Missouri Juvenile & Family Division Annual Report

(CY 2009)

Submitted by: Supreme Court of Missouri Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)



Prepared by: OSCA Division of Court Programs, Research, & Education

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pag	ge
Introduction.		. 4
• • • • •		_
	ssouri's Juvenile Population	
	Juvenile Population	
	Projected Juvenile Population	
	Juvenile Population by Age and Gender	
	Juvenile Population by Gender	
Figure 1-5:	Juvenile Population by Race	. 6
Section 2: Ju	venile and Family Court Total Referrals	.7
Table 2-1:	Source of Referrals	. 8
Figure 2-1:	Referrals by Case Type	. 8
	Referrals by Gender	
	Referrals by Race	
	Referrals by Age	
	Juvenile Referral Trend	
	Juvenile Referrals by Gender	
	Juvenile Referrals by Race	
	Juvenile Referrals by Action Taken	
	Juvenile Referrals by Disposition	
Section 3: Iu	venile and Family Court Law Violation Referrals	17
	Source of Law Violation Referrals	
	Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level	
	Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Gender	
	Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Race	
	Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Race	
Table 3-7.	Top Twenty-Five Law Violation Referrals	16
Table 3-2.	Law Violation Referrals by Allegation and Age	17
Figure 3-5:	Juvenile Referrals by Action Taken	12
	Juvenile Referrals by Disposition	
Contine A. In	wanila and Family Count Status Datamala	40
	venile and Family Court Status Referrals	
	Status Violation Referrals by Charge Level	
	Status Violation Referrals by Allegation and Gender	
	Status Violation Referrals by Allegation and Race	
	Status Violation Referrals by Allegation and Age	
	Status Violation Referrals by Action Taken Status Violation Referrals by Disposition	
-		
	venile and Family Court CA/N Referrals	
Table 5-1:	Source of CA/N Referrals	22
	CA/N Referrals by Allegation Type	
	CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Gender	
Figure 5-3:	CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Race	23
Figure 5-4:	CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Age	23
	CA/N Referrals by Action Taken	
Figure 5-6:	CA/N Referrals by Disposition	24

	essment & Classification	
	Risk by Level	
	Risk by Gender	
	Risk by Race	
	Risk Factors	
Table 6-2: N	leeds Factors	-29
Section 7: San	ctions & Services	30
	Sanctions for Informal Status/Delinquency Cases	
	Services for Informal Status/Delinquency Cases	
	Sanctions for Formal Status/Delinquency Cases	
	Services for Formal Status/Delinquency Cases	
	Placements for Formal Status/Delinquency Cases	
	Services for Informal CA/N cases	
	Services for Formal CA/N Cases	
Figure 7-8: F	Placements for Formal CA/N Cases	. 33
Section 8. Det	ention Services	3/
Table 8-1	Youth in Detention Last Day of CY 2009	.35
Figure 8-1:	Total Admissions by Gender	. 35
	Total Admissions by Race	
	Total Admissions by Age	
Figure 8-4:	Average Daily Population by Gender	. 36
	Average Daily Population by Race	
	Average Daily Population by Gender & Race	
	Average Daily Population by Age	
	Average Length of Stay by Gender	
	Average Length of Stay by Race	
		~ ~
	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	
Figure 8-11:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age	. 39
Figure 8-11: A	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age sion of Youth Services (DYS) Commitments	. 39 . 40 . 40
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 4 Figure 9-2: 4	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Sion of Youth Services (DYS) Commitments Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 5 Figure 9-2: 5 Figure 9-3: 5 Section 10: Ce	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Storn of Youth Services (DYS) Commitments Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 4 Figure 9-2: 4 Figure 9-3: 4 Section 10: Ce Figure 10-1:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Age	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 5 Figure 9-2: 5 Figure 9-3: 5 Section 10: Ce Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Certifications by Gender Certifications by Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 5 Figure 9-2: 5 Figure 9-3: 5 Section 10: Ce Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Age	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jun	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 5 Figure 9-2: 5 Figure 9-3: 5 Section 10: Ce Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-1:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Sion of Youth Services (DYS) Commitments Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Certifications Certifications by Gender Certifications by Race Certifications by Race Certifications by Age Missouri Offender Recidivism	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 42 . 43
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 5 Figure 9-2: 5 Figure 9-3: 5 Section 10: Ce Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-1: Figure 11-2:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Sion of Youth Services (DYS) Commitments Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Certifications Certifications by Gender Certifications by Race Certifications by Race Certifications by Age Missouri Offender Recidivism Recidivism Law Referral	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 42 . 43 . 43
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-1: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Sion of Youth Services (DYS) Commitments Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Certifications Certifications by Gender Certifications by Race Certifications by Race Certifications by Age Missouri Offender Recidivism Recidivism Law Referral Recidivism Class A Misdemeanor or Felony	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 43 . 43 . 43
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-1: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race Average Length of Stay by Age Sion of Youth Services (DYS) Commitments Statewide DYS Commitments by Gender Statewide DYS Commitments by Race Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Certifications Certifications by Gender Certifications by Race Certifications by Age Venile Offender Recidivism Missouri Offender Population Recidivism Law Referral Recidivism Class A Misdemeanor or Felony Recidivism Felony	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-1: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: 5 Figure 9-2: 5 Figure 9-3: 5 Section 10: Ce Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-2: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-6:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44 . 44
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-6: Figure 11-7: Figur	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44 . 44 . 44 . 45
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-3: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-6: Figure 11-7: Figure 11-8:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44 . 44 . 44
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-6: Figure 11-7: Figure 11-8: Figure 11-9:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44 . 44 . 44
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-1: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-6: Figure 11-6: Figure 11-7: Figure 11-8: Figure 11-9: Figure 11-10: Figure 11-9: Figure 11-10: Figure 11-1	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44 . 44
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-1: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-6: Figure 11-7: Figure 11-8: Figure 11-9: Figure 11-10: Figure 11-11:	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 42 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44 . 44 . 45 . 46 . 46 . 46 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40
Figure 8-11: A Section 9: Divi Figure 9-1: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-2: Figure 9-3: Figure 9-3: Figure 10-1: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-2: Figure 10-3: Section 11: Jur Figure 11-1: Figure 11-2: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-3: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-4: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-5: Figure 11-6: Figure 11-7: Figure 11-8: Figure 11-8: Figure 11-9: Figure 11-10: Figure 11-11: Figure 11-12: Figure 11-11: Figure 11-12: Figure 11-11: Figure 11-12: Figure 11-	Average Length of Stay by Gender & Race	. 39 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 41 . 42 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 43 . 44 . 44 . 45 . 46 . 46 . 46 . 46 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40 . 40

Section 12: Juvenile Officer Workload	
Table 12-1: Workload Values from 2006 Juvenile Officer Workload	
Table 12-2: Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload for Multi-County Circuits	
Section 13: CA/N Time Standards	
Table 13-1: CA/N Hearings Held Timely	
Appendices:	
A. Total Juvenile Division Referral Outcomes by Circuit & County	
B. Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit & County	
C. Status Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit & County	
D. CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit & County	
E. Type of Referrals by Circuit & County	
F. Referrals by Type, Level, Circuit & County	
G. Out of Home Placements by Circuit.	
H. In-Home Services by Circuit	
I. DYS Commitments by Circuit, Race, & Gender	
J. Certification to Adult Court by Circuit, Race, & Gender	
K. Map of Missouri's 45 Judicial Circuits	72

Introduction

In CY 2009, OSCA's Division of Court Programs and Research sought to enhance juvenile and family division annual reporting by providing a comprehensive account of both case activity and youth served. The result of this effort is the first "*Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report*". The "*Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report (2009)*" presents general population data for Missouri youth; summary statistics on the status, law, and abuse and neglect referrals processed by Missouri's juvenile division; the risk and needs characteristics of the juvenile offender population the division manages; the services and sanctions it provides; recidivism rates and the case processing time standards and workload formula by which it abides.

This and future productions of the "*Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report*" are not possible without the help of Missouri's juvenile and family court staff to whom the report is dedicated. It is their commitment to improving outcomes for court involved youth and their families that ensures the integrity of the information reported here. Section 1 describes Missouri's general juvenile population for CY 2008. This description provides a useful context for considering subsequent sections of the report related to a subset of youth involved with Missouri's juvenile and family court division [Source: Missouri Census Data Center].



Section 1: Missouri's Juvenile Population



Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Referrals

The Revised Missouri Court Performance Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice define a juvenile and family division referral as *"information received by the juvenile officer or other authorized staff that alleges facts, which brought the juvenile under the applicable provisions of Chapter 211 of the Missouri Juvenile Code"*. For the purpose of annual reporting, disposed referrals represent the unit of measurement; not individual youth. A disposition refers to the outcome or finding of a referral [see pages 9 & 10 for details on how these dispositions are reported in Missouri's Judicial Information System (JIS)].

Missouri statute identifies three referral types over which the juvenile and family division has jurisdiction:

- Status Offenses: Acts that are violations only if committed by a juvenile. Status offenses include Behavior Injurious to Self/Others, Habitually Absent from Home, Truancy, Beyond Parental Control, and Status-Other.
- Law Offenses: Law offenses include all criminal and municipal ordinance violations listed in the Missouri Charge Code Manual.
- Child abuse and neglect (CA/N): CA/N referrals are allegations of child abuse or neglect where the child is the victim or custody related matters are an issue. Abuse referrals include Abuse-Emotional, Abuse-Incest, Abuse-Other Sexual, and Physical Abuse. Neglect referrals include Abandonment, Neglect-Education, Neglect-Improper Care/Supervision, Neglect-Medical Care, Neglect-Surgical Care, and Neglect-Other. Custody referrals include Protective Custody, Transfer of Custody, Termination of Parental Rights, and Relief of Custody.

Section 2 presents state-level referral information for the juvenile and family division for CY 2009.

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percent	
Law Enforcement	1 0		
Municipal Police	33560	50.69	
County Sheriff	5022	7.58	
Other Law Enforcement	496	0.75	Table 2-1
Highway Patrol	261	0.39	Referrals to Missouri's juvenile and family division originate
Children's Division	10403	15.71	from a variety of sources. In
School Personnel	8443	12.75	2009, 59% of all referrals originated from some type of
Juvenile Division Personnel	2937	4.44	law enforcement agency,
Parent	2796	4.22	followed by the Children's Division (16%) and schools
Other	1000	1.51	Division (16%) and schools (13%).
Other Juvenile Division	574	0.87	Missing Data [537].
Private Social Agency	219	0.33	
Relative other than Parent	182	0.27	
Public Social Agency	155	0.23	
Victim or Self-Referral	138	0.21	
Department of Mental Health	25	0.04	
Total	66,211	100.00	
Total Referrals by	y Case Type		
Delinquency Referral 57%	Abuse/Negle 22% Status Re 22%		Figure 2-1 A total of 66,748 referrals were disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division in CY 2009. Fifty-seven percent [37,783] were for law violations. Status offenses accounted for approximately 22% [14,479] of referrals, with the remaining 22% [14,486] for abuse and neglect allegations.

Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Total Referrals



Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Total Referrals



The juvenile and family division may respond to referrals either through a formal or informal process. Through the formal process, a juvenile officer files a petition in the juvenile and family division to have a judge hear and determine the outcome of the allegations contained in the petition. Through the informal process, a juvenile officer determines the disposition of the allegations contained in the referral without filing a petition seeking formal judicial jurisdiction. The following referral dispositions are recorded on the *Site Defined (COASITE)* form of the

Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT) of JIS using the VDYSD docket code to activate the site defined data table.

