18**	**3**	**14**	**12**	**29**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**117**
Chariton	30	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	36
Linn	5	1	7	1	11	3	16	0	2	0	0	1	47
Sullivan	0	0	9	2	3	9	9	0	1	0	1	0	34
10	**2**	**1**	**9**	**0**	**3**	**80**	**31**	**30**	**28**	**0**	**6**	**1**	**191**
Marion	2	1	6	0	3	62	25	24	13	0	5	1	142
Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	2	6	0	1	0	19
Ralls	0	0	3	0	0	12	2	4	9	0	0	0	30
11	**1**	**0**	**16**	**0**	**11**	**100**	**53**	**66**	**84**	**18**	**1**	**22**	**372**
St. Charles	1	0	16	0	11	100	53	66	84	18	1	22	372
12	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**13**	**151**	**115**	**40**	**28**	**0**	**20**	**6**	**379**
Audrain	0	0	6	0	9	46	31	20	18	0	6	3	139
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	20	27	4	5	0	3	2	61
Warren	0	0	0	0	4	85	57	16	5	0	11	1	179
13	**0**	**51**	**99**	**43**	**25**	**37**	**132**	**263**	**25**	**6**	**21**	**48**	**750**
Boone	0	51	49	43	25	27	87	196	20	0	14	31	543
Callaway	0	0	50	0	0	10	45	67	5	6	7	17	207
14	**0**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**17**	**93**	**43**	**104**	**44**	**3**	**11**	**14**	**334**
Howard	0	0	0	0	3	13	5	23	6	0	1	1	52
Randolph	0	0	4	1	14	80	38	81	38	3	10	13	282
15	**0**	**0**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**19**	**42**	**34**	**13**	**1**	**5**	**32**	**159**
Lafayette	0	0	2	0	1	7	15	22	10	1	3	1	62
Saline	0	0	2	6	2	12	27	12	3	0	2	31	97

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
16	**4**	**1**	**7**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**3**	**7**	**24**	**0**	**7**	**3**	**62**
Jackson	4	1	7	0	4	2	3	7	24	0	7	3	62
17	**0**	**0**	**54**	**1**	**10**	**198**	**172**	**71**	**13**	**0**	**6**	**18**	**543**
Cass	0	0	21	1	6	117	128	57	9	0	6	14	359
Johnson	0	0	33	0	4	81	44	14	4	0	0	4	184
18	**0**	**0**	**10**	**1**	**3**	**119**	**73**	**167**	**22**	**0**	**10**	**13**	**418**
Cooper	0	0	6	0	1	78	43	103	6	0	3	1	241
Pettis	0	0	4	1	2	41	30	64	16	0	7	12	177
19	**0**	**4**	**71**	**4**	**15**	**25**	**84**	**91**	**40**	**0**	**7**	**19**	**360**
Cole	0	4	71	4	15	25	84	91	40	0	7	19	360
20	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**4**	**37**	**33**	**123**	**70**	**2**	**13**	**11**	**298**
Franklin	0	0	3	0	4	33	27	114	54	2	13	7	257
Gasconade	0	0	1	0	0	2	5	8	8	0	0	2	26
Osage	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	8	0	0	2	15
21	**6**	**14**	**5**	**43**	**3**	**338**	**98**	**593**	**579**	**2**	**27**	**149**	**1,857**
St. Louis Co	6	14	5	43	3	338	98	593	579	2	27	149	1,857
22	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**108**	**1**	**97**	**91**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**307**
St. Louis City	0	0	1	0	1	108	1	97	91	8	0	0	307
23	**0**	**0**	**40**	**0**	**4**	**43**	**178**	**181**	**0**	**20**	**0**	**9**	**475**
Jefferson	0	0	40	0	4	43	178	181	0	20	0	9	475
24	**0**	**0**	**27**	**3**	**2**	**33**	**290**	**15**	**10**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**392**
Madison	0	0	2	0	0	5	25	4	4	0	3	0	43
St. Francois	0	0	0	0	2	13	77	4	4	0	3	0	103
Ste. Genevieve	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	6	1	0	2	0	40
Washington	0	0	25	3	0	15	157	1	1	0	4	0	206
25	**0**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**18**	**42**	**434**	**1**	**59**	**1**	**80**	**7**	**651**
Maries	0	0	0	0	0	5	26	0	1	0	2	0	34
Phelps	0	0	6	0	12	24	158	0	11	1	17	2	231
Pulaski	0	0	2	0	5	4	145	1	46	0	14	4	221
Texas	0	0	1	0	1	9	105	0	1	0	47	1	165
26	**0**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**21**	**48**	**31**	**45**	**60**	**0**	**37**	**11**	**257**
Camden	0	0	2	0	11	12	16	21	14	0	10	4	90
Laclede	0	0	0	0	7	8	0	12	20	0	6	1	54
Miller	0	0	0	0	0	26	8	9	16	0	16	4	79
Moniteau	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	1	3	0	3	1	15
Morgan	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	2	7	0	2	1	19
27	**0**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**6**	**58**	**72**	**45**	**12**	**0**	**11**	**6**	**218**
Bates	0	0	2	0	0	33	34	11	1	0	4	1	86
Benton	0	0	3	0	0	1	6	25	5	0	3	3	46
Henry	0	0	2	0	5	13	21	5	6	0	4	2	58
St. Clair	0	0	1	0	1	11	11	4	0	0	0	0	28
28	**0**	**0**	**57**	**0**	**38**	**40**	**111**	**81**	**0**	**0**	**16**	**10**	**353**
Barton	0	0	20	0	3	22	56	5	0	0	1	0	107
Cedar	0	0	0	0	4	2	24	1	0	0	0	0	31
Dade	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	14
Vernon	0	0	37	0	31	15	18	75	0	0	15	10	201
29	**0**	**0**	**45**	**0**	**46**	**138**	**142**	**307**	**19**	**1**	**10**	**18**	**726**
Jasper	0	0	45	0	46	138	142	307	19	1	10	18	726

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
30	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**23**	**69**	**24**	**0**	**13**	**3**	**137**
Dallas	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	8	3	0	4	0	27
Hickory	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	3	0	0	1	11
Polk	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	26	9	0	5	2	50
Webster	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	30	9	0	4	0	49
31	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**7**	**39**	**34**	**265**	**45**	**1**	**5**	**23**	**422**
Greene	0	0	3	0	7	39	34	265	45	1	5	23	422
32	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**276**	**87**	**530**	**19**	**0**	**20**	**0**	**937**
Bollinger	0	0	0	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
Cape Girardeau	0	0	0	2	3	124	87	530	18	0	20	0	784
Perry	0	0	0	0	0	86	0	0	1	0	0	0	87
33	**0**	**0**	**17**	**2**	**0**	**21**	**7**	**112**	**20**	**0**	**20**	**6**	**205**
Mississippi	0	0	6	0	0	7	0	7	2	0	1	0	23
Scott	0	0	11	2	0	14	7	105	18	0	19	6	182
34	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**80**	**131**	**0**	**36**	**0**	**11**	**1**	**262**
New Madrid	0	0	1	0	0	42	47	0	6	0	3	0	99
Pemiscot	0	0	1	0	1	38	84	0	30	0	8	1	163
35	**0**	**2**	**17**	**0**	**0**	**40**	**4**	**138**	**6**	**7**	**28**	**2**	**244**
Dunklin	0	2	14	0	0	1	4	109	0	4	0	0	134
Stoddard	0	0	3	0	0	39	0	29	6	3	28	2	110
36	**0**	**0**	**9**	**1**	**3**	**36**	**13**	**133**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**199**
Butler	0	0	9	0	2	25	12	103	0	0	1	0	152
Ripley	0	0	0	1	1	11	1	30	2	0	1	0	47
37	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**6**	**57**	**240**	**5**	**9**	**0**	**36**	**1**	**356**
Carter	0	0	0	0	4	7	19	4	0	0	4	0	38
Howell	0	0	2	0	2	41	207	1	8	0	31	1	293
Oregon	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	0	1	0	1	0	15
Shannon	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	10
38	**0**	**0**	**13**	**0**	**18**	**47**	**61**	**42**	**34**	**2**	**39**	**19**	**275**
Christian	0	0	13	0	8	42	24	31	24	1	15	15	173
Taney	0	0	0	0	10	5	37	11	10	1	24	4	102
39	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**3**	**8**	**28**	**62**	**14**	**0**	**22**	**4**	**144**
Barry	0	0	1	0	0	2	8	23	1	0	6	0	41
Lawrence	0	0	2	0	3	6	15	25	9	0	9	2	71
Stone	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	4	0	7	2	32
40	**0**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**11**	**42**	**30**	**34**	**14**	**0**	**95**	**3**	**236**
McDonald	0	0	1	0	5	10	5	2	0	0	11	0	34
Newton	0	0	6	0	6	32	25	32	14	0	84	3	202
41	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**29**	**19**	**19**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**79**
Macon	0	0	0	0	2	19	17	2	5	0	3	2	50
Shelby	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	17	0	0	0	0	29
42	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**12**	**95**	**35**	**17**	**15**	**0**	**14**	**1**	**193**
Crawford	0	0	2	0	6	16	23	16	11	0	0	0	74
Dent	2	0	0	0	3	17	7	0	1	0	0	1	31
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	36	2	1	2	0	7	0	48
Reynolds	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	0	11
Wayne	0	0	0	0	3	17	3	0	1	0	5	0	29

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
43	**0**	**0**	**16**	**0**	**10**	**80**	**59**	**35**	**4**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**207**
Caldwell	0	0	1	0	1	8	7	2	1	0	0	0	20
Clinton	0	0	13	0	5	22	32	18	3	0	1	0	94
Daviess	0	0	0	0	1	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	14
DeKalb	0	0	1	0	1	21	0	12	0	0	0	1	36
Livingston	0	0	1	0	2	22	15	2	0	0	1	0	43
44	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**10**	**6**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**27**
Douglas	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	6
Ozark	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
Wright	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	4	0	0	0	1	17
45	**5**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**34**	**7**	**138**	**29**	**2**	**8**	**5**	**233**
Lincoln	5	0	3	0	2	32	6	123	25	2	8	5	211
Pike	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	15	4	0	0	0	22
Total	**56**	**74**	**689**	**115**	**451**	**3,036**	**3,284**	**4,242**	**1,552**	**77**	**677**	**490**	**14,743**

Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Services	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans. Other Agency	Trans. Other Court	Total
1	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**25**	**4**	**56**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**87**
Clark	0	0	0	0	8	1	55	0	0	0	0	0	64
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Scotland	0	0	1	0	11	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	16
2	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**56**	**8**	**9**	**14**	**11**	**3**	**40**	**0**	**141**
Adair	0	0	0	0	42	0	3	7	7	3	30	0	92
Knox	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	7
Lewis	0	0	0	0	13	5	3	7	4	0	10	0	42
3	**0**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**43**	**14**	**0**	**41**	**19**	**0**	**33**	**0**	**162**
Grundy	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	39	0	0	12	0	62
Harrison	0	0	9	0	16	7	0	0	11	0	4	0	47
Mercer	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	1	5	0	7	0	20
Putnam	0	0	3	0	11	5	0	1	3	0	10	0	33
4	**0**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**72**	**36**	**67**	**3**	**69**	**9**	**10**	**0**	**272**
Atchison	0	0	0	0	5	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	15
Gentry	0	0	0	0	19	19	10	0	6	2	2	0	58
Holt	0	1	3	0	16	6	6	2	2	1	6	0	43
Nodaway	0	0	2	0	30	11	43	1	60	4	2	0	153
Worth	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
5	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**39**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**61**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**113**
Andrew	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Buchanan	0	0	5	0	38	0	0	3	61	0	4	0	111
6	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**11**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**14**
Platte	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	14
7	**4**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**96**	**4**	**8**	**18**	**185**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**321**
Clay	4	0	5	0	96	4	8	18	185	1	0	0	321
8	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**3**	**32**	**7**	**4**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**53**
Carroll	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	7
Ray	0	0	0	0	5	1	28	7	4	0	1	0	46
9	**0**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**27**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**34**
Chariton	0	0	3	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Linn	0	0	1	0	14	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	17
Sullivan	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
10	**5**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**66**	**7**	**14**	**5**	**8**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**116**
Marion	5	0	3	0	51	4	11	1	7	0	0	0	82
Monroe	0	0	2	0	14	3	3	1	0	2	1	0	26
Ralls	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	8
11	**9**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**154**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**38**	**10**	**0**	**3**	**222**
St. Charles	9	1	1	0	154	1	5	0	38	10	0	3	222
12	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**98**	**13**	**4**	**7**	**4**	**1**	**47**	**2**	**177**
Audrain	0	0	0	0	43	2	0	1	4	1	12	2	65
Montgomery	0	0	1	0	26	1	2	2	0	0	22	0	54
Warren	0	0	0	0	29	10	2	4	0	0	13	0	58
13	**0**	**13**	**129**	**20**	**174**	**0**	**41**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**393**
Boone	0	13	7	20	174	0	37	9	2	0	2	1	265
Callaway	0	0	122	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	128
14	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**107**	**46**	**9**	**34**	**97**	**2**	**18**	**14**	**331**
Howard	0	0	2	0	18	14	4	4	16	1	3	2	64
Randolph	0	0	2	0	89	32	5	30	81	1	15	12	267
15	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**46**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**50**
Lafayette	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	21
Saline	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	29

Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Services	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans. Other Agency	Trans. Other Court	Total
16	**320**	**63**	**108**	**0**	**979**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**389**	**9**	**49**	**4**	**1,926**
Jackson	320	63	108	0	979	0	4	1	389	9	49	4	1,926
17	**0**	**2**	**19**	**0**	**185**	**19**	**33**	**92**	**26**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**377**
Cass	0	2	9	0	118	13	11	80	20	0	1	0	254
Johnson	0	0	10	0	67	6	22	12	6	0	0	0	123
18	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**18**	**13**	**3**	**10**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**54**
Cooper	0	0	1	0	2	4	3	10	0	1	0	0	21
Pettis	0	0	0	0	16	9	0	0	8	0	0	0	33
19	**0**	**8**	**6**	**0**	**29**	**13**	**4**	**269**	**67**	**1**	**8**	**29**	**434**
Cole	0	8	6	0	29	13	4	269	67	1	8	29	434
20	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**150**	**0**	**1**	**45**	**3**	**1**	**13**	**0**	**218**
Franklin	0	0	4	0	131	0	0	45	3	1	13	0	197
Gasconade	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Osage	0	0	1	0	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	13
21	**129**	**118**	**91**	**95**	**318**	**51**	**20**	**113**	**310**	**8**	**0**	**61**	**1,314**
St. Louis Co	129	118	91	95	318	51	20	113	310	8	0	61	1,314
22	**8**	**6**	**7**	**1**	**290**	**124**	**4**	**190**	**57**	**67**	**0**	**9**	**763**
St. Louis City	8	6	7	1	290	124	4	190	57	67	0	9	763
23	**33**	**0**	**49**	**0**	**429**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**43**	**41**	**152**	**6**	**755**
Jefferson	33	0	49	0	429	0	0	2	43	41	152	6	755
24	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**114**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**123**
Madison	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
St. Francois	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	48
Ste. Genevieve	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19
Washington	0	0	2	2	33	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	38
25	**14**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**338**	**1**	**219**	**0**	**50**	**21**	**659**	**2**	**1,306**
Maries	0	0	0	0	24	1	9	0	0	4	28	0	66
Phelps	8	0	0	0	137	0	52	0	23	16	186	1	423
Pulaski	6	0	2	0	127	0	85	0	19	0	234	1	474
Texas	0	0	0	0	50	0	73	0	8	1	211	0	343
26	**0**	**0**	**3**	**18**	**126**	**36**	**15**	**139**	**70**	**3**	**27**	**5**	**442**
Camden	0	0	0	0	50	0	7	28	23	0	11	2	121
Laclede	0	0	0	13	66	25	3	81	31	0	10	1	230
Miller	0	0	1	2	0	10	5	26	5	0	1	0	50
Moniteau	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	4	2	3	1	0	15
Morgan	0	0	2	3	6	0	0	0	9	0	4	2	26
27	**2**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**88**	**50**	**134**	**37**	**21**	**2**	**23**	**2**	**364**
Bates	2	0	3	0	25	25	46	9	0	1	0	0	111
Benton	0	0	0	0	16	6	21	15	21	0	14	2	95
Henry	0	0	2	0	32	18	53	11	0	1	9	0	126
St. Clair	0	0	0	0	15	1	14	2	0	0	0	0	32
28	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**90**	**0**	**170**	**56**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**323**
Barton	0	0	3	0	25	0	39	20	0	0	0	0	87
Cedar	0	0	0	0	26	0	58	0	0	0	1	0	85
Dade	0	0	0	0	12	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	33
Vernon	0	0	1	0	27	0	52	36	0	0	2	0	118
29	**0**	**0**	**22**	**0**	**128**	**157**	**36**	**22**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**380**
Jasper	0	0	22	0	128	157	36	22	13	0	0	2	380

Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Services	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans. Other Agency	Trans. Other Court	Total
30	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**79**	**60**	**62**	**23**	**66**	**0**	**106**	**0**	**396**
Dallas	0	0	0	0	18	23	11	0	16	0	20	0	88
Hickory	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	5	3	0	8	0	24
Polk	0	0	0	0	26	34	25	9	5	0	70	0	169
Webster	0	0	0	0	31	3	22	9	42	0	8	0	115
31	**0**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**256**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**9**	**69**	**0**	**345**
Greene	0	6	1	0	256	0	0	0	4	9	69	0	345
32	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**208**	**237**	**1**	**5**	**39**	**0**	**29**	**0**	**520**
Bollinger	0	0	0	0	44	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	134
Cape Girardeau	0	0	1	0	135	30	1	5	39	0	29	0	240
Perry	0	0	0	0	29	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	146
33	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**137**	**20**	**0**	**3**	**36**	**0**	**22**	**0**	**222**
Mississippi	0	0	1	0	20	0	0	2	14	0	10	0	47
Scott	0	0	3	0	117	20	0	1	22	0	12	0	175
34	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**150**	**11**	**4**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**137**	**2**	**314**
New Madrid	0	0	0	0	56	11	2	0	4	0	42	0	115
Pemiscot	0	0	0	0	94	0	2	0	6	0	95	2	199
35	**0**	**0**	**29**	**0**	**231**	**22**	**54**	**191**	**78**	**19**	**192**	**1**	**817**
Dunklin	0	0	23	0	89	0	54	145	23	10	6	0	350
Stoddard	0	0	6	0	142	22	0	46	55	9	186	1	467
36	**0**	**0**	**35**	**0**	**209**	**29**	**15**	**55**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**354**
Butler	0	0	31	0	150	21	11	25	6	1	0	0	245
Ripley	0	0	4	0	59	8	4	30	4	0	0	0	109
37	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**73**	**0**	**77**	**0**	**26**	**2**	**37**	**0**	**215**
Carter	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Howell	0	0	0	0	48	0	77	0	22	2	17	0	166
Oregon	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	24
Shannon	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	15	0	22
38	**3**	**0**	**0**	**18**	**190**	**7**	**1**	**4**	**31**	**6**	**28**	**5**	**293**
Christian	3	0	0	18	57	3	1	3	31	2	25	3	146
Taney	0	0	0	0	133	4	0	1	0	4	3	2	147
39	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**174**	**0**	**4**	**380**	**7**	**0**	**105**	**3**	**673**
Barry	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	180	0	0	44	0	278
Lawrence	0	0	0	0	83	0	4	131	4	0	11	0	233
Stone	0	0	0	0	37	0	0	69	3	0	50	3	162
40	**0**	**9**	**4**	**0**	**119**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**23**	**9**	**28**	**4**	**201**
McDonald	0	2	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	50
Newton	0	7	4	0	76	0	3	2	23	9	23	4	151
41	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**42**	**57**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**5**	**0**	**111**
Macon	0	0	1	0	20	30	0	0	1	2	4	0	58
Shelby	0	0	1	0	22	27	0	0	2	0	1	0	53
42	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**106**	**3**	**7**	**2**	**130**	**4**	**253**	**0**	**507**
Crawford	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	1	56	0	0	0	103
Dent	0	1	1	0	25	0	7	0	3	4	0	0	41
Iron	0	0	0	0	22	2	0	0	0	0	51	0	75
Reynolds	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	84	0	88
Wayne	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	71	0	118	0	200

Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Services	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans. Other Agency	Trans. Other Court	Total
43	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**75**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**78**
Caldwell	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Clinton	0	0	1	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Daviess	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
DeKalb	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Livingston	0	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	13
44	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**60**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**74**
Douglas	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	16
Ozark	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Wright	0	0	2	0	42	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	51
45	**8**	**9**	**6**	**3**	**65**	**29**	**0**	**167**	**13**	**10**	**4**	**4**	**318**
Lincoln	8	3	2	3	42	29	0	167	6	8	4	4	276
Pike	0	6	4	0	23	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	42
Total	**535**	**237**	**585**	**158**	**6,476**	**1,075**	**1,118**	**1,953**	**2,034**	**260**	**2,110**	**162**	**16,703**

Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
2	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**
Adair	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
5	**1**	**1**	**185**	**0**	**18**	**1,235**	**5**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1,446**
Andrew	0	0	1	0	0	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	87
Buchanan	1	1	184	0	18	1,149	5	1	0	0	0	0	1,359
6	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**6**
Platte	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
7	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**5**
Clay	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
10	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Marion	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
11	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**5**
St. Charles	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	5
12	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
13	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Boone	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Callaway	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
14	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**4**
Randolph	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4
15	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Lafayette	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
16	**7**	**26**	**46**	**2**	**69**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**87**	**9**	**9**	**32**	**287**
Jackson	7	26	46	2	69	0	0	0	87	9	9	32	287
17	**0**	**0**	**13**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**24**
Cass	0	0	9	0	5	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	19
Johnson	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
18	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Pettis	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
19	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**8**
Cole	0	1	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	8
21	**26**	**31**	**26**	**52**	**34**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**12**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**183**
St. Louis Co	26	31	26	52	34	0	0	0	12	1	0	1	183
22	**2**	**1**	**19**	**2**	**19**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**17**	**6**	**3**	**77**
St. Louis City	2	1	19	2	19	0	0	0	8	17	6	3	77
23	**5**	**0**	**31**	**0**	**28**	**0**	**12**	**8**	**0**	**35**	**0**	**0**	**119**
Jefferson	5	0	31	0	28	0	12	8	0	35	0	0	119
24	**0**	**0**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**25**
Madison	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
St. Francois	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Ste. Genevieve	0	0	0	5	12	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	19
25	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Pulaski	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
27	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**3**
Benton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Henry	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
St. Clair	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	Missing	Not True	True In Home	True No Service	True Out of Home	IA With Sup	IA W/O Sup	Informal Adj, Counseled and Warned	Reject	Dismiss	Trans Other Agency	Trans Other Court	Total
28	**0**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**13**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**27**
Barton	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Cedar	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Dade	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Vernon	0	0	5	0	3	2	8	3	0	0	0	0	21
29	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**
Jasper	1	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
33	**0**	**1**	**5**	**0**	**11**	**36**	**7**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**76**
Mississippi	0	1	1	0	5	9	4	2	0	0	0	0	22
Scott	0	0	4	0	6	27	3	13	0	0	1	0	54
34	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Pemiscot	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
35	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**5**
Dunklin	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	4
Stoddard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
38	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Christian	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
39	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**6**
Barry	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Lawrence	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
40	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
McDonald	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Newton	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
41	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Macon	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
42	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
43	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**14**
Clinton	0	0	1	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10
DeKalb	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Livingston	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
44	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**
Douglas	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Ozark	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Wright	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
45	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Total	**42**	**62**	**343**	**61**	**243**	**1,289**	**48**	**36**	**111**	**67**	**21**	**40**	**2,363**

Appendix F: Type of Referral by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	ADMINISTRATIVE	CHILD ABUSE / NEGLECT	MISCELLANEOUS	PEACE	PERSON	PROPERTY	STATUS OFFENSE	SUBSTANCE	Total
1	**0**	**87**	**1**	**2**	**12**	**8**	**69**	**2**	**181**
Clark	0	64	0	0	2	3	59	1	129
Schuyler	0	7	0	2	0	1	1	1	12
Scotland	0	16	1	0	10	4	9	0	40
2	**1**	**141**	**11**	**14**	**31**	**46**	**233**	**20**	**497**
Adair	1	92	6	8	14	35	93	3	252
Knox	0	7	0	1	1	1	54	0	64
Lewis	0	42	5	5	16	10	86	17	181
3	**0**	**162**	**7**	**3**	**26**	**14**	**82**	**6**	**300**
Grundy	0	62	0	0	7	6	43	3	121
Harrison	0	47	3	0	10	6	17	3	86
Mercer	0	20	4	2	5	1	13	0	45
Putnam	0	33	0	1	4	1	9	0	48
4	**0**	**272**	**8**	**1**	**12**	**18**	**152**	**25**	**488**
Atchison	0	15	1	0	0	1	15	1	33
Gentry	0	58	4	0	2	2	11	4	81
Holt	0	43	0	1	1	9	31	1	86
Nodaway	0	153	3	0	6	6	81	14	263
Worth	0	3	0	0	3	0	14	5	25
5	**1,158**	**113**	**362**	**75**	**100**	**117**	**454**	**50**	**2,429**
Andrew	77	2	21	1	12	10	31	22	176
Buchanan	1,081	111	341	74	88	107	423	28	2,253
6	**6**	**14**	**11**	**15**	**74**	**77**	**72**	**36**	**305**
Platte	6	14	11	15	74	77	72	36	305
7	**5**	**321**	**48**	**31**	**218**	**235**	**122**	**89**	**1,069**
Clay	5	321	48	31	218	235	122	89	1,069
8	**0**	**53**	**4**	**5**	**66**	**70**	**185**	**21**	**404**
Carroll	0	7	1	0	10	13	32	3	66
Ray	0	46	3	5	56	57	153	18	338
9	**0**	**34**	**2**	**0**	**7**	**6**	**117**	**2**	**168**
Chariton	0	13	0	0	1	3	36	0	53
Linn	0	17	2	0	4	3	47	1	74
Sullivan	0	4	0	0	2	0	34	1	41
10	**3**	**116**	**6**	**41**	**39**	**47**	**191**	**21**	**464**
Marion	3	82	4	34	26	33	142	12	336
Monroe	0	26	1	1	5	2	19	7	61
Ralls	0	8	1	6	8	12	30	2	67
11	**5**	**222**	**44**	**85**	**235**	**388**	**372**	**156**	**1,507**
St. Charles	5	222	44	85	235	388	372	156	1,507
12	**1**	**177**	**17**	**6**	**44**	**128**	**379**	**27**	**779**
Audrain	0	65	11	3	15	63	139	6	302
Montgomery	0	54	1	0	6	23	61	2	147
Warren	1	58	5	3	23	42	179	19	330

Appendix F: Type of Referral by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	ADMINISTRATIVE	CHILD ABUSE / NEGLECT	MISCELLANEOUS	PEACE	PERSON	PROPERTY	STATUS OFFENSE	SUBSTANCE	Total
13	**3**	**393**	**43**	**55**	**229**	**221**	**750**	**88**	**1,782**
Boone	2	265	33	40	161	183	543	63	1,290
Callaway	1	128	10	15	68	38	207	25	492
14	**4**	**331**	**3**	**19**	**44**	**40**	**334**	**7**	**782**
Howard	0	64	0	4	4	10	52	5	139
Randolph	4	267	3	15	40	30	282	2	643
15	**2**	**50**	**46**	**23**	**76**	**118**	**159**	**26**	**500**
Lafayette	2	21	21	11	34	34	62	15	200
Saline	0	29	25	12	42	84	97	11	300
16	**289**	**1,926**	**72**	**103**	**322**	**469**	**62**	**93**	**3,336**
Jackson	289	1,926	72	103	322	469	62	93	3,336
17	**24**	**377**	**26**	**26**	**205**	**195**	**543**	**94**	**1,490**
Cass	19	254	17	24	145	167	359	76	1,061
Johnson	5	123	9	2	60	28	184	18	429
18	**2**	**54**	**17**	**25**	**137**	**138**	**418**	**29**	**820**
Cooper	0	21	8	10	67	47	241	6	400
Pettis	2	33	9	15	70	91	177	23	420
19	**8**	**434**	**15**	**17**	**84**	**139**	**360**	**43**	**1,100**
Cole	8	434	15	17	84	139	360	43	1,100
20	**0**	**218**	**20**	**21**	**95**	**115**	**298**	**86**	**853**
Franklin	0	197	17	18	77	104	257	79	749
Gasconade	0	8	2	3	14	9	26	5	67
Osage	0	13	1	0	4	2	15	2	37
21	**183**	**1,315**	**264**	**105**	**814**	**1,620**	**1,857**	**411**	**6,569**
St. Louis Co	183	1,315	264	105	814	1,620	1,857	411	6,569
22	**63**	**763**	**81**	**30**	**212**	**469**	**307**	**61**	**1,986**
St. Louis City	63	763	81	30	212	469	307	61	1,986
23	**26**	**755**	**160**	**39**	**315**	**166**	**475**	**134**	**2,070**
Jefferson	26	755	160	39	315	166	475	134	2,070
24	**25**	**123**	**44**	**73**	**387**	**147**	**392**	**40**	**1,231**
Madison	4	18	4	4	34	20	43	6	133
St. Francois	2	48	30	48	254	89	103	25	599
Ste. Genevieve	19	19	0	10	23	26	40	3	140
Washington	0	38	10	11	76	12	206	6	359
25	**0**	**1,306**	**29**	**4**	**76**	**114**	**651**	**46**	**2,226**
Maries	0	66	0	0	0	1	34	0	101
Phelps	0	423	5	0	19	34	231	15	727
Pulaski	0	474	20	3	51	61	221	23	853
Texas	0	343	4	1	6	18	165	8	545