Formal Dispositions:

Allegation True, Youth Receives Out-of-Home Placement – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth is placed out-of-home with the Division of Youth Services (DYS), in foster care, with a relative or another private or public agency. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation True, Youth Receives In-Home Services – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth receives services while remaining in his or her home. This disposition requires the youth to receive supervision through the juvenile division. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation True, No Services – A judicial action finding the allegation true, however, the youth receives no services or supervision. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Allegation Not True – A judicial action which results in the termination of a juvenile case during the initial juvenile division hearing due to insufficient evidence. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Sustain Motion to Dismiss – A judicial action which results in a motion to dismiss the petition before the initial division hearing. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

Sustain Motion to Dismiss for Certification - A judicial action sustaining a motion to dismiss a petition to the juvenile division and allow prosecution of youth under the general law. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

12

Informal Dispositions:

Informal Adjustment with Supervision: Any informal non-judicial activity which occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference and the relevant contact standards contained in the Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice. This disposition requires completion of risk and needs assessment when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = VAIWS]

Informal Adjustment without Supervision: Any informal non-judicial activity which occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference. Although services may be monitored, this disposition does not include direct supervision of a youth in accordance with the Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice. However, because the disposition is applied on the basis of an informal adjustment conference, completion of the mandated risk and needs assessments is required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation.[JIS Docket = VIANS]

Informal Adjustment, Counseled and Warned: Any informal non-judicial activity that entails no more than brief face-to-face, telephone, or warning letter with the intent to inform, counsel, and warn the youth and/or family regarding a referral received. No official informal adjustment conference, per Supreme Court Rule is held, therefore completion of the mandated risk or needs assessments is not required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = DVCAW]

Transfer to Other Juvenile Division: A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another juvenile division for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DUTJC]

Transfer to Other Agency: A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another agency (CD, DMH, DYS, or other public or private agency) for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DVTA]

Referral Rejected: The referral is rejected because there is insufficient information for administrative action to proceed or the referral is found not true. No informal adjustment conference is conducted and no assessments are required. [JIS Docket = DVRIE – Insufficient information; DVRNT – Not True]



Section 3: Juvenile & Family Division Law Referrals

Section 3 describes law violation referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. Law violation referrals comprise 56% of all referrals in CY 2009. A law violation referral is counted as a single delinquent act, represented by the most serious allegations charged. However, the juvenile may be responsible for multiple delinquent acts at the time of referral.

Law Enforcement Municipal Police 27546 73.53 County Sheriff 3493 9.32 Other Law Enforcement 4114 1.11 Highway Patrol 209 0.56 School Personnel 2487 6.64 Juvenile Division Personnel 1513 4.04 Children's Divsion 650 1.73 Other Juvenile Division 411 1.10 Parent 359 0.96 Other 108 0.29 Public Social Agency 84 0.222 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.066 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Class A misdemeanor violation (38%) accounted for the majority of law violation referrals, the majority of law violation referents, the majority of law violation referrals, the majority of la	Law Violation Referral Source	Frequency	Percen	ıt	
County Sheriff34939.32Other Law Enforcement4141.11Highway Patrol2090.56School Personnel24876.64Juvenile Division Personnel15134.04Children's Divsion6501.73Other Juvenile Division4111.10Parent3590.96Other1080.29Victim or Self-Referral930.25Public Social Agency840.22Private Social Agency720.19Relative other than Parent210.06Department of Mental Health40.01Total37,464100.00Law Violation Referrals by Charge LevelFigure 3-1Class A misdemeanor violation188Mademeane B211Mademeane B213Mademeane B2147Mademeane B <t< th=""><th>Law Enforcement</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Law Enforcement				
Other Law Enforcement4141.11Highway Patrol2090.56School Personnel24876.64Juvenile Division Personnel15134.04Children's Divsion6501.73Other Juvenile Division4111.10Parent3590.96Other1080.29Victim or Self-Referral930.25Public Social Agency840.22Private Social Agency720.19Chall37,464100.00Law Violation Referab by Charge LevelFigure 3-1Class A misdemeanor violation (38%) accounted for the majority of law violation referrals, the majority violation referals, the majority of which were Class C felonies represented about 19% of law violation referrals, the majority of which were Class C felonies represented about 19% of law violations were for Class A & wiolations were for Class A & &	Municipal Police	27546	7	3.53	
Other Law Enforcement4141.11Highway Patrol2090.56School Personnel24876.64Juvenile Division Personnel15134.04Children's Divsion6501.73Other Juvenile Division4111.10Parent3590.96Other1080.229Victim or Self-Referral930.25Public Social Agency840.22Private Social Agency720.19Call37,464100.00FewFigure 3-1Class A misdemeanor violationMedemand B11FewFigure 3-1Class A misdemeanor violationMedemand B11Medemand B12Other10.06Department of Mental Health4030.4811111213134314141410.0015141513151416100.00161416101713181418141918191919191919191911111111121113111411151416171713018141911<	-	3493		9.32	
Highway Patrol2090.56School Personnel24876.64Juvenile Division Personnel15134.04Children's Divsion6501.73Other Juvenile Division4111.10Other Juvenile Division4111.10Parent3590.96Other1080.29Victim or Self-Referral930.25Public Social Agency720.19Relative other than Parent210.06Department of Mental Health40.01Total37,464100.00Febrer Ferrals37,464100.00Febrer Ferrals Violation Referrals by Charge LevelFigure 3-1Class A misdemeanor violation referrals, followed by Class B1143Misdemeare A Misdemeare C137138Misdemeare C Misdemeare C143738.6Grand C Misdemeare C143738.6Grand C Misdemeare C1437143Misdemeare C Misdemeare C1437143Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7Misdemeare C Misdemeare C143738.6Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7Misdemeare C Misdemeare C14337.7	Other Law Enforcement				
School Personnel24876.64Table 3-1Juvenile Division Personnel15134.04Violation referrals was some form of law enforcement agency, primarily municipal (74%) and county sheriff's departments (9%). Schools we the second highest referring agency (7%). Missing Data [319].Other1080.29Other1080.29Public Social Agency840.22Private Social Agency720.19Relative other than Parent210.06Department of Mental Health40.01Total37,464100.00Law Violation Referrals by Charge LevelFigure 3-1 Class A misdemeanor violation referrals, followed by Class B (14%) & & C (14%) misdemeanors. Felonies represented about 19% of law violation referrals, the majority of which were Class C felonies represented about 19% of law violation swere for Class A & wiolations were for Class A & &	Highway Patrol				
Juvenile Division Personnel 1513 4.04 Children's Divsion 650 1.73 Other Juvenile Division 411 1.10 Parent 359 0.96 Other 108 0.29 Other 108 0.29 Victim or Self-Referral 93 0.25 Public Social Agency 84 0.22 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Felory 131 0.38 Felory 133 137 Felory 133 137 Misdemeaner A 134 137 Misdemeaner A 1347 135 Misdemeaner A 1347 135 Ordinare Violation 1347 134 Misdemeaner A 1437 135 Misdemeaner A 1437 136 Misdemeaner A 1431 137					
Children's Divsion 650 1.73 Other Juvenile Division 411 1.10 Other Juvenile Division 411 1.10 Parent 359 0.96 Other 108 0.29 Other 108 0.29 Public Social Agency 84 0.22 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Febry Pebry B Pebry B Peb					
Other Juvenile Division 411 1.10 (74%) and county sheriff's departments (9%). Schools we the second highest referring agency (7%). Parent 359 0.96 Other 108 0.29 Victim or Self-Referral 93 0.25 Public Social Agency 84 0.22 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Felony D 113 135 Pedeny C 752 109 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Hardy B 113 128 Misdemaard P 1431 3.79 Misdemaard P 1431 3.79 Misdemaard P 1431 3.17 Misdemaard P 1431 3.13 Ordmarke Volation 131 0.143 Ordmarke Volation					
Parent 359 0.96 Other 108 0.29 Victim or Self-Referral 93 0.25 Public Social Agency 84 0.222 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Felony A Felony B Felony C Felony D Felony C Felony D Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Felony A Felony B Felony C Felony C					
Other 108 0.29 Victim or Self-Referral 93 0.25 Public Social Agency 84 0.22 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Felory A Felory B					departments (9%). Schools we
Victim or Self-Referral 93 0.25 Public Social Agency 84 0.22 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Class A misdemeanor violation Felory Felory A Felory B Felory C Felory C					
Victim or Self-Referral 93 0.25 Public Social Agency 84 0.22 Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Filory Felory A Felory D Image: Social Agency Private Social Agency Figure 3-1 Class A misdemeanor violation Referrats by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Felory D Image: Social Agency Private Social Agency					
Private Social Agency 72 0.19 Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level FEQ. PCT. Felony A Felony A Felony C Felony C Felonies T Felonies T Ferrals, the majority of which were Class C felonies Only about 3% of all law Violations were for Class A & Felonies T Felonies T Felonie	Victim or Self-Referral	93		0.25	
Relative other than Parent 21 0.06 Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Felony A Felony B Felony C Felony C	Public Social Agency	84		0.22	
Department of Mental Health 4 0.01 Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Felony A Filony C Filony C Felony A Felony A Filony C Felony A Filony C Filony C Felony B Filony C Filony C Filony C Felony D Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor D Infraction Total State Filony C Filony C Ordinance Violation Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Misdemeanor B Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Misdemeanor B Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Misdemeanor C Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor A Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C Gamma C <td>Private Social Agency</td> <td>72</td> <td></td> <td>0.19</td> <td></td>	Private Social Agency	72		0.19	
Total 37,464 100.00 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Felony A 477 1.26 Felony A 311 0.82 Felony A 752 1.99 Felony C 752 1.99 Felony D 3894 103.11 Misdemeanor 1581 4.19 Misdemeanor R 14370 38.66 Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 Ordinace Violation 711 1.88 Violation referrals, the majority of all law violations were for Class A & total t	Relative other than Parent	21		0.06	
Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level Figure 3-1 Figure 3-1 Class A misdemeanor violation Felony A Felony B Felony C Figure 3-1 Felony B Felony C 752 1.99 3894 10.31 Felony D Misdemeanor 1431 3.79 misdemeanors. Felonies Misdemeanor A Misdemeanor C 14370 38.06 represented about 19% of law violation referrals, the majority of which were Class C felonies Misdemeanor C Misdemeanor C 5447 1443 Violation referrals, the majority of which were Class C felonies Misdemeanor C Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 Only about 3% of all law Violations were for Class A & X	Department of Mental Health	4		0.01	
Felony FREQ. PCT. Class A misdemeanor violation (38%) accounted for the majority of law violation referrals, followed by Class B Felony A 311 0.82 Felony B 752 1.99 Felony D 3894 10.31 Misdemeanor 1431 3.79 Misdemeanor A 14370 38.06 Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 Misdemeanor C 5173 13.80 Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 Misdemeanor C 5173 13.80 Misdemeanor C 5173 <td< td=""><td>Fotal</td><td>37,464</td><td>10</td><td>0.00</td><td></td></td<>	Fotal	37,464	10	0.00	
Felony Felony A Felony BClass A misdemeanor violation (38%) accounted for the majority of law violation referrals, followed by Class B (14%) & C (14%)Felony D Misdemeanor A14313.79Misdemeanor C Infraction14371.30Misdemeanor C Infraction14371.370Ordinance Violation14381.31Ordinance Violation1.310.01Ordinance Violation1.310.31Infraction1.310.32%Ordinance Violation1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.310.31%Infraction1.31 <td>Law Violation Refe</td> <td>rrals by Charge Level</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Law Violation Refe	rrals by Charge Level			
Felony A4771.26(38%) accounted for the majority of law violation referrals, followed by Class B (14%) & C (14%)Felony D389410.3115814.19Misdemeanor A14313.79misdemeanors. Feloniesrepresented about 19% of law violation referrals, the majority of which were Class C feloniesMisdemeanor C517313.70of which were Class C feloniesInfraction4931.31Only about 3% of all law violations were for Class A & T			FREO	РСТ	•
Felony A3110.82Felony B7521.99Felony C389410.31Felony D15814.19Misdemeanor A14313.79Misdemeanor B1437038.06Misdemeanor C517313.70Infraction4931.31Ordinance Violation7111.88Ituraile Municipal7111.88	Felony				
Felony B7521.99referrals, followed by Class BFelony C389410.31referrals, followed by Class BFelony D15814.19(14%) & C (14%)Misdemeanor A14313.79misdemeanors. FeloniesMisdemeanor B544714.43violation referrals, the majorityMisdemeanor C517313.70of which were Class C feloniesInfraction4931.31Only about 3% of all lawOrdinance Violation21128.24violations were for Class A & T			311	0.82	
Felony D 1581 4.19 (14%) & C (14%) Misdemeanor 1581 4.19 misdemeanors. Felonies Misdemeanor A 14370 38.06 represented about 19% of law Misdemeanor B 5447 14.43 violation referrals, the majority Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 of which were Class C felonies Infraction 493 1.31 Only about 3% of all law Ordinance Violation 711 1.88 violations were for Class A & 1000					5 5
Misdemeanor14313.79misdemeanors. FeloniesMisdemeanor A14313.79misdemeanors. FeloniesMisdemeanor B1437038.06represented about 19% of lawMisdemeanor C517313.70of which were Class C feloniesInfraction4931.31Only about 3% of all lawOrdinance Violation7111.88violations were for Class A & 1					
Misdemeanor A 14370 38.06 represented about 19% of law Misdemeanor B 5447 14.43 violation referrals, the majority Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 of which were Class C felonies Infraction 493 1.31 Only about 3% of all law Ordinance Violation 711 1.88 violations were for Class A & 1200					
Misdemeanor B 5447 14.43 violation referrals, the majority Misdemeanor C 5173 13.70 of which were Class C felonies Infraction 493 1.31 Only about 3% of all law Ordinance Violation 711 1.88 violations were for Class A & 100					
Misdemeanor C Infraction Ordinance Violation Uwamila Municipal	Misdemeanor B				1
Infraction Ordinance Violation Juvanile Municipal	Misdemeanor C		5173	13.70	
Ordinance Violation 711 1.88 violations were for Class A & 1	Infraction		493	1.31	
Iuvenile Municipal	Ordinance Violation		711	1.88	•
	Juvenile Municipal		3112	8.24	



Top 25 Law Violations for 2009	Frequency	Percent	
Assault	7904	20.94	
Theft/Stealing	7901	20.93	
Property Damage	3756	9.95	
Dangerous Drug	2523	6.68	
Peace Disturbance	2232	5.91	
Juvenile Municipal Violation	1769	4.69	
Burglary	1637	4.34	
Liquor law violation	1361	3.61	
Violation Of Valid Court Order	1343	3.56	
Invasion of Privacy	1281	3.39	
Sexual Offense	982	2.60	
Probation Violation	929	2.46	
Municipal Violation	711	1.88	
Weapon Violation	590	1.56	
Sexual Assault	485	1.28	
Obstructing Law Enforcement	442	1.17	Table 3–2
Health and Safety Violation	365	0.97	Over 64% of all law violation
Robbery	310	0.82	referrals were for Assault,
Receiving Stolen Property	282	0.75	Theft/Stealing, Property Damage, Dangerous Drug and Peace
Arson	238	0.63	Disturbance.
Driving without a License	149	0.39	Missing Data [31].
Violation of Wildlife Law	96	0.25	
Making Threat/False Report	78	0.21	
Fraud	72	0.19	
Promoting Obscenity	68	0.18	
Left Scene of Accident	61	0.16	
Forgery	44	0.12	
Homicide	28	0.07	
Miscellaneous Motor/Vehicle	25	0.07	
Public Order Offense	17	0.05	
Endangering Welfare of Child	16	0.04	
Kidnap	16	0.04	
Flight/Escape	15	0.04	
All-terrain Vehicle Violation	13	0.03	
Watercraft Operation Violation	7	0.02	
Speeding	5	0.01	
Gambling	1	0.00	
Total	37,752	100.00	

Section 3: Juvenile & Family Division Law Violation Referrals

Table 3-3

Fifty-seven percent of all law violation referrals were committed by juveniles between the ages of 15 and 16. These youth were responsible for 47% of assaults, 61% of stealing, and 52% of property damage. Thirty-five percent of all sex offenses were committed by youth age 12 or under, which is proportionately higher (7% of youth 12 and under's total law violations) than any other age group. Missing Data [335].

Law Violation Referrals by Allegation and Age	<=12	13-14	15-16	17	Total
All-terrain Vehicle Violation	3	5	5	0	13
Arson	79	61	97	1	238
Assault	1588	2433	3707	129	7857
Burglary	158	497	898	68	1621
Dangerous Drug	66	509	1870	71	2516
Driving without a License	6	51	91	1	149
Endangering Welfare of Child	0	4	10	2	16
Flight/Escape	0	5	10	0	15
Forgery	1	7	34	2	44
Fraud	6	10	47	9	72
Gambling	0	0	1	0	1
Health and Safety Violation	38	122	197	5	362
Iomicide	2	2	20	3	27
nvasion of Privacy	149	327	763	37	1276
uvenile Municipal Violation	58	458	1226	22	1764
Kidnap	0	6	10	0	16
Left Scene of Accident	0	9	49	3	61
liquor law violation	17	214	1080	45	1356
Making Threat/False Report	14	30	34	0	78
Miscellaneous Motor/Vehicle Violation	1	3	20	1	25
Aunicipal Violation	107	178	415	10	710
Dbstructing Law Enforcement	25	111	296	8	440
Peace Disturbance	316	773	1107	24	2220
Probation/Parole Violation	8	275	585	60	928
Promoting Obscenity	6	26	32	3	67
Property Damage	603	1112	1958	66	3739
Public Order Offense	1	2	14	0	17
Receiving Stolen Property	14	74	191	2	281
Robbery	13	53	233	11	310
Sexual Assault	83	142	174	38	437
Sexual Offense	328	310	268	36	942
Speeding	0	2	3	0	5
Theft/Stealing	784	2127	4759	196	7866
Violation Of Valid Court Order	39	270	775	203	1287
Violation of Wildlife Law	1	21	68	6	96
Watercraft Operation Violation	0	1	6	0	7
Weapon Violation	92	156	330	11	589
Fotal	4,606	10,386	21,383	1,073	37,448

Section 3: Juvenile & Family Division Law Violation Referrals



Section 4: Juvenile & Family Division Status Violation Referrals

Section 4 describes status violation referrals disposed by the juvenile and family division. Status violation referrals comprise 22% of all referrals in CY 2009. A status violation referral is counted as a single behavioral act, represented by the most serious allegation charged. However, the juvenile may be responsible for multiple status offenses at the time of referral.

	Source of Referral	Frequency	Perce	ent
	Law Enforcement	riequency	1 61 6	CIII
	Municipal Police	4854	33.	66
	-			
	County Sheriff	1190		.25
Table 4-1	Other Law Enforcement	67		.46
Similar to law violation referrals, 46% of all status violation	Highway Patrol	31		.21
referrals originated from some	School Personnel	5135	35.	
form of law enforcement agency,	Parent	1571	10.	
primarily Municipal Police (37%) and County Sheriff's	Children's Division	597	4.	.14
departments (8%). Schools	Juvenile Division Personnel	573	3.	.97
(33%) were the second highest	Relative other than Parent	100	0.	.69
referring agency, followed by Parents (10%).	Other Juvenile Division	92	0.	.64
Missing Data [57].	Other	75	0.	.52
	Public Social Agency	45	0.	.31
	Private Social Agency	39	0.	.27
	Victim or Self-Referral	37	0.	.26
	Department of Mental Health	16	0.	.11
	Total	14,422	100.	.00
	Status Referrals b	oy Charge Level		
Figure 4-1			FREQ. PC	CT.
Behavior Injurious to Self or Others (30%) was the most	Behavior Injurious To Self/Oth		4356 30.	.08
frequent status offense for which	Truancy		3708 25.	.61
youth were referred to the	Habitually Absent From Home		3047 21.	.04
juvenile and family division, followed closely by Truancy	Beyond Parental Control		2769 19.	.12
(26%), and Habitually Absent				
from Home (21%). Missing Data [0].	Status Offense - Other		599 4.	.14
	0 1000 200	0 3000 4000 500	00	



Section 4: Juvenile & Family Division Status Violation Referrals

Section 4: Juvenile & Family Division Status Violation Referrals



Section 5: Juvenile & Family Division CA/N Referrals

Section 5 describes child abuse and neglect (CA/N) referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. CA/N referrals comprised 19% of all referrals in CY 2009. A CA/N referral is counted as a single event, represented by the most serious allegation where a youth is the victim. However, youth may be the victim of multiple incidences of abuse and/or neglect at the time they are referred.

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percent	
Children's Division	9156	63.92	
Law Enforcement			
Municipal Police	1160	8.10	T-1-1- 5-4
County Sheriff	339	2.37	Table 5-1 The source of 64% of all C
Highway Patrol	21	0.15	referrals was Missouri's D
Other Law Enforcement	15	0.10	Social Services Children's
Parent	866	6.05	Division (CD). Approxima 8% of these referrals origin
Juvenile Division Personnel	851	5.94	from municipal police. Juv
School Personnel	821	5.73	division personnel, school
Other	817	5.70	personnel, and parents wer responsible for another 6%
Private Social Agency	108	0.75	the CA/N referrals dispose
Other Juvenile Division	71	0.50	the juvenile and family div
Relative other than Parent	61	0.43	Missing Data [161].
Public Social Agency	26	0.18	
Victim or Self-Referral	8	0.06	
Deparment of Mental Health	5	0.03	
Total	14,325	100.00	



Child Abuse & Neglect Referrals by Offense Type

Figure 5-1

Neglect – Improper Care/Supervision represented 41% of all CA/N offense referrals, followed by Neglect Other (16%) and Physical Abuse (12%). Missing Data [0].



23

185

147

77

23

6000

7000

13.97

59 51

31.17

9.31

17

by abuse (22%).

Missing Data [1,810].

Custody/Abduction

Neglect

Abuse

0

1000 2000 3000 4000 5000

Section 5: Juvenile & Family Division CA/N Referrals



Section 6: Assessment & Classification

In 1995, the Missouri General Assembly passed the Juvenile Crime and Crime Prevention Bill [HB 174]. The bill was aimed at reshaping Missouri's juvenile justice system through the development of a comprehensive juvenile justice strategy. As part of the strategy, the Office of State Courts Administrator was charged with coordinating an effort to design and implement a standardized assessment process for classifying juvenile offenders. The result of this effort was the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System.

The Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System includes an empirically validated risk assessment for estimating a youthful offender's relative likelihood of future delinquency, a classification matrix, which links the level of risk with offense severity to recommend a set of graduated sanctions, and a needs assessment for identifying the underlying psychosocial needs of youth.

Since its inception, the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification system has helped Missouri's juvenile justice professionals to ensure public safety, promote statewide consistency in the services and supervision of youthful offenders and estimate juvenile officer workload.

Section 6 presents information on the risk and needs assessments most closely associated with referrals disposed during CY 2009, which were entered on the *Custom Assessment Maintenance (CZAASMT)* form of JIS. When a referral had more than one associated risk/needs assessment(s), the highest score was reported. When a referral was not associated with any risk/needs assessment(s) in the reporting year, the score associated with the risk/needs assessment that was completed most closely to the initial filing date of the referral was reported, regardless of the year the assessment was completed. Figures 6-1 to 6-3 provide risk level information, with Tables 6-1 & 6-2 providing information about the prevalence of individual risk factors. **

**Readers should refer to Missouri's Juvenile Offender Risk & Needs Assessment and Classification System Manual (2005) for the operational definitions of risk and needs factors.

Section 6: Assessment & Classification



Table 6-1 Risk Factors	Frequency	Percent
Age at First Referral 16 15 14 13 12 and under	2980 3853 4196 3605 6679	14% 18% 20% 17% 31%
Prior Referrals None One or more	9722 11591	46% 54%
Assault Referrals No prior or present referral(s) for assault One or more prior or present referral(s) for misdemeanor assault One or more prior or present referral(s) for felony assault	14759 5925 629	69% 28% 3%
History of Placement No prior of out-of-home placement Prior of out-of-home placement	16408 4905	77% 23%
Peer Relationships Neutral influence Negative influence Strong negative influence	9297 9767 2249	44% 46% 11%
History of Child Abuse or Neglect No history of child abuse or neglect History of child abuse or neglect	17588 3725	83% 17%
Substance Abuse No apparent substance abuse problem Moderate alcohol and/or drug abuse problem Severe alcohol and/or drug abuse/dependence	16050 4467 796	75% 21% 4%
School Attendance/Disciplinary Problems No or only minor problems Moderate school behavior problems Severe school behavior problems	9508 8602 3203	45% 40% 15%
Parent Management Style Effective management style Moderately ineffective management style Severely ineffective management style	9823 8813 2677	46% 41% 13%
Parental History of Incarceration No prior incarceration Prior	16150 5162	76% 24%

Section 6: Assessment & Classification

Table 6-2 Needs Factors	Frequency	Percent
Behavior Problems No significant behavioral problems Moderate behavioral problems Severe behavioral problems	6592 8787 2282	37% 50% 13%
Attitude Motivated to change; accepts responsibility Generally uncooperative; not motivated to change Very negative attitude; resistant to change	11323 5301 1037	64% 30% 6%
Interpersonal Skills Good interpersonal skills Moderately impaired interpersonal skills Severely impaired interpersonal skills	10564 6377 720	60% 36% 4%
Peer Relationships Neutral peer group influence Negative peer group influence Strong negative peer group influence	9297 9767 2249	46% 46% 11%
History of Child Abuse No history of child abuse or neglect History of child abuse and/or neglect	17588 3725	83% 17%
Mental Health No mental health disorder Mental health disorder with treatment Mental health disorder with no treatment	13840 3264 557	78% 18% 3%
Substance Abuse No substance abuse problem Moderate alcohol and/or substance abuse problem Severe alcohol and/or substance abuse or dependence	16050 4467 796	75% 21% 4%
School Attendance No or only minor school behavior problems Moderate school behavior problems Severe school behavior problems	9508 8602 3203	45% 40% 15%
Academic Performance Passing (or 16 years old and not enrolled) Functioning below average Failing	8693 6578 2390	49% 37% 14%
Learning Disorder No diagnosed learning disorder Diagnosed learning disorder	15413 2248	87% 13%