Appendix F: Type of Referral by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	ADMINISTRATIVE	CHILD ABUSE / NEGLECT	MISCELLANEOUS	PEACE	PERSON	PROPERTY	STATUS OFFENSE	SUBSTANCE	Total
26	**0**	**442**	**23**	**15**	**68**	**89**	**257**	**44**	**938**
Camden	0	121	3	3	18	34	90	18	287
Laclede	0	230	12	5	24	14	54	3	342
Miller	0	50	4	4	16	24	79	7	184
Moniteau	0	15	1	0	3	7	15	5	46
Morgan	0	26	3	3	7	10	19	11	79
27	**3**	**364**	**12**	**8**	**61**	**93**	**218**	**44**	**803**
Bates	0	111	1	2	5	21	86	11	237
Benton	1	95	7	4	41	39	46	20	253
Henry	1	126	2	2	13	25	58	11	238
St. Clair	1	32	2	0	2	8	28	2	75
28	**27**	**323**	**23**	**20**	**104**	**86**	**353**	**31**	**967**
Barton	3	87	3	6	29	8	107	6	249
Cedar	2	85	6	9	15	24	31	8	180
Dade	1	33	0	0	5	3	14	2	58
Vernon	21	118	14	5	55	51	201	15	480
29	**6**	**380**	**50**	**63**	**215**	**270**	**726**	**76**	**1,786**
Jasper	6	380	50	63	215	270	726	76	1,786
30	**0**	**396**	**35**	**23**	**178**	**146**	**137**	**54**	**969**
Dallas	0	88	3	0	13	9	27	6	146
Hickory	0	24	1	0	14	19	11	1	70
Polk	0	169	13	17	99	59	50	25	432
Webster	0	115	18	6	52	59	49	22	321
31	**0**	**345**	**67**	**41**	**445**	**423**	**422**	**157**	**1,900**
Greene	0	345	67	41	445	423	422	157	1,900
32	**0**	**520**	**18**	**17**	**126**	**114**	**937**	**42**	**1,774**
Bollinger	0	134	0	0	15	3	66	6	224
Cape Girardeau	0	240	16	16	91	90	784	24	1,261
Perry	0	146	2	1	20	21	87	12	289
33	**76**	**222**	**24**	**68**	**75**	**68**	**205**	**28**	**766**
Mississippi	22	47	6	13	17	18	23	7	153
Scott	54	175	18	55	58	50	182	21	613
34	**1**	**314**	**13**	**57**	**42**	**80**	**262**	**18**	**787**
New Madrid	0	115	2	11	8	24	99	6	265
Pemiscot	1	199	11	46	34	56	163	12	522
35	**4**	**817**	**27**	**60**	**72**	**64**	**244**	**16**	**1,304**
Dunklin	4	350	9	16	19	22	134	9	563
Stoddard	0	467	18	44	53	42	110	7	741
36	**0**	**354**	**18**	**40**	**124**	**86**	**199**	**30**	**851**
Butler	0	245	13	24	105	73	152	21	633
Ripley	0	109	5	16	19	13	47	9	218

Appendix F: Type of Referral by Circuit and County

Circuit / County	ADMINISTRATIVE	CHILD ABUSE / NEGLECT	MISCELLANEOUS	PEACE	PERSON	PROPERTY	STATUS OFFENSE	SUBSTANCE	Total
37	**0**	**215**	**5**	**6**	**44**	**57**	**356**	**22**	**705**
Carter	0	3	2	3	7	8	38	6	67
Howell	0	166	3	3	29	43	293	15	552
Oregon	0	24	0	0	2	5	15	1	47
Shannon	0	22	0	0	6	1	10	0	39
38	**3**	**293**	**19**	**23**	**157**	**154**	**275**	**124**	**1,048**
Christian	3	146	16	18	99	88	173	84	627
Taney	0	147	3	5	58	66	102	40	421
39	**3**	**673**	**28**	**15**	**108**	**78**	**144**	**34**	**1,083**
Barry	2	278	12	3	26	19	41	7	388
Lawrence	1	233	8	7	50	31	71	12	413
Stone	0	162	8	5	32	28	32	15	282
40	**0**	**201**	**46**	**41**	**103**	**137**	**236**	**55**	**819**
McDonald	0	50	15	5	27	25	34	14	170
Newton	0	151	31	36	76	112	202	41	649
41	**2**	**111**	**1**	**3**	**13**	**8**	**79**	**2**	**219**
Macon	2	58	1	0	11	7	50	2	131
Shelby	0	53	0	3	2	1	29	0	88
42	**2**	**507**	**14**	**3**	**59**	**31**	**193**	**20**	**829**
Crawford	0	103	6	2	35	19	74	16	255
Dent	0	41	7	1	14	6	31	2	102
Iron	0	75	0	0	2	1	48	2	128
Reynolds	0	88	0	0	5	0	11	0	104
Wayne	2	200	1	0	3	5	29	0	240
43	**0**	**78**	**24**	**22**	**39**	**70**	**207**	**22**	**462**
Caldwell	0	3	0	15	9	6	20	4	57
Clinton	0	35	11	3	17	39	94	9	208
Daviess	0	15	1	0	3	6	14	1	40
DeKalb	0	12	1	3	5	7	36	1	65
Livingston	0	13	11	1	5	12	43	7	92
44	**0**	**74**	**19**	**8**	**37**	**24**	**27**	**12**	**201**
Douglas	0	16	7	2	19	7	6	4	61
Ozark	0	7	4	1	2	4	4	5	27
Wright	0	51	8	5	16	13	17	3	113
45	**4**	**318**	**27**	**29**	**77**	**75**	**233**	**38**	**801**
Lincoln	4	276	27	27	67	54	211	31	697
Pike	0	42	0	2	10	21	22	7	104
Total	**1,939**	**16,704**	**1,834**	**1,380**	**6,007**	**7,258**	**14,744**	**2,482**	**52,348**

Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	FELONY A	FELONY B	FELONY C	FELONY D	FELONY U	INFRACTION	MISDEMEANOR A	MISDEMEANOR B	MISDEMEANOR C	MISDEMEANOR U	ORDINANCE	Total
1	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**3**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**25**
Clark	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	6
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
Scotland	0	2	1	8	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	15
2	**1**	**3**	**14**	**5**	**1**	**7**	**41**	**25**	**10**	**13**	**2**	**122**
Adair	0	3	7	3	0	4	28	17	4	0	0	66
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
Lewis	1	0	7	2	1	3	12	6	6	13	2	53
3	**0**	**4**	**6**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**17**	**8**	**8**	**3**	**3**	**56**
Grundy	0	3	2	0	0	0	2	5	4	0	0	16
Harrison	0	0	3	1	1	1	6	2	2	3	3	22
Mercer	0	1	0	4	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	12
Putnam	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	6
4	**1**	**1**	**5**	**4**	**1**	**2**	**25**	**5**	**5**	**14**	**1**	**64**
Atchison	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Gentry	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	0	2	1	0	12
Holt	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	12
Nodaway	1	1	1	0	1	2	14	1	1	7	0	29
Worth	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	1	8
5	**2**	**13**	**41**	**16**	**1**	**6**	**156**	**48**	**39**	**16**	**78**	**416**
Andrew	0	3	2	2	0	0	32	9	5	1	2	56
Buchanan	2	10	39	14	1	6	124	39	34	15	76	360
6	**1**	**2**	**22**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**108**	**32**	**29**	**12**	**0**	**213**
Platte	1	2	22	5	2	0	108	32	29	12	0	213
7	**2**	**25**	**49**	**21**	**12**	**5**	**325**	**51**	**106**	**24**	**1**	**621**
Clay	2	25	49	21	12	5	325	51	106	24	1	621
8	**0**	**2**	**16**	**5**	**1**	**2**	**55**	**19**	**53**	**11**	**2**	**166**
Carroll	0	0	4	0	0	1	8	5	6	2	1	27
Ray	0	2	12	5	1	1	47	14	47	9	1	139
9	**0**	**1**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**2**	**17**
Chariton	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	4
Linn	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	2	10
Sullivan	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
10	**0**	**3**	**18**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**51**	**45**	**15**	**14**	**0**	**154**
Marion	0	2	9	3	0	1	37	38	13	6	0	109
Monroe	0	1	2	1	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	16
Ralls	0	0	7	3	0	0	8	7	2	2	0	29
11	**13**	**14**	**79**	**29**	**15**	**11**	**540**	**138**	**18**	**43**	**8**	**908**
St. Charles	13	14	79	29	15	11	540	138	18	43	8	908
12	**6**	**3**	**23**	**14**	**4**	**8**	**92**	**28**	**6**	**9**	**29**	**222**
Audrain	5	0	7	8	3	4	40	13	1	2	15	98
Montgomery	0	0	7	2	0	0	11	10	0	0	2	32
Warren	1	3	9	4	1	4	41	5	5	7	12	92
13	**7**	**8**	**42**	**17**	**4**	**5**	**285**	**125**	**113**	**27**	**3**	**636**
Boone	7	7	33	15	2	4	236	88	73	12	3	480
Callaway	0	1	9	2	2	1	49	37	40	15	0	156
14	**0**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**2**	**1**	**40**	**30**	**25**	**5**	**0**	**113**
Howard	0	0	1	1	0	0	7	10	2	2	0	23
Randolph	0	2	3	3	2	1	33	20	23	3	0	90
15	**0**	**8**	**37**	**14**	**1**	**6**	**85**	**47**	**47**	**30**	**13**	**288**
Lafayette	0	3	6	5	0	4	30	23	25	11	7	114
Saline	0	5	31	9	1	2	55	24	22	19	6	174
16	**50**	**87**	**219**	**78**	**47**	**12**	**386**	**129**	**39**	**12**	**0**	**1,059**
Jackson	50	87	219	78	47	12	386	129	39	12	0	1,059
17	**5**	**9**	**69**	**21**	**7**	**9**	**216**	**37**	**17**	**2**	**154**	**546**
Cass	5	5	57	17	2	9	147	34	15	1	137	429
Johnson	0	4	12	4	5	0	69	3	2	1	17	117

Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	FELONY A	FELONY B	FELONY C	FELONY D	FELONY U	INFRACTION	MISDEMEANOR A	MISDEMEANOR B	MISDEMEANOR C	MISDEMEANOR U	ORDINANCE	Total
18	**1**	**4**	**22**	**20**	**0**	**6**	**159**	**59**	**65**	**8**	**2**	**346**
Cooper	0	2	7	1	0	4	47	17	55	4	1	138
Pettis	1	2	15	19	0	2	112	42	10	4	1	208
19	**4**	**4**	**27**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**177**	**50**	**8**	**4**	**15**	**298**
Cole	4	4	27	9	0	0	177	50	8	4	15	298
20	**2**	**3**	**24**	**15**	**2**	**3**	**148**	**65**	**27**	**41**	**7**	**337**
Franklin	2	1	18	12	2	3	128	59	26	38	6	295
Gasconade	0	1	5	2	0	0	17	5	1	2	0	33
Osage	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	1	1	9
21	**82**	**106**	**366**	**153**	**46**	**14**	**1,756**	**518**	**19**	**140**	**14**	**3,214**
St. Louis Co	82	106	366	153	46	14	1,756	518	19	140	14	3,214
22	**37**	**47**	**156**	**50**	**27**	**5**	**335**	**67**	**95**	**4**	**16**	**839**
St. Louis City	37	47	156	50	27	5	335	67	95	4	16	839
23	**8**	**19**	**78**	**36**	**9**	**6**	**409**	**54**	**53**	**38**	**11**	**721**
Jefferson	8	19	78	36	9	6	409	54	53	38	11	721
24	**8**	**15**	**52**	**36**	**2**	**6**	**216**	**106**	**238**	**10**	**2**	**691**
Madison	1	3	3	7	0	0	39	5	5	5	0	68
St. Francois	5	6	39	22	2	1	132	64	170	3	2	446
Ste. Genevieve	1	4	6	0	0	4	20	22	5	0	0	62
Washington	1	2	4	7	0	1	25	15	58	2	0	115
25	**4**	**2**	**23**	**6**	**2**	**5**	**126**	**28**	**50**	**13**	**9**	**268**
Maries	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Phelps	0	0	6	2	0	0	38	8	12	7	0	73
Pulaski	4	1	15	2	2	5	61	17	38	3	9	157
Texas	0	1	2	2	0	0	26	3	0	3	0	37
26	**3**	**2**	**23**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**139**	**30**	**7**	**21**	**5**	**239**
Camden	3	1	9	5	1	0	43	8	1	5	0	76
Laclede	0	1	4	0	0	0	28	9	3	9	4	58
Miller	0	0	9	1	0	0	35	7	1	1	1	55
Moniteau	0	0	1	0	0	0	9	2	0	4	0	16
Morgan	0	0	0	2	0	0	24	4	2	2	0	34
27	**2**	**5**	**31**	**14**	**2**	**1**	**99**	**26**	**16**	**10**	**12**	**218**
Bates	0	1	3	6	1	0	17	7	1	2	2	40
Benton	0	4	19	4	1	0	64	9	4	0	6	111
Henry	1	0	4	4	0	1	15	8	10	6	4	53
St. Clair	1	0	5	0	0	0	3	2	1	2	0	14
28	**2**	**3**	**27**	**20**	**2**	**9**	**129**	**45**	**7**	**9**	**11**	**264**
Barton	0	1	7	2	0	1	27	2	3	2	7	52
Cedar	1	0	8	5	1	0	22	21	0	0	4	62
Dade	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	1	0	10
Vernon	1	2	12	13	1	8	72	21	4	6	0	140
29	**2**	**4**	**60**	**33**	**6**	**22**	**314**	**79**	**116**	**4**	**34**	**674**
Jasper	2	4	60	33	6	22	314	79	116	4	34	674
30	**1**	**8**	**40**	**34**	**4**	**2**	**217**	**53**	**49**	**15**	**13**	**436**
Dallas	0	0	1	5	0	0	12	5	6	0	2	31
Hickory	0	0	2	5	0	0	19	7	1	0	1	35
Polk	1	3	23	5	2	0	134	24	11	0	10	213
Webster	0	5	14	19	2	2	52	17	31	15	0	157
31	**4**	**13**	**108**	**21**	**12**	**12**	**672**	**114**	**129**	**45**	**3**	**1,133**
Greene	4	13	108	21	12	12	672	114	129	45	3	1,133
32	**1**	**7**	**36**	**23**	**4**	**7**	**100**	**43**	**75**	**14**	**7**	**317**
Bollinger	0	3	0	5	2	0	4	3	4	2	1	24
Cape Girardeau	1	4	31	14	2	6	85	36	51	6	1	237
Perry	0	0	5	4	0	1	11	4	20	6	5	56
33	**1**	**6**	**24**	**15**	**3**	**4**	**90**	**67**	**22**	**23**	**8**	**263**
Mississippi	0	2	9	2	0	0	21	14	4	5	4	61
Scott	1	4	15	13	3	4	69	53	18	18	4	202
34	**1**	**3**	**27**	**7**	**0**	**2**	**78**	**66**	**23**	**2**	**1**	**210**
New Madrid	0	1	7	0	0	0	25	16	1	1	0	51
Pemiscot	1	2	20	7	0	2	53	50	22	1	1	159

Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	FELONY A	FELONY B	FELONY C	FELONY D	FELONY U	INFRACTION	MISDEMEANOR A	MISDEMEANOR B	MISDEMEANOR C	MISDEMEANOR U	ORDINANCE	Total
35	**1**	**2**	**35**	**8**	**2**	**0**	**84**	**71**	**29**	**1**	**5**	**238**
Dunklin	1	1	24	4	1	0	26	9	5	0	4	75
Stoddard	0	1	11	4	1	0	58	62	24	1	1	163
36	**3**	**9**	**30**	**9**	**3**	**0**	**66**	**56**	**106**	**11**	**5**	**298**
Butler	1	7	25	9	2	0	51	42	88	10	1	236
Ripley	2	2	5	0	1	0	15	14	18	1	4	62
37	**0**	**3**	**8**	**18**	**1**	**0**	**59**	**13**	**11**	**10**	**11**	**134**
Carter	0	1	0	3	0	0	10	4	2	3	3	26
Howell	0	1	6	14	1	0	41	9	7	7	7	93
Oregon	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	8
Shannon	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	7
38	**4**	**9**	**41**	**22**	**10**	**8**	**268**	**42**	**25**	**48**	**0**	**477**
Christian	2	9	23	13	4	8	162	26	17	41	0	305
Taney	2	0	18	9	6	0	106	16	8	7	0	172
39	**1**	**2**	**11**	**46**	**0**	**1**	**94**	**75**	**9**	**21**	**0**	**260**
Barry	0	0	1	10	0	0	20	23	1	10	0	65
Lawrence	1	1	8	20	0	1	35	31	2	8	0	107
Stone	0	1	2	16	0	0	39	21	6	3	0	88
40	**2**	**9**	**68**	**22**	**2**	**16**	**178**	**55**	**4**	**22**	**1**	**379**
McDonald	0	5	19	6	0	6	30	11	3	5	0	85
Newton	2	4	49	16	2	10	148	44	1	17	1	294
41	**0**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**2**	**4**	**0**	**10**	**27**
Macon	0	0	1	3	0	0	6	1	2	0	8	21
Shelby	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	6
42	**0**	**4**	**16**	**11**	**1**	**2**	**56**	**5**	**8**	**9**	**15**	**127**
Crawford	0	3	6	4	1	1	42	3	4	8	6	78
Dent	0	1	2	6	0	1	9	0	3	1	7	30
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	5
Reynolds	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
Wayne	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	9
43	**1**	**5**	**23**	**20**	**2**	**5**	**54**	**35**	**10**	**8**	**0**	**163**
Caldwell	0	0	4	3	1	0	9	16	0	1	0	34
Clinton	1	1	10	9	0	3	24	13	7	1	0	69
Daviess	0	0	2	4	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	11
DeKalb	0	0	1	1	1	0	7	5	0	1	0	16
Livingston	0	4	6	3	0	2	12	0	2	4	0	33
44	**1**	**4**	**16**	**19**	**1**	**0**	**34**	**10**	**5**	**2**	**0**	**92**
Douglas	0	1	6	7	0	0	13	6	1	2	0	36
Ozark	0	0	4	3	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	13
Wright	1	3	6	9	1	0	16	4	3	0	0	43
45	**0**	**6**	**19**	**10**	**3**	**5**	**130**	**48**	**12**	**6**	**7**	**246**
Lincoln	0	6	17	7	2	2	114	40	8	5	5	206
Pike	0	0	2	3	1	3	16	8	4	1	2	40
Total	**264**	**491**	**2,041**	**941**	**246**	**220**	**8,626**	**2,653**	**1,756**	**776**	**521**	**18,535**

Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	JUVENILE ABUSE	JUVENILE CUSTODY	JUVENILE NEGLECT	Total
1	**23**	**0**	**64**	**87**
Clark	18	0	46	64
Schuyler	4	0	3	7
Scotland	1	0	15	16
2	**8**	**0**	**133**	**141**
Adair	4	0	88	92
Knox	0	0	7	7
Lewis	4	0	38	42
3	**53**	**6**	**103**	**162**
Grundy	35	0	27	62
Harrison	1	0	46	47
Mercer	5	1	14	20
Putnam	12	5	16	33
4	**16**	**0**	**256**	**272**
Atchison	0	0	15	15
Gentry	0	0	58	58
Holt	7	0	36	43
Nodaway	9	0	144	153
Worth	0	0	3	3
5	**22**	**4**	**87**	**113**
Andrew	0	1	1	2
Buchanan	22	3	86	111
6	**1**	**2**	**11**	**14**
Platte	1	2	11	14
7	**8**	**20**	**293**	**321**
Clay	8	20	293	321
8	**28**	**5**	**20**	**53**
Carroll	2	0	5	7
Ray	26	5	15	46
9	**2**	**1**	**31**	**34**
Chariton	0	0	13	13
Linn	1	1	15	17
Sullivan	1	0	3	4
10	**7**	**7**	**102**	**116**
Marion	3	1	78	82
Monroe	2	4	20	26
Ralls	2	2	4	8
11	**24**	**34**	**164**	**222**
St. Charles	24	34	164	222
12	**47**	**6**	**124**	**177**
Audrain	19	5	41	65
Montgomery	10	1	43	54
Warren	18	0	40	58
13	**2**	**22**	**369**	**393**
Boone	2	14	249	265
Callaway	0	8	120	128

Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	JUVENILE ABUSE	JUVENILE CUSTODY	JUVENILE NEGLECT	Total
14	**66**	**1**	**264**	**331**
Howard	15	0	49	64
Randolph	51	1	215	267
15	**0**	**35**	**15**	**50**
Lafayette	0	6	15	21
Saline	0	29	0	29
16	**359**	**568**	**999**	**1,926**
Jackson	359	568	999	1,926
17	**0**	**61**	**316**	**377**
Cass	0	51	203	254
Johnson	0	10	113	123
18	**7**	**5**	**42**	**54**
Cooper	5	0	16	21
Pettis	2	5	26	33
19	**284**	**0**	**150**	**434**
Cole	284	0	150	434
20	**1**	**0**	**217**	**218**
Franklin	1	0	196	197
Gasconade	0	0	8	8
Osage	0	0	13	13
21	**371**	**176**	**768**	**1,315**
St. Louis Co	371	176	768	1,315
22	**54**	**72**	**637**	**763**
St. Louis City	54	72	637	763
23	**135**	**121**	**499**	**755**
Jefferson	135	121	499	755
24	**25**	**0**	**98**	**123**
Madison	3	0	15	18
St. Francois	12	0	36	48
Ste. Genevieve	5	0	14	19
Washington	5	0	33	38
25	**373**	**75**	**858**	**1,306**
Maries	14	2	50	66
Phelps	89	24	310	423
Pulaski	162	25	287	474
Texas	108	24	211	343
26	**65**	**125**	**252**	**442**
Camden	21	26	74	121
Laclede	35	69	126	230
Miller	6	25	19	50
Moniteau	0	4	11	15
Morgan	3	1	22	26
27	**44**	**21**	**299**	**364**
Bates	10	2	99	111
Benton	10	9	76	95
Henry	17	9	100	126
St. Clair	7	1	24	32

Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	JUVENILE ABUSE	JUVENILE CUSTODY	JUVENILE NEGLECT	Total
28	**61**	**13**	**249**	**323**
Barton	14	1	72	87
Cedar	21	0	64	85
Dade	11	0	22	33
Vernon	15	12	91	118
29	**1**	**0**	**379**	**380**
Jasper	1	0	379	380
30	**59**	**22**	**315**	**396**
Dallas	18	0	70	88
Hickory	0	4	20	24
Polk	20	17	132	169
Webster	21	1	93	115
31	**38**	**86**	**221**	**345**
Greene	38	86	221	345
32	**69**	**3**	**448**	**520**
Bollinger	17	0	117	134
Cape Girardeau	48	1	191	240
Perry	4	2	140	146
33	**18**	**6**	**198**	**222**
Mississippi	0	1	46	47
Scott	18	5	152	175
34	**82**	**0**	**232**	**314**
New Madrid	39	0	76	115
Pemiscot	43	0	156	199
35	**109**	**172**	**536**	**817**
Dunklin	17	5	328	350
Stoddard	92	167	208	467
36	**9**	**1**	**344**	**354**
Butler	1	0	244	245
Ripley	8	1	100	109
37	**12**	**63**	**140**	**215**
Carter	0	3	0	3
Howell	4	49	113	166
Oregon	5	10	9	24
Shannon	3	1	18	22
38	**15**	**43**	**235**	**293**
Christian	9	20	117	146
Taney	6	23	118	147
39	**72**	**157**	**444**	**673**
Barry	32	46	200	278
Lawrence	24	72	137	233
Stone	16	39	107	162
40	**17**	**38**	**146**	**201**
McDonald	3	3	44	50
Newton	14	35	102	151

Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	JUVENILE ABUSE	JUVENILE CUSTODY	JUVENILE NEGLECT	Total
41	**18**	**3**	**90**	**111**
Macon	12	1	45	58
Shelby	6	2	45	53
42	**64**	**1**	**442**	**507**
Crawford	26	1	76	103
Dent	2	0	39	41
Iron	10	0	65	75
Reynolds	4	0	84	88
Wayne	22	0	178	200
43	**6**	**13**	**59**	**78**
Caldwell	0	2	1	3
Clinton	0	2	33	35
Daviess	3	5	7	15
DeKalb	1	0	11	12
Livingston	2	4	7	13
44	**0**	**0**	**74**	**74**
Douglas	0	0	16	16
Ozark	0	0	7	7
Wright	0	0	51	51
45	**21**	**4**	**293**	**318**
Lincoln	13	4	259	276
Pike	8	0	34	42
Total	**2,696**	**1,992**	**12,016**	**16,704**

Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	BEHAVIOR INJURIOUS TO SELF/OTHERS	BEYOND PARENTAL CONTROL	HABITUALLY ABSENT FROM HOME	MUNI - ANIMAL LAW	MUNI - CURFEW	MUNI - OTHER VIOLATION	MUNI - PEACE DISTURBANCE	MUNI - POSSESSION/DISCHARGE ARMS	MUNI - POSSESSION/USE OF A TOBACCO PRODUCT	MUNI - SOLICIT WITHOUT PERMISSION	STATUS OFFENSE - OTHER	TRUANCY	Total
1	**56**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**7**	**69**
Clark	51	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	59
Schuyler	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Scotland	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	9
2	**97**	**56**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**	**67**	**233**
Adair	28	22	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	93
Knox	33	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	54
Lewis	36	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	24	86
3	**21**	**18**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**33**	**82**
Grundy	11	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	43
Harrison	7	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	17
Mercer	1	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13
Putnam	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	9
4	**42**	**75**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**29**	**152**
Atchison	4	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15
Gentry	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11
Holt	5	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	31
Nodaway	26	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	17	81
Worth	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
5	**52**	**57**	**177**	**0**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**4**	**139**	**454**
Andrew	3	6	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	31
Buchanan	49	51	174	0	11	0	0	0	10	0	3	125	423
6	**29**	**12**	**13**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**17**	**72**
Platte	29	12	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	72
7	**6**	**30**	**27**	**0**	**2**	**15**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**36**	**122**
Clay	6	30	27	0	2	15	0	0	0	0	6	36	122
8	**55**	**33**	**26**	**0**	**25**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**41**	**185**
Carroll	18	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	32
Ray	37	31	26	0	25	0	0	0	3	0	1	30	153
9	**12**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**86**	**117**
Chariton	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	27	36
Linn	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	33	47
Sullivan	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	34
10	**125**	**4**	**3**	**0**	**13**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**46**	**191**
Marion	88	3	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	142
Monroe	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19
Ralls	19	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30
11	**53**	**2**	**168**	**0**	**62**	**26**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**61**	**372**
St. Charles	53	2	168	0	62	26	0	0	0	0	0	61	372
12	**152**	**36**	**43**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**110**	**28**	**379**
Audrain	53	10	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	47	13	139
Montgomery	17	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	36	1	61
Warren	82	24	24	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	27	14	179
13	**372**	**231**	**32**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**13**	**96**	**750**
Boone	332	124	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	46	543
Callaway	40	107	4	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	50	207
14	**169**	**31**	**13**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**116**	**334**
Howard	42	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	52
Randolph	127	28	11	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	111	282
15	**36**	**51**	**57**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**4**	**159**
Lafayette	12	14	25	0	1	2	5	0	0	0	1	2	62
Saline	24	37	32	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	97

Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	BEHAVIOR INJURIOUS TO SELF/OTHERS	BEYOND PARENTAL CONTROL	HABITUALLY ABSENT FROM HOME	MUNI - ANIMAL LAW	MUNI - CURFEW	MUNI - OTHER VIOLATION	MUNI - PEACE DISTURBANCE	MUNI - POSSESSION/DISCHARGE ARMS	MUNI - POSSESSION/USE OF A TOBACCO PRODUCT	MUNI - SOLICIT WITHOUT PERMISSION	STATUS OFFENSE - OTHER	TRUANCY	Total
16	**9**	**4**	**33**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**5**	**62**
Jackson	9	4	33	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5	62
17	**213**	**95**	**92**	**0**	**6**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**21**	**112**	**543**
Cass	129	51	70	0	5	0	0	1	1	0	21	81	359
Johnson	84	44	22	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	31	184
18	**187**	**41**	**49**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**112**	**28**	**418**
Cooper	96	22	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	7	241
Pettis	91	19	41	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	21	177
19	**156**	**46**	**22**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**127**	**360**
Cole	156	46	22	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	127	360
20	**46**	**21**	**36**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**19**	**167**	**298**
Franklin	33	17	31	0	7	0	0	0	2	0	16	151	257
Gasconade	5	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	26
Osage	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	15
21	**106**	**151**	**811**	**0**	**440**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**39**	**299**	**1,857**
St. Louis Co	106	151	811	0	440	2	0	0	8	1	39	299	1,857
22	**3**	**45**	**56**	**0**	**23**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**174**	**307**
St. Louis City	3	45	56	0	23	3	1	0	2	0	0	174	307
23	**139**	**48**	**92**	**0**	**11**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**181**	**475**
Jefferson	139	48	92	0	11	0	0	0	4	0	0	181	475
24	**101**	**74**	**19**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**120**	**72**	**392**
Madison	21	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	43
St. Francois	28	38	10	0	1	1	0	0	4	0	11	10	103
Ste. Genevieve	9	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	40
Washington	43	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	35	206
25	**394**	**45**	**34**	**0**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**171**	**651**
Maries	13	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	34
Phelps	130	28	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	231
Pulaski	142	5	18	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	221
Texas	109	5	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	165
26	**90**	**35**	**33**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**27**	**72**	**257**
Camden	39	21	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	90
Laclede	19	4	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	8	54
Miller	24	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	30	79
Moniteau	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	15
Morgan	7	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	19
27	**109**	**26**	**30**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**47**	**218**
Bates	57	4	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	14	86
Benton	6	7	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	16	46
Henry	36	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	58
St. Clair	10	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	28
28	**45**	**110**	**31**	**0**	**8**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**153**	**353**
Barton	12	6	1	0	4	2	0	1	1	0	0	80	107
Cedar	5	9	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	31
Dade	6	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	14
Vernon	22	93	20	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	61	201
29	**185**	**199**	**177**	**0**	**20**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**14**	**131**	**726**
Jasper	185	199	177	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	14	131	726
30	**38**	**30**	**29**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**8**	**28**	**137**
Dallas	10	9	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	27
Hickory	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11
Polk	12	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	12	50
Webster	14	6	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	9	49

Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	BEHAVIOR INJURIOUS TO SELF/OTHERS	BEYOND PARENTAL CONTROL	HABITUALLY ABSENT FROM HOME	MUNI - ANIMAL LAW	MUNI - CURFEW	MUNI - OTHER VIOLATION	MUNI - PEACE DISTURBANCE	MUNI - POSSESSION/DISCHARGE ARMS	MUNI - POSSESSION/USE OF A TOBACCO PRODUCT	MUNI - SOLICIT WITHOUT PERMISSION	STATUS OFFENSE - OTHER	TRUANCY	Total
31	**292**	**20**	**106**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**422**
Greene	292	20	106	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	422
32	**322**	**39**	**71**	**0**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**14**	**478**	**937**
Bollinger	32	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	23	66
Cape Girardeau	255	14	56	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	435	784
Perry	35	20	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	87
33	**24**	**46**	**12**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**108**	**205**
Mississippi	5	8	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	23
Scott	19	38	11	0	8	0	2	0	0	0	3	101	182
34	**116**	**93**	**41**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**10**	**262**
New Madrid	59	20	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	99
Pemiscot	57	73	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	163
35	**30**	**37**	**8**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**142**	**244**
Dunklin	8	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	103	134
Stoddard	22	22	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	39	110
36	**3**	**67**	**71**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**51**	**199**
Butler	2	50	60	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	34	152
Ripley	1	17	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	17	47
37	**62**	**70**	**31**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**81**	**112**	**356**
Carter	13	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	10	38
Howell	39	56	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	100	293
Oregon	6	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Shannon	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10
38	**135**	**41**	**79**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**18**	**275**
Christian	80	34	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	173
Taney	55	7	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	102
39	**71**	**35**	**36**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**144**
Barry	18	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	41
Lawrence	35	23	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
Stone	18	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
40	**101**	**74**	**31**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**12**	**11**	**236**
McDonald	16	14	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	34
Newton	85	60	30	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	9	202
41	**33**	**25**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**18**	**79**
Macon	20	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	50
Shelby	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	29
42	**63**	**58**	**5**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**20**	**41**	**193**
Crawford	25	23	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	16	74
Dent	12	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	31
Iron	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	18	48
Reynolds	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11
Wayne	8	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	29
43	**87**	**41**	**18**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**58**	**207**
Caldwell	10	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20
Clinton	41	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	32	94
Daviess	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
DeKalb	15	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	36
Livingston	17	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	43
44	**5**	**20**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**27**
Douglas	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Ozark	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Wright	2	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17

Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	BEHAVIOR INJURIOUS TO SELF/OTHERS	BEYOND PARENTAL CONTROL	HABITUALLY ABSENT FROM HOME	MUNI - ANIMAL LAW	MUNI - CURFEW	MUNI - OTHER VIOLATION	MUNI - PEACE DISTURBANCE	MUNI - POSSESSION/DISCHARGE ARMS	MUNI - POSSESSION/USE OF A TOBACCO PRODUCT	MUNI - SOLICIT WITHOUT PERMISSION	STATUS OFFENSE - OTHER	TRUANCY	Total
45	**66**	**9**	**27**	**0**	**4**	**10**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**114**	**233**
Lincoln	54	6	27	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	3	112	211
Pike	12	3	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	22
Total	**4,508**	**2,296**	**2,661**	**1**	**706**	**64**	**9**	**4**	**53**	**1**	**704**	**3,737**	**14,744**

Appendix J: Administrative Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit / County	JUVENILE FORMAL SUPERVISION / TECHNICAL VIOLATION	JUVENILE INFORMAL SUPERVISION / TECHNICAL VIOLATION	PROB / PAROLE VIOLATION	PROBATION VIOLATION	VIOLATION OF VALID COURT ORDER	Total
2	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Adair	1	0	0	0	0	1
5	**1,074**	**72**	**6**	**282**	**12**	**1,446**
Andrew	76	0	0	10	1	87
Buchanan	998	72	6	272	11	1,359
6	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**	**6**
Platte	0	0	0	0	6	6
7	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**5**
Clay	3	0	0	0	2	5
10	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**
Marion	0	0	0	0	3	3
11	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**5**
St. Charles	4	0	0	0	1	5
12	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**
Warren	0	0	0	0	1	1
13	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**
Boone	0	1	0	0	1	2
Callaway	0	0	0	0	1	1
14	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**4**
Randolph	0	0	0	0	4	4
15	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**3**
Lafayette	0	0	1	0	2	3
16	**7**	**248**	**0**	**0**	**34**	**289**
Jackson	7	248	0	0	34	289
17	**20**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**24**
Cass	16	2	0	0	1	19
Johnson	4	1	0	0	0	5
18	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Pettis	0	2	0	0	0	2
19	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**	**8**
Cole	1	0	0	0	7	8
21	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**183**	**183**
St. Louis Co	0	0	0	0	183	183
22	**37**	**17**	**13**	**1**	**9**	**77**
St. Louis City	37	17	13	1	9	77
23	**0**	**0**	**89**	**4**	**26**	**119**
Jefferson	0	0	89	4	26	119
24	**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**21**	**25**
Madison	3	0	0	0	1	4
St. Francois	0	0	0	0	2	2
Ste. Genevieve	0	1	0	0	18	19
25	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Pulaski	0	0	1	0	0	1
27	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**3**
Benton	0	1	0	0	0	1
Henry	0	0	0	0	1	1
St. Clair	1	0	0	0	0	1
28	**14**	**12**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**27**
Barton	2	1	0	0	0	3
Cedar	2	0	0	0	0	2
Dade	0	1	0	0	0	1
Vernon	10	10	0	0	1	21
29	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**6**
Jasper	3	0	0	0	3	6
33	**72**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**76**
Mississippi	22	0	0	0	0	22
Scott	50	4	0	0	0	54
34	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Pemiscot	0	1	0	0	0	1
35	**3**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**5**
Dunklin	3	1	0	0	0	4
Stoddard	0	0	1	0	0	1
38	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**3**
Christian	1	1	0	0	1	3
39	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**6**
Barry	0	0	0	2	2	4
Lawrence	0	0	0	1	1	2
40	**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**3**
McDonald	0	0	0	1	0	1
Newton	0	0	2	0	0	2
41	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**
Macon	0	1	0	0	1	2
42	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Wayne	0	2	0	0	0	2
43	**0**	**0**	**5**	**9**	**0**	**14**
Clinton	0	0	1	9	0	10
DeKalb	0	0	1	0	0	1
Livingston	0	0	3	0	0	3
44	**0**	**0**	**3**	**5**	**0**	**8**
Douglas	0	0	0	3	0	3
Ozark	0	0	2	1	0	3
Wright	0	0	1	1	0	2
45	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**4**
Lincoln	1	0	0	0	3	4
Total	**1,245**	**367**	**121**	**305**	**327**	**2,365**

Appendix K: Out of Home Placements by Circuit

	CD Count	%	Court Residential Care Count	%	DMH Count	%	DYS Count	%	Other Count	%	Private Agency Count	%	Public Agency Count	%	Relative Count	%	Total Count	Total %
1	19	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	19	100.0 %
2	47	85.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	5	9.1 %	0	0.0 %	2	3.6 %	1	1.8 %	0	0.0 %	55	100.0 %
3	35	94.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	2.7 %	37	100.0 %
4	32	84.2 %	1	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	3	7.9 %	0	0.0 %	1	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	1	2.6 %	38	100.0 %
5	41	37.3 %	43	39.1 %	0	0.0 %	14	12.7 %	9	8.2 %	1	0.9 %	1	0.9 %	1	0.9 %	110	100.0 %
6	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	10	76.9 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	13	100.0 %
7	85	65.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	32	24.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	11	8.5 %	2	1.5 %	130	100.0 %
8	9	90.0 %	1	10.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	10	100.0 %
9	6	85.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	14.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	7	100.0 %
10	51	78.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	8	12.3 %	0	0.0 %	2	3.1 %	0	0.0 %	4	6.2 %	65	100.0 %
11	157	69.2 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	49	21.6 %	1	0.4 %	6	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	14	6.2 %	227	100.0 %
12	40	50.6 %	1	1.3 %	0	0.0 %	5	6.3 %	11	13.9 %	8	10.1 %	4	5.1 %	10	12.7 %	79	100.0 %
13	132	96.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	4	2.9 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.7 %	137	100.0 %
14	37	50.0 %	3	4.1 %	2	2.7 %	2	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	2	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	28	37.8 %	74	100.0 %
15	29	61.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	17	36.2 %	0	0.0 %	1	2.1 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	47	100.0 %
16	516	82.2 %	28	4.5 %	0	0.0 %	60	9.6 %	4	0.6 %	8	1.3 %	6	1.0 %	6	1.0 %	628	100.0 %
17	72	71.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	9	8.9 %	6	5.9 %	11	10.9 %	2	2.0 %	1	1.0 %	101	100.0 %
18	19	55.9 %	1	2.9 %	1	2.9 %	7	20.6 %	1	2.9 %	4	11.8 %	1	2.9 %	0	0.0 %	34	100.0 %
19	20	66.7 %	7	23.3 %	0	0.0 %	1	3.3 %	0	0.0 %	1	3.3 %	1	3.3 %	0	0.0 %	30	100.0 %
20	24	75.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	4	12.5 %	0	0.0 %	2	6.3 %	2	6.3 %	0	0.0 %	32	100.0 %
21	315	58.2 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.2 %	71	13.1 %	19	3.5 %	0	0.0 %	54	10.0 %	81	15.0 %	541	100.0 %
22	263	71.5 %	10	2.7 %	1	0.3 %	75	20.4 %	9	2.4 %	5	1.4 %	1	0.3 %	4	1.1 %	368	100.0 %
23	185	40.2 %	1	0.2 %	0	0.0 %	52	11.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	8	1.7 %	214	46.5 %	460	100.0 %
24	94	61.4 %	1	0.7 %	6	3.9 %	29	19.0 %	7	4.6 %	1	0.7 %	4	2.6 %	11	7.2 %	153	100.0 %
25	203	89.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	6	2.6 %	3	1.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	16	7.0 %	228	100.0 %
26	79	91.9 %	1	1.2 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	4	4.7 %	1	1.2 %	1	1.2 %	86	100.0 %
27	57	56.4 %	6	5.9 %	0	0.0 %	11	10.9 %	1	1.0 %	5	5.0 %	0	0.0 %	21	20.8 %	101	100.0 %
28	48	51.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	41	44.1 %	0	0.0 %	3	3.2 %	1	1.1 %	0	0.0 %	93	100.0 %
29	187	66.8 %	5	1.8 %	0	0.0 %	19	6.8 %	5	1.8 %	5	1.8 %	1	0.4 %	58	20.7 %	280	100.0 %
30	66	35.7 %	0	0.0 %	13	7.0 %	15	8.1 %	5	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	3	1.6 %	83	44.9 %	185	100.0 %
31	273	86.7 %	1	0.3 %	0	0.0 %	22	7.0 %	1	0.3 %	1	0.3 %	0	0.0 %	17	5.4 %	315	100.0 %
32	140	86.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	17	10.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.6 %	4	2.5 %	162	100.0 %
33	75	44.4 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.6 %	24	14.2 %	4	2.4 %	8	4.7 %	16	9.5 %	41	24.3 %	169	100.0 %
34	55	74.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.4 %	2	2.7 %	4	5.4 %	4	5.4 %	8	10.8 %	74	100.0 %
35	111	57.2 %	4	2.1 %	1	0.5 %	5	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	2	1.0 %	0	0.0 %	71	36.6 %	194	100.0 %
36	37	59.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	11	17.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	14	22.6 %	62	100.0 %
37	21	53.8 %	1	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	9	23.1 %	0	0.0 %	8	20.5 %	0	0.0 %	39	100.0 %
38	190	77.2 %	2	0.8 %	0	0.0 %	41	16.7 %	2	0.8 %	2	0.8 %	0	0.0 %	9	3.7 %	246	100.0 %
39	207	87.7 %	1	0.4 %	12	5.1 %	9	3.8 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.4 %	0	0.0 %	6	2.5 %	236	100.0 %
40	106	63.9 %	7	4.2 %	0	0.0 %	6	3.6 %	0	0.0 %	7	4.2 %	0	0.0 %	40	24.1 %	166	100.0 %
41	23	79.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	6.9 %	1	3.4 %	3	10.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	29	100.0 %
42	87	79.1 %	5	4.5 %	1	0.9 %	5	4.5 %	1	0.9 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.9 %	10	9.1 %	110	100.0 %
43	79	66.9 %	1	0.8 %	2	1.7 %	32	27.1 %	4	3.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	118	100.0 %
44	78	97.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.3 %	1	1.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	80	100.0 %
45	66	81.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	9	11.1 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.2 %	0	0.0 %	5	6.2 %	81	100.0 %
Total	**4,417**	**68.5 %**	**131**	**2.0 %**	**41**	**0.6 %**	**729**	**11.3 %**	**113**	**1.8 %**	**103**	**1.6 %**	**132**	**2.0 %**	**783**	**12.1 %**	**6,449**	**100.0 %**