Table 6-2 Cont.		
Employment Full-time employment	1271	7%
Part-time employment	544	3%
Unemployed	2913	16%
Juvenile's Parental Responsibility		
No children	16864	95%
One child	339	2%
Two children	263	1%
Three or more children	195	1%
Health/Handicaps		
No health problems or physical handicaps	17052	67%
No health problems/handicaps, limited access to health care	182	1%
Mild physical handicap or medical condition	351	2%
Pregnancy	19	.11%
Serious physical handicap or medical condition	57	.32%
Parental Management Style		
Effective management style	9823	46%
Moderately ineffective management style	8813	41%
Severely ineffective management style	2677	13%
Parental Mental Health		
No parental history of mental health disorder	15242	86%
Parental history of mental health disorder	2419	14%
Parental Substance Abuse	14338	81%
No parental substance abuse Parental substance abuse	3323	19%
	0020	1070
Social Support System		
Strong support system	7874	45%
Limited support system with one positive role model	7621	43%
Weak support system with no positive role models	1883	11%
Strong negative or criminal influence in support system	283	2%

Missouri's juvenile and family court division promotes a balanced approach to juvenile justice. A balanced approach attempts to simultaneously obligate juvenile status and law offenders to repair harm done to victims, improve the ability of offenders to function pro-socially, and ensure public safety. To operationalize the approach, courts use a risk assessment and classification system to identify sanctions that hold offenders accountable for criminal conduct and monitor ongoing behavior, while a needs assessment establishes their psychosocial needs requiring treatment intervention. Furthermore, juvenile divisions may, in conjunction with DSS, provide in-home services for youth who are victims of abuse and neglect and order out-of-home placement to ensure for the protection of these youth.

Section 7 presents sanction and service information entered on the *Custom Programs/Services (CZAPROG)* form of JIS for informally and formally processed cases for programs ended during the calendar year. While the referral based information presented in Section 2-4 of the report and the service and sanction information presented here should reference the same youth, no expectation for this match is made. Instead, readers should consider the sanction and service information independently; focusing on the frequency with which each type of program is used. A single youth may have multiple program assignments, or no program assignment.



Section 7: Sanctions and Services




Section 8: Detention Services

Missouri's juvenile and family division of the circuit court includes twenty-four detention facilities to house youth in need of secure confinement. Juvenile justice personnel identify offenders most in need of secure confinement using the objective criteria contained in Missouri's Juvenile Detention Assessment (JDTA). In addition, fifteen detention centers participate in the Annie Casey Foundation Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) [highlighted]. JDAI is an effort to assist the juvenile and family division with development and use of community-based alternatives to secure detention when detention is determined to be unnecessary or inappropriate. The initiative emphasizes the collection and application of objective data to identify practices which may contribute to over-utilization of secure detention, detention overcrowding, and disproportionate minority confinement.

Section 8 presents admission, discharge, population, and length of stay information for Missouri's secure detention facilities entered on the *Custom Room Facility Assignment (CZAROOM)* form of JIS. Depending on the reporting objective, counts are based on admissions or discharges; and a single youth may be counted multiple times if they were detained on more than one occasion.

	Рор	ulation on Last Day o	f CY 2009
	Circuit	Population	Percent MO Youth Detained
	02	2	1.09
	07	1	0.54
	11	2	1.09
	13	23	12.50
	16	25	13.59
Table 8-1*	17	3	1.63
Metropolitan circuits [21 &	19	5	2.72
22] account for 45% of all	20	1	0.54
youth detained in Missouri on	21	35	19.02
the last day of CY 2009.	22	37	20.11
* JDAI sites are highlighted	23	8	4.35
	24	7	3.80
	26	8	4.35
	29	7	3.80
	30	4	2.17
	31	5	2.72
	32	1	0.54
	35	8	4.35
	44	2	1.09
	Total	184	100.00
	Tot	tal Detention Admissions h	oy Gender
Figure 8-1 There were a total of 6,359 admissions to secure detention in CY 2009. Males [4,755] accounted for 75% of these admissions. Females accounted for the remaining 25% [1,595] of admissions.		fale 5%	Female 25%

Section 8: Detention Services









Section 9 presents demographic information on youth committed to DYS, identified via a CY 2009 DDYS – Committed to DYS docket entry on the *Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)* form of JIS. For circuit level information on these commitments refer to Appendix I. Although unlikely, commitments may be duplicative.



Section 10 presents demographic information on youth certified to adult court, identified via a CY 2009 DJVCA - JUV Certified to Adult Court docket entry on the *Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)* form of JIS. For additional circuit level information on these certifications refer to Appendix J. Assuming certifications are entered into JIS only once for a youth, the count presented is unduplicated.



Juvenile divisions across the country are being asked to provide evidence that public funds are used in cost-effective ways to reduce and prevent juvenile crime. For Missouri Juvenile divisions to measure progress in this area, the following statewide definition of juvenile offender recidivism was developed though consensus:

"A juvenile offender recidivist is any youth, referred to the juvenile office for a legally sufficient law violation during a calendar year, who receives one or more legally sufficient law violation(s) to the juvenile or adult court within one year of the initial referral's disposition date."

Section 11 presents the demographic and offense characteristics and associated risk and needs factors that influenced recidivism rates for the CY 2008 cohort of Missouri juvenile law offenders who were tracked through CY 2009 for recidivism. Recidivism rates for the juvenile offender cohort are presented at the state and circuit level.











Section 11: Juvenile Offender Recidivism

Using logistic regression modeling with Missouri's statewide definition of recidivism as the outcome or "dependent" variable, the following factors were found to significantly increase the odds of recidivism, except two factors which significantly decreased the odds of recidivism: Commitment to DYS and age. The most serious offense type, prior referral history, being male, and severe behavior or school problems are the factors with most impact.

Demographic Characteristics Beta Odds 95% Confidence Ratio Interval Youth is Male vs. Female 0.51 1.66 (1.50, 1.84)Youth is Black vs. White (1.13, 1.39) 0.22 1.25 (0.92, 0.99)Age -0.04 0.96 Original Offense Characteristics [vs Sex Offense] Most Serious Charge was Public Order Offense 0.83 2.28 (1.70, 3.06)Most Serious Charge was Property Offense 0.70 2.02 (1.52, 2.68)Most Serious Charge was Other Person Offense 0.73 2.07 (1.55, 2.76)Most Serious Charge was Substance Abuse Offense 0.50 1.64 (1.21, 2.23)**Risk & Needs Characteristics** Personal History (1.58, 1.92) One or More Prior Referrals 0.54 1.72 History of Out-of-Home Placement 0.19 1.21 (1.09, 1.35)Age at 1st Referral (<=12) vs. (>=16) Age at 1st Referral (13-15) vs. (>=16) 0.30 1.35 (1.11, 1.64)0.32 1.37 (1.17, 1.60)Personal Issues Moderate Behavior Problem 0.21 1.24 (1.11, 1.37)Severe Behavior Problem 0.37 1.44 (1.22, 1.71)Moderate School Attendance/Disciplinary problem (1.13, 1.40) 0.23 1.26 Severe School Attendance/Disciplinary problem 0.42 1.53 (1.32, 1.77)Social Environment **Negative Peer Relationships** 1.21 0.19 (1.09, 1.35)Strong Negative Peer Relationships 0.27 1.32 (1.12, 1.54)Prior Parental Incarceration 0.17 1.19 (1.08, 1.32)Court Outcomes Youth was Adjudicated 0.12 (1.01, 1.26)1.13 Youth was Committed to DYS -1.01 0.36 (0.28, 0.47)

Table 11-1 Logistic Regression Results

The Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload (JWWL) system is an automated means of estimating the need for additional direct service deputy juvenile officers in Missouri's 35 multi-county circuits. The JWWL compares the number of staff hours required to screen and process the status, law, and CA/N referrals received by Juvenile divisions and to supervise youth in accordance with the *Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice*, against the actual number of staff hours available to complete these direct service activities. When workload demand exceeds the number of staff hours available to meet it, a need for additional direct service personnel is projected. The Circuit Court Budget Committee (CCBC) adopted and first used the results of the JWWL for estimating juvenile officer FTE needs for FY 04. The CCBC has since used the JWWL annually for this budgetary purpose. When fiscally advisable, the CCBC uses the 100% staffing estimate generated by the JWWL. At other times, the CCBC considers JWWL staffing estimates that are based on assumptions that only 60% to 80% of workload demand can be staffed.

The 60/80% staffing estimates are calculated by multiplying by 60/80% the total number of staff needed to service every youth and referral to the Juvenile division at established direct service standards (*Rounded Est. Staff Needed for 100%:*), then subtracting from that total the actual number of direct service staff currently available (*Current Staff*). This is an equitable method for distributing a limited number of new officers across the multi-county circuits because it provides a 60/80% staffing baseline for all circuits and allocates proportionately more staff to those farthest from that 60/80% baseline. For example, while some circuits may require several new officers to reach 100% of their estimated staffing need, they may require no new officers to reach 60/80% of their staffing need.

Example of Workload Estimate for Mock Multi-County Circuit

Total Workload Hours: Monthly total work hours required to service juvenile cases at established standards. Includes screening, processing and supervising delinquency and CA/N cases. Based on workload values identified by the 2006 juvenile officer workload study [Table 12-1].

Example: Mock Circuit, 550 hrs of direct service work are required to accommodate case management demand.

Rounded Est Staff Needed for 100%: Total number of direct service staff needed to meet Total Workload Hours.

Example: Mock Circuit, Total Workload Hours / 130.8 hrs. = Rounded Est Staff Needed for 100% (550 /130.8 hrs = 4.0 direct service staff needed).

Current Staff: Total number of direct service staff currently employed by circuit.

Example: Mock Circuit employs 3 direct service staff. Currently this includes all state-paid DJO I & II positions and all full-time staff paid through DYS diversion grant funds.

Total FTE Hours: Total number of staff hours available for direct service work.

Example: Mock Circuit, there are 3 direct service staff @ 130.8 hrs available per officer for direct service activity = 392.4 hrs.

FTE Qualified for FY 12 100%: Additional direct service staff needed to service Total Workload Hours per standards.

Example: Mock Circuit, Rounded Est Staff Needed for 100% – Current Staff = FTE Qualified for FY 12 100% (4.0 - 3.0 = 1.0 additional direct service staff)

Total Staff Inc Needed for 100%: Percent increase in actual staff that is necessary to accommodate Total Workload Hours. Represents one method to compare circuits in terms of staff need.

Example: Mock Circuit, FTE Qualified for FY 12 100% / Current Staff = Total Staff Inc Needed for 100% (1.0 / 3 = 33%)

Staff Needed to Reach 60 or 80% of Total Workload Hours (60/80PercentLevel): Methods for equitable distribution of new staff among multi-county circuits at less than 100% level.

80% Example: Mock Circuit Total Workload Hours (550) multiplied by 80% (440) divided by 130.8 hrs = 3.0. Rounded Est Staff Needed for 80% – Current Staff = FTE Qualified for FY 12 80% (3.0 - 3.0 = 0 additional direct service staff needed).

60% Example: Mock Circuit Total Workload Hours (550) multiplied by 60% (330) divided by 130.8 hrs = 2.5 Rounded Est Staff Needed for 60% – Current Staff = FTE Qualified for FY 12 60% (2.0 - 3.0 = -1 additional direct service staff needed).

Table 12-1Workload	Values per Month from Juvenile Officer Worklo	ad Study (2006)
Column Name	Column Description	Workload Value (hrs)
CBI Avg	Youth Receiving Intensive Supervision	7.0
Informal Avg	Youth Receiving Informal Supervision	2.6
Formal Low Avg	Youth Receiving Formal Low Risk Sup	2.2
Formal Moderate Avg	Youth Receiving Formal Moderate Risk Sup	2.8
Formal High Avg	Youth Receiving Formal High Risk Sup	7.0
Formal Not Class Avg	Youth Receiving Formal Sup Not Classified	2.2
Screened	Referrals Screened for Sufficiency	1.6
Inf Proc Avg	Referrals Informally Processed	1.9
From Proc Avg	Referrals Formally Processed	5.6
New Child Welfare	Child Welfare Cases < 31 Days Old	2.2
Ongoing Child Welfare	Child Welfare Cases > 31 Days Old	2.2

				Tab	le 12	-2 Juv	venile	Offic	cer W	eight	ed Wo	orkload	FY 12				
СТ	CBI Avg	Informal Avg	Formal Low Avg	Formal Mod Avg	Formal High Avg	Formal Not Class Avg	Screened	Inf Proc Avg	Form Proc Avg	New Child Welfare	Ongoing Child Welfare	Total Workload Hours	Total FTE Hours	Current Staff	Rounded Est Staff Needed for 100%	FTE Qualified for FY 12 100%	Total Staff Inc Needed for 100%
01	14	123	0	6	8	0	17	15	2	5	161	925	262	2	7	5	3
02	4	35	1	16	10	1	56	19	7	2	89	612	523	4	5	1	0
03	7	47	3	19	19	1	24	4	7	1	93	675	262	2	5	3	2
04	4	63	1	12	6	0	39	17	10	4	37	524	523	4	4	0	0
05	4	103	3	83	30	4	68	34	20	3	143	1435	1177	9	11	2	0
08	0	27	2	22	5	0	33	15	5	0	6	313	262	2	2	0	0
09	5	47	1	8	3	0	33	7	8	1	48	425	262	2	3	1	1
10	1	157	3	51	26	3	36	17	6	2	54	1052	723	6	8	3	0
12	23	113	7	47	33	1	60	25	5	4	110	1304	785	6	10	4	1
13	11	92	4	85	84	2	224	98	70	9	275	2801	1864	14	21	7	1
14	0	155	0	7	7	0	57	28	7	4	62	802	654	5	6	1	0
15	9	33	3	29	9	0	44	16	7	1	106	703	654	5	5	0	0
17	1	241	3	49	19	0	111	58	21	5	132	1667	1177	9	13	4	0
18	1	105	1	20	15	0	45	6	4	2	99	793	425	3	6	3	1
20	2	127	9	43	7	7	60	23	12	0	221	1287	785	6	10	4	1
24	4	38	5	44	15	3	74	35	14	4	182	1096	654	5	8	3	1
25	53	31	0	0	1	0	224	37	23	13	202	1494	916	7	11	4	1 1
26 27	0 13	37 71	12	88 7	22	4	82 63	22 44	21		349 106	1702	1046 523	8	13	5	1
27	13	37	1 4		3 10	0	79	33	8 9	8	62	806 695	523	4	6 5	2	0
30	53	45	4	35 4	10 5	0	111	- 33 - 47	9 12	1 9	144	1205	523	4	9	1 5	1
32	34	284	3		16	1	99	56	12	14	159	2052	785	6	16	10	2
33	14	42	21	55	7	0	76	14	23	6	65	947	785	6	7	1	0
34	56	47	4	7	0	0	39	12	7	2	131	964	654	5	7	2	0
35	5	20	6	, 52	21	0	103	12	35	22	261	1443	1046	8	11	3	0
36	3	16	2	15	3	0	49	4	9	1	79	451	392	3	3	0	0
37	11	40	2	16	11	1	64	48	8	3	100	789	654	5	6	1	0
38	64	46	1	26	11	0	98	37	23	12	233	1641	523	4	13	9	2
39	37	76	4	35	14	0	73	17	16	0	372	1752	654	5	13	8	2
40	29	0	2	1	1	1	66	1	32	23	444	1529	687	5	12	6	1
41	0	29	3	33	16	0	34	13	5	3	74	595	392	3	5	2	1
42	10	97	1	7	2	0	53	24	10	0	101	774	654	5	6	1	0
43	1	62	3	26	14	9	35	5	7	1	55	617	262	2	5	3	1
44	1	26	7	20	1	0	23	6	8	4	65	415	262	2	3	1	1
45	9	37	3	31	7	0	92	14	20	8	133	924	654	5	7	2	0
	14	73	4	30	13	1	70	25	14	5	141	37210	22926	175	282	107	
		Sta	tewide	Avera	ages f	or Eacl	n Work	load	Catego	ry			То	otals			

In March 2005, the Missouri Supreme Court issued an order adopting Court Operating Rule (COR) 23.01, Reporting Requirements for Child Abuse and Neglect Cases, effective July 1, 2005. This COR requires the presiding judge in each circuit to submit a quarterly report (CA/N Quarterly) to OSCA. The CA/N Quarterly Report lists all child abuse and neglect hearings where standards were not met during the quarter. These standards are based on the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 124.01, Rules of Practice and Procedure in Juvenile Divisions and Family Court Divisions of the Circuit, which states that the following hearings shall be held:

- within three days, excluding Saturday, Sunday and legal holidays, a protective custody hearing
- 2) within 60 days, an adjudication hearing
- 3) within 90 days, a dispositional hearing
- 4) every 90 to 120 days after the dispositional hearing during the first 12 months in which the juvenile is in the custody of the children's division, a dispositional review hearing
- 5) within 12 months and at least annually thereafter, a permanency hearing
- 6) as often as necessary after each permanency hearing, but at least every six months, during the period in which the juvenile remains in the custody of the children's division, a permanency review hearing.

The data from each circuit are compiled into a final report and submitted to the Supreme Court Chief Justice and the Commission on Retirement, Removal and Discipline.

Table	e 13-1 CA/N Q	uarterly Hearings	Report (Hearin	igs Held Timely CY 2009)
	Hearings	Hearing Held	Percent Held	<u> </u>
CT CT01	Held	Timely	Timely	_
CT02	158	158	100%	_
CT02	418	417	100%	_
CT03	231	225	97%	_
CT04	169	169	100%	_
CT05	260	260	100%	_
CT06	100	100	100%	_
CT07	382	364	95%	_
CT09	18	18	100%	_
CT10	130	128	98%	_
CT10 CT11	131	131	100%	_
CT12	671	647	96%	_
	441	426	97%	-
CT13	1058	1058	100%	-
CT14 CT15	272	257	94%	-
CT16	218	217	100%	_
CT16 CT17	3898	3823	98%	_
	700	672	96%	_
CT18 CT19	366	366	100%	Table 13-1
CT20	314	306	97%	Most juvenile and family
CT20	692	665	96%	divisions are conducting the
CT22	4076	3994	98%	required hearings in a timely
CT22	4002	3997	100%	fashion. Forty-one divisions
CT23	2010	1996	99%	are completing 95% or more of
CT25	879	870	99%	their hearings on time. At the
CT25	1050	1046	100%	statewide level, 98% of
CT20	1338	1338	100%	hearings were held timely.
CT28	348	331	95%	
CT29	201	184	92%	-
CT30	1553	1509	97%	-
CT31	550	550	100%	-
CT32	3137 675	3096 673	99% 100%	-
CT33	278	274	99%	-
CT34	278	244	99%	-
CT35	1126	1082	96%	-
CT36	372	370	99%	-
CT37	352	328	93%	-
CT38	958	955	100%	-
СТ39	938	932	99%	-
CT40	1473	1349	92%	-
CT41	282	280	99%	-
CT42	642	623	97%	-
CT43	224	213	95%	-
CT44	251	245	98%	-
CT45	491	490	100%	-
Statewide	38097	37376	98%	-
Glatewide	20091	31310	90%	