Appendix L: In Home Services by Circuit

	CD		DMH		DYS		Other		Private Agency		Public Agency		Supervision by Court		Total Count	Total %
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
1	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	4	66.7 %	6	100.0 %
2	8	6.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	23	19.3 %	0	0.0 %	88	73.9 %	119	100.0 %
3	22	23.2 %	4	4.2 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	3	3.2 %	0	0.0 %	66	69.5 %	95	100.0 %
4	24	11.7 %	16	7.8 %	0	0.0 %	8	3.9 %	20	9.8 %	9	4.4 %	128	62.4 %	205	100.0 %
5	3	0.2 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.1 %	13	0.9 %	11	0.8 %	1,361	98.0 %	1,389	100.0 %
6	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	26	18.7 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.7 %	112	80.6 %	139	100.0 %
7	6	4.8 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	118	95.2 %	124	100.0 %
8	1	2.4 %	1	2.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	11	26.8 %	28	68.3 %	41	100.0 %
9	4	36.4 %	1	9.1 %	0	0.0 %	2	18.2 %	1	9.1 %	0	0.0 %	3	27.3 %	11	100.0 %
10	13	7.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	4	2.3 %	158	90.3 %	175	100.0 %
11	3	0.3 %	0	0.0 %	2	0.2 %	4	0.4 %	394	40.5 %	36	3.7 %	534	54.9 %	973	100.0 %
12	8	3.8 %	11	5.3 %	0	0.0 %	5	2.4 %	5	2.4 %	18	8.7 %	161	77.4 %	208	100.0 %
13	2	4.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	48	96.0 %	50	100.0 %
14	10	22.2 %	16	35.6 %	0	0.0 %	13	28.9 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	6	13.3 %	45	100.0 %
15	3	4.2 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	4	5.6 %	4	5.6 %	60	84.5 %	71	100.0 %
16	86	41.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	1.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	119	57.5 %	207	100.0 %
17	9	1.6 %	2	0.4 %	0	0.0 %	3	0.5 %	14	2.5 %	128	22.6 %	410	72.4 %	566	100.0 %
18	1	0.4 %	47	19.2 %	0	0.0 %	8	3.3 %	30	12.2 %	1	0.4 %	158	64.5 %	245	100.0 %
19	19	13.3 %	1	0.7 %	2	1.4 %	1	0.7 %	17	11.9 %	1	0.7 %	102	71.3 %	143	100.0 %
20	0	0.0 %	2	2.3 %	0	0.0 %	2	2.3 %	3	3.5 %	6	7.0 %	73	84.9 %	86	100.0 %
21	78	31.7 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.4 %	6	2.4 %	5	2.0 %	0	0.0 %	156	63.4 %	246	100.0 %
22	3	0.7 %	1	0.2 %	0	0.0 %	5	1.2 %	15	3.7 %	5	1.2 %	381	92.9 %	410	100.0 %
23	28	8.1 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	318	91.9 %	346	100.0 %
24	2	1.6 %	19	15.0 %	1	0.8 %	9	7.1 %	1	0.8 %	5	3.9 %	90	70.9 %	127	100.0 %
25	2	4.1 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	47	95.9 %	49	100.0 %
26	19	36.5 %	7	13.5 %	1	1.9 %	0	0.0 %	7	13.5 %	0	0.0 %	18	34.6 %	52	100.0 %
27	49	19.4 %	7	2.8 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	0.8 %	0	0.0 %	195	77.1 %	253	100.0 %
28	9	5.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.6 %	1	0.6 %	1	0.6 %	147	92.5 %	159	100.0 %
29	30	4.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	214	32.2 %	8	1.2 %	24	3.6 %	389	58.5 %	665	100.0 %
30	35	29.7 %	9	7.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	1.7 %	0	0.0 %	72	61.0 %	118	100.0 %
31	1	0.3 %	39	12.7 %	0	0.0 %	17	5.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	249	81.4 %	306	100.0 %
32	2	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.4 %	71	95.9 %	74	100.0 %
33	18	8.7 %	1	0.5 %	0	0.0 %	5	2.4 %	16	7.7 %	12	5.8 %	156	75.0 %	208	100.0 %
34	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	79	100.0 %	79	100.0 %
35	7	8.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.2 %	1	1.2 %	1	1.2 %	73	88.0 %	83	100.0 %
36	12	11.1 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	53	49.1 %	9	8.3 %	0	0.0 %	34	31.5 %	108	100.0 %
37	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.7 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.7 %	56	96.6 %	58	100.0 %
38	1	0.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	212	99.5 %	213	100.0 %
39	3	5.4 %	4	7.1 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	3	5.4 %	3	5.4 %	43	76.8 %	56	100.0 %
40	2	2.0 %	1	1.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	96	96.0 %	100	100.0 %
41	41	47.7 %	4	4.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	13	15.1 %	0	0.0 %	28	32.6 %	86	100.0 %
42	5	6.0 %	1	1.2 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.2 %	5	6.0 %	72	85.7 %	84	100.0 %
43	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	143	100.0 %	143	100.0 %
44	3	25.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	8.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	8	66.7 %	12	100.0 %
45	7	4.2 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	159	95.8 %	166	100.0 %
Total	**579**	**6.4 %**	**194**	**2.1 %**	**7**	**0.1 %**	**389**	**4.3 %**	**613**	**6.7 %**	**288**	**3.2 %**	**7,029**	**77.3 %**	**9,099**	**100.0 %**

Appendix M: Commitments to DYS by Circuit, Race, and Sex

Circuit	White Female	White Male	Black Female	Black Male	Hispanic Female	Hispanic Male	Asian / Pacific Islander Female	Asian / Pacific Islander Male	Native American Male	Total
2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
5	5	12	1	5	0	2	1	1	0	27
6	1	4	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	8
7	12	22	1	5	1	2	0	0	0	43
8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
9	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
10	1	7	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	13
11	2	9	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	16
13	1	7	0	11	0	2	0	0	0	21
14	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
15	2	6	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	13
16	0	7	4	45	0	1	0	0	0	57
17	0	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	8
18	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
19	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
20	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
21	0	9	13	39	0	0	0	0	0	61
22	0	0	2	38	0	1	0	0	0	41
23	4	15	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	21
24	7	25	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	36
25	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4
26	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
27	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
28	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
29	3	11	0	3	1	3	0	0	0	21
30	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
31	2	20	1	7	0	1	0	0	0	31
32	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
33	3	6	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	18
34	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
35	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
36	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
37	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
38	5	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
39	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
40	3	12	0	0	0	5	1	2	1	24
42	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
43	4	12	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	18
44	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	**69**	**278**	**30**	**191**	**2**	**24**	**3**	**5**	**3**	**605**

Appendix N: Certification to Adult Court by Circuit, Race, and Sex

Circuit	White Female	Male	Black Male	Hispanic Male	Total
5	1	1	2	0	4
7	0	0	1	0	1
9	0	1	0	0	1
11	0	1	0	0	1
15	0	2	3	0	5
16	0	1	12	1	14
18	0	0	1	0	1
21	0	1	11	0	12
22	0	0	6	0	6
24	0	1	0	0	1
29	0	1	0	0	1
32	0	0	2	0	2
35	0	2	0	0	2
38	0	1	0	0	1
39	0	1	0	0	1
Total	**1**	**13**	**38**	**1**	**53**

Appendix O: 2016 Relative Rate Indices by County - All Offenses

	Referral			Diversion	Secure Detention		Petition			Adjudication	Supervision	Secure Confinement
	Black	Hispanic	Asian / Pacific Islander	Black	Black	Hispanic	Black	Hispanic	Asian / Pacific Islander	Black	Black	Black
Adair	2.6											
Audrain	5.5						2.3					
Barry		0.3										
Boone	4.8	0.4	0.3	0.8	2.2		1.4					
Buchanan	2.3	0.8	0.5			2.0		1.6				
Butler	3.3											
Callaway	3.0											
Cape Girardeau	8.5	2.4										
Cass	2.1	0.6			2.8		1.5				0.6	
Clay	2.5	0.4	0.4									
Cole	6.1	2.5			2.5	3.4	1.5	1.9				
Cooper	5.1											
Crawford	2.7											
Franklin	1.8	0.4										
Greene	5.0		0.4			2.6						
Howard	2.3											
Howell	3.1											
Jackson	4.0	0.3	0.4	0.8	4.2	5.3	1.6				1.3	
Jasper	2.3	0.8	0.3		3.3		1.6					
Jefferson	2.7	0.3										
Johnson	2.5											
Lafayette	3.6											
Lawrence		0.3										
Lewis	2.8											
Lincoln	3.3											
Macon	2.3											
Marion	3.5											
McDonald	2.2	0.4										
Miller	4.1											
Mississippi		2.8										
New Madrid	2.1											
Newton								3.3				
Pemiscot	3.4											
Pettis	3.0											
Phelps	1.6											
Pike	4.6											
Platte	3.2											
Pulaski	1.4	0.3	0.5									
Randolph	3.3											
Ray	3.8											
Saline	5.3	0.4			9.0		2.2					
Scott	6.8											
St. Charles	4.1		0.2		1.6		1.7			0.6		1.6
St. Francois	3.2											
St. Louis City	5.0			0.8	3.4							
St. Louis Co	3.4	0.5	0.2	0.9	3.4		2.5			0.9		1.5
Taney	2.3											
Warren	1.9											
Washington	2.7											

Appendix P

Missouri's 45 Judicial Circuits



Office of State Courts Administrator, P.O. Box 104480, 2112 Industrial Drive, Jefferson City, MO 65110