APPENDICES A-K

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

			F	Appendiz	X A. TU	airtei	enarc	ulcome	S Dy Cli	cuit and	County			r
с	ircuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True in Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	lnf. w/o Sup	lnf. w/ Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
	Clark	0	11	4	0	1	0	22	133	0	0		0	171
	Schuyler	0	0	1	0	0	0	48	49	0	0	0	0	98
	ţ					-			-			-	-	
	Scotland	2	1	1	0	0	0	24	80	0	0	0	0	108
	Adair	0	33	15	0	0	9	136	106	102	30	120	13	564
	Knox	0	11	1	0	0	0	4	23	0	0	4	1	44
	Lewis	0	16	9	0	0	1	79	131	6	1	30	2	275
3	Grundy	21	13	5	0	0	2	18	14	1	9	24	53	160
Ē	Harrison	0	14	12	0	0	0	5	2	10	1	10	20	74
ŀ	Mercer	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	5	2	3	3	13	30
- F	Putnam	0	7	3	0	0	0	3	7	5	0	3	16	44
	Atchison	1	2	9	0	0	0	5	41	0	0	8	6	72
H		4	1	0	0	0	0	14	17	0	2	2	9	49
-	Gentry				-	-								
- F	Holt	6	5	2	0	0	0	8	22	0	0	0	9	52
	Nodaway	37	26	26	0	0	0	26	78	25	2	7	35	262
	Worth	11	1	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	1	2	13	46
5	Andrew	0	2	20	0	1	0	37	23	17	1	5	17	123
Γ	Buchanan	21	83	172	2	6	1	254	172	94	16	57	86	964
6	Platte	0	24	18	0	0	2	36	157	17	54	5	35	348
	Clay	1	105	62	0	0	11	304	247	329	94	76	108	1337
	Carroll	7	4	4	0	0	0	73	18	2	2	1	14	125
-	Ray	0	23	47	0	0	1	157	34	9	11	15	35	332
	Chariton	1	4	11	0	0	2	137	9	2	3	15	0	61
-		1	15	11	0	1	4	97	18	71	7	13	10	256
	Linn				-									
	Sullivan	0	11	20	1	0	0	54	6	1	1	13	32	139
-	Marion	3	37	43	0	0	0	63	108	46	6	13	21	340
	Monroe	1	4	15	0	0	1	12	25	2	6	9	8	83
	Ralls	1	11	5	0	0	0	22	22	12	3	6	8	90
11	St. Charles	5	191	162	11	8	46	759	409	74	210	319	497	2691
12	Audrain	0	35	35	1	1	2	62	139	12	19	6	26	338
ľ	Montgomery	0	13	0	0	0	0	125	47	2	7	13	9	216
	Warren	0	40	10	0	0	1	107	108	53	10	63	7	399
	Boone	0	97	709	0	0	228	577	147	338	192	99	125	2512
	Callaway	0	24	68	2	0	31	129	71	65	63	26	42	521
	5	0	24 9	7	0		0	23	28	20			10	
-	Howard				-	1			-		7	6	-	111
	Randolph	0	66	129	1	5	30	160	318	111	73	31	43	967
	Lafayette	0	21	35	0	0	7	115	27	143	16	20	52	436
	Saline	5	14	59	8	0	1	80	40	40	15	12	21	295
16	Jackson	52	1230	587	10	337	114	45	45	234	93	68	785	3600
17	Cass	0	98	37	2	2	0	55	569	264	61	4	57	1149
ľ	Johnson	3	50	36	1	2	2	55	278	64	3	8	13	515
	Cooper	6	7	10	0	0	1	25	45	62	11	7	12	186
	Pettis	0	35	16	0	0	0	245	67	71	25	21	66	546
	Cole	168	75	124	3	2	15	217	204	350	110	18	65	1351
	Franklin	0	82	54	0	3	0	84	204	88	24	21	151	729
	Gasconade	U	02	54			0	31		45		7		143
-		Δ	0	11								/		141
		0	8	11	0	0			19		12		10	
	Osage	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	13	1	0	3	20	46
21	Osage St. Louis Co.	0 77	0 548	1 536	0 522	0 304	0 191	8 1527	13 1058	1 2067	0 1674	3 41	20 3035	46 11580
21 22	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City	0 77 67	0 548 386	1 536 362	0 522 5	0	0 191 212	8 1527 76	13 1058 514	1 2067 438	0 1674 82	3 41 43	20 3035 1257	46 11580 3468
21 22	Osage St. Louis Co.	0 77	0 548	1 536	0 522	0 304	0 191	8 1527	13 1058	1 2067	0 1674	3 41	20 3035	46 11580
21 22 23	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City	0 77 67	0 548 386	1 536 362	0 522 5	0 304 26	0 191 212	8 1527 76	13 1058 514	1 2067 438	0 1674 82	3 41 43	20 3035 1257	46 11580 3468
21 22 23 24	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson	0 77 67 16	0 548 386 440	1 536 362 376	0 522 5 1	0 304 26 1	0 191 212 141	8 1527 76 474	13 1058 514 90	1 2067 438 317	0 1674 82 33	3 41 43 11	20 3035 1257 62	46 11580 3468 1962
21 22 23 24	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois	0 77 67 16 3	0 548 386 440 14 60	1 536 362 376 12	0 522 5 1 0	0 304 26 1 0	0 191 212 141 0	8 1527 76 474 53	13 1058 514 90 28	1 2067 438 317 18 41	0 1674 82 33 1 29	3 41 43 11 4	20 3035 1257 62 12	46 11580 3468 1962 145
21 22 23 24	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve	0 77 67 16 3 30 4	0 548 386 440 14 60 13	1 536 362 376 12 55 5	0 522 5 1 0 0 0	0 304 26 1 0 0 0	0 191 212 141 0 4 0	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22	1 2067 438 317 18 41 41 4	0 1674 82 33 1 29 11	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155
21 22 23 24	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 77 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} $	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 536 \\ 362 \\ 376 \\ 12 \\ 55 \\ 5 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $	0 522 5 1 0 0 0 0	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0	0 191 212 141 0 4 0 2	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39	1 2067 438 317 18 41 4 8	0 1674 82 33 1 29 11 1	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269
21 22 23 24 25	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 77 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 536 \\ 362 \\ 376 \\ 12 \\ 55 \\ 5 \\ 20 \\ 6 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 522 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 0\\ 191\\ 212\\ 141\\ 0\\ 4\\ 0\\ 2\\ 1\\ \end{array} $	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2067 \\ 438 \\ 317 \\ 18 \\ 41 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 6 \end{array} $	0 1674 82 33 1 29 11 1 3	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 58 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110
21 22 23 24 25	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 77 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15 67	$ \begin{array}{r}1\\536\\362\\376\\12\\55\\5\\20\\6\\11\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 522 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} $	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 191 212 141 0 4 0 2 1 3	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6 243	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2 6	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2067 \\ 438 \\ 317 \\ 18 \\ 41 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 81 \\ \end{array} $	0 1674 82 33 1 29 11 1 3 59	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 404 \\ 404 $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13 62	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110 937
21 22 23 24 25	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 77 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15 67 71	$ \begin{array}{r}1\\536\\362\\376\\12\\55\\5\\20\\6\\11\\36\end{array} $	0 522 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 191 212 141 0 4 0 2 1 3 12	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6 243 191	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2 6 23	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2067 \\ 438 \\ 317 \\ 18 \\ 41 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 81 \\ 366 \\ \end{array} $	0 1674 82 33 1 29 11 1 3 59 14	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 404 \\ 361 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13 62 76	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110 937 1151
21 22 23 24 25	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas	0 77 67 16 3 30 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15 67 71 54	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 536 \\ 362 \\ 376 \\ 12 \\ 55 \\ 5 \\ 20 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 36 \\ 23 \\ \end{array} $	0 522 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 191 \\ 212 \\ 141 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 12 \\ 6 \end{array}$	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6 243 191 258	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2 6 23 37	1 2067 438 317 18 41 4 8 6 81 366 26	$ \begin{array}{r} 0\\ 1674\\ 82\\ 33\\ 1\\ 29\\ 11\\ 1\\ 3\\ 59\\ 14\\ 36\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 404 \\ 361 \\ 230 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13 62 76 104	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110 937 1151 776
21 22 23 24 25 25	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas Camden	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 77 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15 67 71 54 41	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 536 \\ 362 \\ 376 \\ 12 \\ 55 \\ 20 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 36 \\ 23 \\ 16 \\ \end{array} $	0 522 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 191 212 141 0 4 0 2 1 3 12	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6 243 191 258 78	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2 6 23	1 2067 438 317 18 41 4 8 6 81 366 26 84	0 1674 82 33 1 29 11 1 3 59 14 36 13	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 404 \\ 361 \\ 230 \\ 55 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13 62 76	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110 937 1151
21 22 23 24 25 25	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas	0 77 67 16 3 30 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15 67 71 54	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 536 \\ 362 \\ 376 \\ 12 \\ 55 \\ 20 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 36 \\ 23 \\ 16 \\ 44 \\ \end{array} $	0 522 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 191 \\ 212 \\ 141 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 12 \\ 6 \end{array}$	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6 243 191 258	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2 6 23 37 39 51	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2067 \\ 438 \\ 317 \\ 18 \\ 41 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 81 \\ 366 \\ 26 \\ 84 \\ 56 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 0\\ 1674\\ 82\\ 33\\ 1\\ 29\\ 11\\ 1\\ 3\\ 59\\ 14\\ 36\\ 13\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 404 \\ 361 \\ 230 \\ 55 \\ 27 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13 62 76 104	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110 937 1151 776
21 22 23 24 25 25 26	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas Camden	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 77 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15 67 71 54 41	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 536 \\ 362 \\ 376 \\ 12 \\ 55 \\ 20 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 36 \\ 23 \\ 16 \\ \end{array} $	0 522 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0	0 304 26 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 191 \\ 212 \\ 141 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 12 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6 243 191 258 78	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2 6 23 37 39	1 2067 438 317 18 41 4 8 6 81 366 26 84	0 1674 82 33 1 29 11 1 3 59 14 36 13	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 404 \\ 361 \\ 230 \\ 55 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13 62 76 104 49	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110 937 1151 776 375
21 22 23 24 25 25	Osage St. Louis Co. St. Louis City Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas Camden Laclede	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 77 \\ 67 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 30 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	0 548 386 440 14 60 13 52 15 67 71 54 41 74	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 536 \\ 362 \\ 376 \\ 12 \\ 55 \\ 20 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 36 \\ 23 \\ 16 \\ 44 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 522 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 304 \\ 26 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 191 \\ 212 \\ 141 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 12 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 9 \end{array}$	8 1527 76 474 53 273 88 134 6 243 191 258 78 134	13 1058 514 90 28 112 22 39 2 6 23 37 39 51	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2067 \\ 438 \\ 317 \\ 18 \\ 41 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 81 \\ 366 \\ 26 \\ 84 \\ 56 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 0\\ 1674\\ 82\\ 33\\ 1\\ 29\\ 11\\ 1\\ 3\\ 59\\ 14\\ 36\\ 13\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 41 \\ 43 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 32 \\ 6 \\ 13 \\ 58 \\ 404 \\ 361 \\ 230 \\ 55 \\ 27 \\ \end{array} $	20 3035 1257 62 12 38 2 0 13 62 76 104 49 62	46 11580 3468 1962 145 674 155 269 110 937 1151 776 375 480

Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

			Ар		. Total	Relei		comes i	by Circu		ounty			·
С	ircuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True in Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	lnf. w/o Sup	Inf. w/ Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
27	Bates	1	22	10	0	0	2	111	80	27	4	13	9	279
	Henry	0	42	9	0	0	2	174	162	15	13	24	13	454
	St. Clair	0	13	3	0	0	0	49	10	1	1	4	2	83
	Barton	2	43	38	0	0	1	210	59	35	2	16	1	407
20	Cedar	8	9	8	0	0	2	105	18	53	3	13	20	239
	Dade	2	3	0	0	0	0	105	4	16	1	6	1	48
	Vernon	0	32	39	0	0	2	136	49	220	18	76	25	597
20	Jasper	13	314	198	11	1	55	315	223	1008	9	24	30	2201
	Benton	0	4	198	0	0	0	77	14	66	11	58	18	2201
30	Dallas	1	22	9	0	0	3	103	14	16	4	72	54	301
		0	9	9	0	0	0	103	2	10	4	15	4	65
	Hickory		37	22	0	0		81	56	94	4	13 72	4 24	397
	Polk	1	37		0	-	0	181	53	83	10 7	14	24	415
21	Webster	2		11	-	1	1							
	Greene	48	301	80	0	9	26	209	281	604	138	99	390	2185
32	Bollinger	0	22	15	0	0	0	0	187	0	2	3	12	241
	Cape Girardeau	0	107	112	1	2	6	16	754	6	23	51	204	1282
	Perry	0	19	28	0	0	12	0	147	0	6	1	7	220
33	Mississippi	0	11	34	1	1	2	52	98	60	5	22	17	303
	Scott	2	71	123	4	3	20	26	34	236	16	45	118	698
34	New Madrid	23	26	7	0	0	0	92	29	3	5	31	9	225
	Pemiscot	32	0	1	0	1	0	36	28	0	0	18	5	121
35	Dunklin	1	82	62	2	0	24	27	175	346	7	83	337	1146
	Stoddard	42	146	43	3	0	73	4	64	90	12	136	118	731
	Butler	26	29	37	1	8	9	108	38	157	18	50	61	542
	Ripley	4	20	14	1	3	3	23	12	52	0	12	25	169
	Carter	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	6	0	2	1	1	24
	Howell	1	50	80	0	0	2	368	109	12	9	51	20	702
	Oregon	0	7	3	0	0	4	49	8	3	2	7	4	87
	Shannon	0	1	6	0	0	0	28	6	1	4	2	3	51
38	Christian	2	73	38	0	2	11	203	150	82	45	90	55	751
	Taney	3	119	31	0	0	19	164	75	15	25	38	78	567
39	Barry	6	66	15	0	0	0	44	30	85	9	35	12	302
	Lawrence	7	53	19	0	0	0	69	58	132	7	54	22	421
	Stone	0	28	13	0	0	1	63	10	47	16	39	47	264
40	McDonald	1	79	5	1	8	17	33	1	13	5	29	43	235
	Newton	0	197	89	10	12	25	105	21	93	13	98	121	784
41	Macon	1	32	27	0	0	0	126	87	8	13	36	63	393
	Shelby	0	34	10	0	0	0	21	30	6	1	8	34	144
	Crawford	0	48	11	0	0	0	18	133	20	8	10	18	266
	Dent	0	16	7	0	0	2	23	69	1	7	82	9	216
	Iron	0	27	3	0	0	0	1	52	0	8	16	63	170
	Reynolds	0	9	7	2	0	0	0	8	0	2	29	0	57
	Wayne	5	1	1	0	0	2	4	12	2	0	7	4	38
	Caldwell	0	9	15	0	0	0	29	28	0	0	0	2	83
-	Clinton	0	7	2	0	0	0	43	34	24	0	0	0	110
	Daviess	0	5	7	0	0	0	19	18	5	0	0	3	57
	DeKalb	0	6	1	1	0	0	17	12	3	0	1	0	41
	Livingston	2	21	31	4	0	3	78	22	41	2	8	3	215
	Douglas	0	8	17	0	0	15	22	20	2	2	6	0	92
	Ozark	0	8	3	0	0	2	3	5	1	1	2	0	25
	Wright	0	28	14	0	0	6	41	42	9	3	16	0	159
	Lincoln	2	83	81	4	2	26	47	68	533	15	27	88	976
	Pike	0	29	12	9	0	1	31	38	6	11	16	49	202
Total		794	6940	5620	630	756	1482	12005	10178	10744	3732	4190	9677	66748
rotal		194	0740	5020	030	750	1402	12003	101/0	10/44	5132	4190	20//	00/40

Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

			P	ppenub	CD. Law	/ Referra		onies by			unity			
		Missing	True Out of Home	True in Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	lnf. w/ Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
	ircuit/County													
	Clark	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	37	0	0	0	0	41
	Schuyler	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	15	0	0	0	0	18
	Scotland	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	14
2	Adair	0	11	14	0	0	8	22	44	16	14	13	12	154
	Knox	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	9
	Lewis	0	0	7	0	0	0	6	26	0	0	1	1	41
3	Grundy	12	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	1	5	1	15	56
	Harrison	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	1	3	16
	Mercer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	1	7
		0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	1	2	10
	Putnam			-	-						-	-		-
4	Atchison	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	0	3	20
	Gentry	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	1	6	14
	Holt	3	0	1	0	0	0	4	10	0	0	0	3	21
	Nodaway	11	2	13	0	0	0	3	27	6	1	2	4	69
	Worth	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	10
5	Andrew	0	2	13	0	1	0	18	18	15	1	4	15	87
	Buchanan	12	41	116	2	6	0	178	135	39	15	40	41	625
	Platte	0	13	16	0	0	2	32	125	11	53	3	26	281
	Clay	0	47	53	0	0	3	299	230	300	92	13	28	1065
	Carroll	1	3	4	0	0	0	37	12	1	2	0	5	65
		0	18	4	0	0	1	117	23	6	10	4	21	240
	Ray				-									
	Chariton	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	3	1	0	10
	Linn	1	3	8	0	1	1	17	11	4	7	6	7	66
	Sullivan	0	4	6	0	0	0	16	5	0	1	1	11	44
10	Marion	2	22	32	0	0	0	47	70	27	3	6	12	221
ı İ	Monroe	0	0	6	0	0	1	10	18	2	5	5	7	54
ı İ	Ralls	0	5	4	0	0	0	15	14	4	2	0	2	46
11	St. Charles	0	75	135	5	1	19	732	372	17	176	167	416	2115
		0	18	29	1	1	1	25	67	4	10	1	10	167
	Montgomery	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	19	0	5	4	2	41
	Warren	0	5	2	0	0	0	37	71	20	7	16	5	163
		-		335	0		76	342	79	128		49	72	103
	Boone	0	20		-	0					116			
	Callaway	0	9	28	0	0	15	91	37	16	40	6	18	260
	Howard	0	1	7	0	0	0	16	19	3	7	0	1	54
	Randolph	0	21	107	1	5	25	48	119	45	53	4	15	443
15	Lafayette	0	10	29	0	0	5	93	17	102	15	13	50	334
1	Saline	5	8	51	5	0	1	60	32	24	11	8	14	219
16	Jackson	16	323	393	10	235	100	45	44	233	93	26	619	2137
17	Cass	0	31	26	2	2	0	36	378	150	51	4	13	693
	Johnson	3	14	25	1	0	0	18	128	11	2	1	6	209
	Cooper	2	4	6	0	0	1	10	26	20	5	2	11	87
	Pettis	0	9	16	0	0	0	162	49	50	24	10	54	374
	Cole	125	40	86	2	1	10	162	160	136	64	7	34	811
	Franklin	0	7	47	0	2	0	60	195	55	19	16	116	517
	Gasconade	0	4	10	0	0	0	27	16	24	6	5	8	100
	Osage	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	8	1	0	3	3	19
	St. Louis Co.	34	187	352	343	187	122	1337	691	1648	1494	24	2070	8489
22				246	4	23	181	29	230	387	82	40	1156	2699
23	St. Louis City	43	178	346	Ŧ									4440
	St. Louis City Jefferson	43 1	178 131	268	0	0	95	310	69	217	32	8	17	1148
24						0	95 0	310 19	69 17	217 6	32 0	8 2	17 8	1148 74
	Jefferson Madison	1 2	131 8	268 12	0			19	17		0	2		
	Jefferson Madison St. Francois	1 2 23	131 8 30	268 12 51	0 0 0	0	0	19 191	17 66	6 25	0 29	2 22	8 28	74 466
	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve	1 2 23 1	131 8 30 3	268 12 51 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	19 191 54	17 66 17	6 25 3	0 29 8	2 22 2	8 28 2	74 466 95
	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington	1 23 1 0	131 8 30 3 15	268 12 51 5 13	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	19 191 54 86	17 66 17 24	6 25 3 6	0 29 8 1	2 22 2 6	8 28 2 0	74 466 95 152
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries	1 23 1 0 0	131 8 30 3 15 2	268 12 51 5 13 4	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1	19 191 54 86 3	17 66 17 24 1	6 25 3 6 2	0 29 8 1 2	2 22 2 6 1	8 28 2 0 3	74 466 95 152 19
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps	1 23 1 0 0 0	131 8 30 3 15 2 17	268 12 51 5 13 4 7	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1	19 191 54 86 3 119	17 66 17 24 1 3	6 25 3 6 2 15	0 29 8 1 2 25	2 22 2 6 1 11	8 28 2 0 3 24	74 466 95 152 19 222
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski	1 23 1 0 0 0 0	131 8 30 3 15 2 17 8	268 12 51 5 13 4 7 30	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 5	19 191 54 86 3 119 89	17 66 17 24 1 3 15	6 25 3 6 2 15 83	0 29 8 1 2 25 10	2 22 6 1 11 23	8 28 2 0 3 24 34	74 466 95 152 19 222 297
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas	1 23 1 0 0 0	131 8 30 3 15 2 17 8 4	268 12 51 5 13 4 7 30 14	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1	19 191 54 86 3 119 89 33	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 66 \\ 17 \\ 24 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 15 \\ 26 \\ \end{array} $	6 25 3 6 2 15 83 3	0 29 8 1 25 10 15	2 22 6 1 11 23 17	8 28 2 0 3 24 34 24	74 466 95 152 19 222
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas	1 23 1 0 0 0 0	131 8 30 3 15 2 17 8	268 12 51 5 13 4 7 30	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 5	19 191 54 86 3 119 89	17 66 17 24 1 3 15	6 25 3 6 2 15 83	0 29 8 1 2 25 10	2 22 6 1 11 23	8 28 2 0 3 24 34	74 466 95 152 19 222 297
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 23 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ $	131 8 30 3 15 2 17 8 4	268 12 51 5 13 4 7 30 14	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 5 2	19 191 54 86 3 119 89 33	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 66 \\ 17 \\ 24 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 15 \\ 26 \\ \end{array} $	6 25 3 6 2 15 83 3	0 29 8 1 25 10 15	2 22 6 1 11 23 17	8 28 2 0 3 24 34 24	74 466 95 152 19 222 297 138
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas Camden	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\$	131 8 30 3 15 2 17 8 4 14	268 12 51 5 13 4 7 30 14 16	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 1 5 2 0	19 191 54 86 3 119 89 33 39	17 66 17 24 1 3 15 26 27	6 25 3 6 2 15 83 3 47	0 29 8 1 2 5 10 15 10	2 22 6 1 11 23 17 14	8 28 2 0 3 24 34 24 40	74 466 95 152 19 222 297 138 207
25	Jefferson Madison St. Francois St. Genevieve Washington Maries Phelps Pulaski Texas Camden Laclede	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\$	131 8 30 3 15 2 17 8 4 14 9	$ \begin{array}{r} 268\\ 12\\ 51\\ 5\\ 13\\ 4\\ 7\\ 30\\ 14\\ 16\\ 31\\ \end{array} $	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 5 2 0 3	19 191 54 86 3 119 89 33 39 40	17 66 17 24 1 3 15 26 27 34	6 25 3 6 2 15 83 3 47 22	0 29 8 1 25 10 15 10 17	2 22 6 1 11 23 17 14 5	8 28 2 0 3 24 34 24 40 31	74 466 95 152 19 222 297 138 207 192

	Арр	endix B	: Law R	Referral C	Dutcom	ies by C	ircuit ar	d Coun	ty

	1	Арр		. Law R		Juicon	es by C	ircuit an	la Couri	ly			
Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True in Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	lnf. w/o Sup	lnf. w/ Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
27 Bates	1	2	4	0	0	0	15	37	7	3	2	7	78
Henry	0	4	2	0	0	1	24	66	3	9	3	9	121
St. Clair	0	3	2	0	0	0	10	5	0	1	0	2	23
28 Barton	1	24	31	0	0	1	125	47	4	2	6	0	241
Cedar	2	8	8	0	0	1	51	11	10	3	1	8	103
Dade	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	3	0	1	1	14
Vernon	0	13	27	0	0	2	42	29	134	11	34	14	300
29 Jasper	9	88	107	9	0	35	88	139	402	8	18	28	93
30 Benton	0	1	12	0	0	0	46	11	31	10	10	12	13
Dallas	0	1	7	0	0	2	86	17	15	3	10	19	16
Hickory	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	2	6	4	0	2	21
Polk	1	12	18	0	0	0	52	23	70	6	22	11	21
Webster	2	12	5	0	1	0	116	32	48	6	8	15	24
31 Greene	40	37	79	0	6	10	150	243	385	123	20	277	137
32 Bollinger	0	5	14	0	0	0	0	122	0	2	3	4	157
	0	42	14	1	0	0	12	642	4	20	36	4 54	92
Cape Girardeau	0	42	28	0	0	4	0	642 85	4	20 5	30	54 7	13
Perry	0		28 34	1	0		40	85 78	45	5		17	
33 Mississippi	-	10	-	-		2			-	-	14	-	24
Scott	1	30	42	4	2	8	20	27	87	10	25	82	33
34 New Madrid	17	4	7	0	0	0	49	18 24	3	5	5	5	11.
Pemiscot	18	0	1		1	0	21			-	4	-	74
35 Dunklin	1	2	46	0	0	15	14	13	84	4	9	30	218
Stoddard	25	6	28	0	0	30	3	19	54	10	17	27	21
36 Butler	17	9	29	0	6	4	69	30	87	10	25	44	33
Ripley	2	0	9	0	1	0	16	12	27	0	5	16	88
37 Carter	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	6
Howell	1	4	48	0	0	1	34	40	2	7	3	15	15
Oregon	0	1	2	0	0	3	5	6	0	2	2	2	23
Shannon	0	0	5	0	0	0	9	0	1	4	1	3	23
38 Christian	1	21	26	0	0	10	133	100	35	31	15	37	409
Taney	0	16	15	0	0	6	98	53	12	23	12	71	30
39 Barry	4	15	13	0	0	0	35	26	41	8	3	7	152
Lawrence	4	3	19	0	0	0	35	45	73	4	9	15	20
Stone	0	3	13	0	0	1	50	9	10	12	4	41	14.
40 McDonald	1	11	4	1	1	2	27	1	9	3	7	31	98
Newton	0	44	59	10	0	4	90	18	74	9	33	84	42:
41 Macon	0	7	18	0	0	0	40	41	3	9	4	4	12
Shelby	0	10	5	0	0	0	7	10	0	0	0	4	36
42 Crawford	0	12	7	0	0	0	10	79	14	8	10	13	15.
Dent	0	9	0	0	0	0	19	56	0	6	0	6	96
Iron	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	13	0	5	5	8	34
Reynolds	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	13
Wayne	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	2	0	0	4	21
43 Caldwell	0	3	9	0	0	0	15	18	0	0	0	1	46
Clinton	0	3	2	0	0	0	24	26	7	0	0	0	62
Daviess	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	9	1	0	0	0	19
DeKalb	0	2	1	1	0	0	9	10	0	0	1	0	24
Livingston	1	15	21	3	0	1	45	13	16	2	2	0	11
44 Douglas	0	1	17	0	0	3	11	14	2	2	3	0	53
Ozark	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	0	0	10
Wright	0	8	11	0	0	1	31	22	6	3	0	0	82
45 Lincoln	2	16	39	1	1	14	39	48	61	6	14	59	30
Pike	0	3	9	0	0	0	12	33	2	8	14	23	104
1	460	1917	3869	407	486	845	7108	6409	5860	3077	1052	6293	3778

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes b	by Circuit and County
--	-----------------------

			Appendix	0. 014		lena	Outco	ines by	Oncur		Junty			
	Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True in Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	lnf. w/o Sup	lnf. w/ Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
			- U						<u> </u>					 90
1	Clark	0	4	1	0	0	0	11		0	0	0	0	
	Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	14	0	0	0	0	30
	Scotland	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	15	0	0	0	0	22
2	Adair	0	2	0	0	0	1	114	62	83	15	39	1	317
	Knox	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	21	0	0	3	1	28
	Lewis	0	10	2	0	0	1	73	104	6	1	17	1	215
3	Grundy	3	1	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	3	5	3	21
	Harrison	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	12
	Mercer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	1	8
	Putnam	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	0	0	11
4	Atchison	0	1	6	0	0	0	4	24	0	0	2	1	38
	Gentry	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	0	1	1	3	23
	Holt	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	8	0	0	0	0	14
	Nodaway	8	0	1	0	0	0	12	37	14	1	2	11	86
	Worth	6	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	0	1	1	7	29
5	Andrew	0	0	7	0	0	0	19	5	2	0	1	2	36
5	Buchanan	9	10	52	0	0	1	75	37	53	1	17	17	272
6	Platte	9	2	2	0	0	0	4	37	6	1	0	9	56
		0	7	5	0	0	0	5	17	18	2	3	9	57
	Clay	0	0	5 0	0	0	0	31		18	0	3	5	43
8	Carroll	-		÷	-	-	-	-	6			-	-	-
	Ray	0	3	7	0	0	0	31	9	2	1	3	1	57
9	Chariton	0	0	2	0	0	2	13	5	2	0	4	0	28
	Linn	0	3	5	0	0	3	80	6	66	0	4	3	170
<u> </u>	Sullivan	0	2	9	1	0	0	37	1	1	0	3	16	70
10	Marion	1	4	10	0	0	0	14	38	12	3	1	7	90
	Monroe	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	7	0	1	1	1	13
	Ralls	1	1	1	0	0	0	6	7	8	1	0	5	30
11	St. Charles	1	37	22	3	1	1	27	37	54	25	152	67	427
12	Audrain	0	3	4	0	0	0	29	63	2	7	1	8	117
	Montgomery	0	2	0	0	0	0	82	26	2	2	6	7	127
	Warren	0	2	0	0	0	0	51	31	32	2	6	2	126
13	Boone	0	14	340	0	0	137	199	65	192	67	42	35	1091
	Callaway	0	1	17	1	0	10	37	30	40	19	12	8	175
14	Howard	0	3	0	0	0	0	7	9	17	0	2	4	42
	Randolph	0	7	22	0	0	1	82	186	35	12	12	6	363
15	Lafayette	0	4	5	0	0	0	22	10	41	1	5	2	90
10	Saline	0	0	8	3	0	0	20	8	16	4	4	5	68
16	Jackson	0	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	10	22
	Cass	0	14	8	0	0	0	14	157	93	9	0	3	298
17	Johnson	0	7	6	0	0	0	14	114	10	1	7	4	163
10	Cooper	0	3	4	0	0	0	14	114	41	5	2	1	88
10		0	0	4	0	0	0	82	18	20	1	10	6	137
10	Pettis Cole	31		-		0	0	43	34	62	31	5		
			11	33	1		-						10	261
20	Franklin	0	3	5	0	0	0	24	27	33	5	5	35	137
	Gasconade	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	3	21	6	2	2	40
<u> </u>	Osage	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	17	27
	St. Louis Co.	2	5	12	55	7	6	85	247	338	135	17	629	1538
		2	2	1	0	0	0	29	166	23	0	0	74	297
	Jefferson	0	12	61	0	0	14	148	21	99	0	1	2	358
24	Madison	0	1	0	0	0	0	34	6	12	1	2	4	60
	St. Francois	6	4	4	0	0	0	79	46	16	0	5	10	170
	St. Genevieve	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	5	1	3	3	0	43
L	Washington	0	2	7	0	0	1	41	14	2	0	4	0	71
25	Maries	0	2	2	0	0	0	3	1	4	1	10	5	28
	Phelps	0	3	2	0	0	0	123	3	66	27	33	15	272
	Pulaski	0	8	6	0	0	1	102	8	283	2	44	18	472
	Texas	0	10	7	0	0	0	210	11	9	13	62	52	374
26	Camden	0	7	0	0	0	0	18	5	19	2	11	7	69
	Laclede	0	12	9	0	0	0	28	8	17	1	8	21	104
	Miller	0	3	0	0	0	0	48	4	12	0	10	1	78
	Moniteau	0	2	1	0	0	0	7	0	3	0	0	0	13
		0	3	2	0	0	0	20	1	3 7	0	2	0	36
	Morgan	U	3	2	U	U	U	20	1	/	1	2	U	30

Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County
--

			, ibberrain								Janey			
	Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True in Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	lnf. w/ Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
27	Bates	0	5	1	0	0	0	39	29	9	1	4	2	90
	Henry	0	10	1	0	0	0	76	57	5	4	7	2	162
	St. Clair	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	5	0	0	0	0	24
20	Barton	0	8	2	0	0	0	16	12	2	0	2	0	42
20		3	0	0	0	0	1	38	7	5	0	1	3	58
	Cedar		-	0	-			8						16
	Dade	1	0	-	0	0	0		2	1	1	3	0	
	Vernon	0	9	12	0	0	0	15	18	57	3	21	1	136
_	Jasper	2	33	39	2	0	5	68	83	174	1	5	0	412
30	Benton	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	2	26	0	10	4	65
	Dallas	1	1	1	0	0	1	17	0	0	1	9	17	48
	Hickory	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	1	1	12
	Polk	0	5	3	0	0	0	22	4	18	3	11	1	67
	Webster	0	6	6	0	0	1	47	14	17	1	1	3	96
31	Greene	8	0	0	0	0	0	59	38	213	13	7	102	440
32	Bollinger	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	2	37
	Cape Girardeau	0	4	3	0	0	0	4	112	2	3	14	75	217
	Perry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	1	0	0	37
33	Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	20	5	0	7	0	44
	Scott	1	2	49	0	0	4	6	7	104	5	15	27	220
34	New Madrid	3	0	0	0	0	0	41	9	0	0	11	3	67
	Pemiscot	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	4	0	0	14	0	33
35	Dunklin	0	0	2	1	0	0	4	20	48	0	5	2	82
	Stoddard	6	1	9	0	0	11	1	11	33	0	17	11	100
	Butler	1	2	7	1	2	0	27	8	55	5	6	5	119
	Ripley	1	4	5	1	2	0	6	0	19	0	1	2	41
	Carter	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	4	0	1	1	0	18
51	Howell	0	15	30	0	0	1	280	69	5	2	23	5	430
		0	0	1	0	0	0	43	2	2	0	4	2	430 54
	Oregon Shannon	0	0	1	0	0	0	19	6	0	0	4	0	26
20		1	17	11	0			58	44	38	10	10	7	197
38	Christian	-			-	0	1						-	
	Taney	0	15	1	0	0	3	65	22	1	2	14	5	128
39	Barry	2	1	0	0	0	0	9	4	28	1	5	2	52
	Lawrence	0	3	0	0	0	0	20	13	32	3	8	2	81
	Stone	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	1	6	3	4	6	33
40	McDonald	0	1	1	0	0	1	6	0	4	0	9	9	31
	Newton	0	11	8	0	0	2	15	3	14	3	23	30	109
41	Macon	0	2	2	0	0	0	79	19	1	4	9	1	117
	Shelby	0	6	3	0	0	0	14	9	6	1	3	2	44
42	Crawford	0	9	3	0	0	0	8	54	6	0	0	5	85
	Dent	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	1	0	1	3	22
	Iron	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	37	0	1	0	1	44
	Reynolds	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	9
	Wayne	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	8
43	Caldwell	0	1	6	0	0	0	14	10	0	0	0	0	31
	Clinton	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	8	17	0	0	0	45
	Daviess	0	2	3	0	0	0	15	9	0	0	0	0	29
	DeKalb	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	2	3	0	0	0	14
	Livingston	1	4	10	1	0	2	32	8	24	0	5	3	90
	Douglas	0	2	0	0	0	1	11	6	0	0	2	0	22
	Ozark	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4
	Wright	0	3	0	0	0	1	10	20	3	0	3	0	4
45	-	0	11	10	0	0	3	8	20	275	8	3 7	22	364
	Lincoln	0	0		-	0			20 5				12	
	Pike	-		3	0	-	1	11		2	3	2		39
Total		106	456	946	72	13	218	3684	2867	3170	502	900	1545	14479

Appendix D: C	CA/N Referral	Outcomes by	Circuit and	County

				.y	County	uit anu	Jy Circi	omesi		Referra	D. CA/N		Ah			
I Clark 0 6 1 0 1 0 10 22 0 0 0 0 Schulpt 0	Reject Total	1	Frans. Other Agency	Court	Frans. Other	Vo Action	nf. w/ Sup	nf. w/o Sup	Dismiss	Vot True	lrue No Service	lrue in Home	Frue Out of Home	Missing	Circuit/County	C
Schwyler 0<																
Scalard 2 0 </td <td>-</td> <td></td>	-															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-			-			-	-		-			,	
Kox 0 7 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 3 0 9 17 Mercer 0 1 2 0												-				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-							-							2
	0 7	(0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	Knox	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0 19	(12		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	Lewis	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	35 83	3	18		1	0	0	4	2	0	0	5	12	6	Grundy	3
							-								,	5
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-		-													
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$									-		-					
					-				-			-		-		
Holt 1 5 0 0 0 1 4 0 0 0 6 Nadaway 18 24 12 0 0 11 14 5 0 3 20 Worth 3 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 20 0 20 0 20 0 <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>4</td>		_			-				-				1	0		4
Nodaway 18 24 12 0 0 11 14 5 0 3 20 Worth 3 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 0 Buchanan 0 32 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 </td <td>0 12</td> <td>(</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>Gentry</td> <td></td>	0 12	(0		0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	Gentry	
	6 17	(0		0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	Holt	
	20 107	2	3		0	5	14	11	0	0	0	12	24	18	Nodaway	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$									-							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$											-					5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									-							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-	-			-				-		-			-		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					-						-					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$															Carroll	8
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	13 35	1	8		0	1	2	9	0	0	0	0	2	0	Ray	
Sullivan 0 5 5 0 0 0 1 0	0 23	1	10		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	4	0	Chariton	9
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0 20	1	3		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	9	0	Linn	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-															
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					-				-					-		10
Rails 0 5 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 6 1 11 St. Charles 4 79 5 3 6 26 0 0 3 9 0 14 12 Audrain 0 14 2 0 0 1 8 9 6 2 4 8 Montgomery 0 10 0 0 0 33 2 0 0 3 0 Waren 0 63 34 0 0 15 36 1 1 41 0 13 Boone 0 63 34 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 4 8 16 14 Howard 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 3 16 12 1 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td>									-							10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-				-	-	-				-				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			-		-	3			26		3				St. Charles	11
	8 54	1	4		2	6	9	8	1	0	0	2	14	0	Audrain	12
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0 48	(3		0	0	2	33	0	0	0	0	10	0	Montgomery	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0 110	1	41		1	1	6	19	1	0	0	8	33	0	Warren	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	18 204	1	8		9	18	3	36	15	0	0	34	63	0	Boone	13
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$										-	-			-		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						-			-					-		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																
Saline 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 16 Jackson 36 898 193 0 101 14 0 1 0 0 42 156 17 Cass 0 53 3 0 0 2 23 36 43 0 0 3 18 Cooper 4 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 3 0 Pettis 0 26 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 6 17 20 Franklin 0 72 2 0 1 0 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>		-									-			-	1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$														-		15
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					0	0	0	0	0		0	-				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	156 144	12	42		0	0	1	0	14	101	0	193	898	36	Jackson	16
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	41 158	4	0		1	21	34	5	0	0	0	3	53	0	Cass	17
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3 143		0		0	43	36	23	2	2	0	5	29	0		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-														A	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$																
Gasconade 0 3 0																
21 St. Louis Co. 41 356 172 124 110 63 105 120 81 45 0 336 22 St. Louis City 22 206 15 1 3 31 18 118 28 0 3 27 23 Jefferson 15 297 47 1 1 322 16 0 1 1 2 43 24 Madison 1 5 0 0 0 0 5 0						-	-									
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	336 1553	32	0	5	45	81	120	105	63	110	124	172	356	41	St. Louis Co.	21
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	27 472	2	3		0	28	118	18	31	3	1	15	206	22	St. Louis City	22
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		4	2		1		0	16		1	1	47	297			_
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		-				-	-		-			-				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						-										
Phelps 0 47 2 1 0 2 1 0 0 7 360 23 Pulaski 0 55 0 1 0 6 0 0 2 294 24 Texas 0 40 2 1 1 4 15 0 14 8 151 28 26 Camden 0 20 0 0 0 21 7 18 1 30 2 Laclede 0 55 0 0 0 1 8 9 13 3 25 12 Moniteau 0 8 0 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9															-	
Pulaski 0 55 0 1 0 6 0 0 2 294 24 Texas 0 40 2 1 1 4 15 0 14 8 151 28 26 Camden 0 20 0 0 0 21 7 18 1 30 2 Laclede 0 53 4 2 0 6 66 9 17 3 14 10 Miller 0 55 0 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9						-								-		
Texas 0 40 2 1 1 4 15 0 14 8 151 28 26 Camden 0 20 0 0 0 21 7 18 1 30 2 Laclede 0 53 4 2 0 6 66 9 17 3 14 10 Miller 0 55 0 0 0 1 8 9 13 3 25 12 Moniteau 0 8 0 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9					7	0	0	1	2	0	1	2		0	Phelps	
Texas 0 40 2 1 1 4 15 0 14 8 151 28 26 Camden 0 20 0 0 0 21 7 18 1 30 2 Laclede 0 53 4 2 0 6 66 9 17 3 14 10 Miller 0 55 0 0 0 1 8 9 13 3 25 12 Moniteau 0 8 0 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9	24 382	2	294		2	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	55	0	Pulaski	
26 Camden 0 20 0 0 0 0 21 7 18 1 30 2 Laclede 0 53 4 2 0 6 66 9 17 3 14 10 Miller 0 55 0 0 0 1 8 9 13 3 25 12 Moniteau 0 8 0 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9			151		8	14	0	15	4	1	1	2		0		
Laclede 0 53 4 2 0 6 66 9 17 3 14 10 Miller 0 55 0 0 0 1 8 9 13 3 25 12 Moniteau 0 8 0 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9																_
Miller 0 55 0 0 0 1 8 9 13 3 25 12 Moniteau 0 8 0 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9							-		-							
Moniteau 0 8 0 0 3 13 1 13 0 0 9							-									
Morgan 0 5 0 0 1 4 11 11 5 2 0 9	9 48	9	0		2	5	11	11	4	1	0	0	5	0	Morgan	

Appendix D: CA/N Referral	Outcomes by Circuit and County	
Appondix D. Or vit Rotorial	outconnoo by onount and oounty	

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7 14 4 8 11 2 21 1 38 53 14 39 5	top top 0 0 2 0 0 1 9 0 10 2 2 2	III 111 171 36 124 78 18 155 \$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 14 4 8 11 2 21 1 38 53 14 39 5	0 2 0 1 9 0 10 2	111 171 36 124 78 18 155
Henry 0 28 6 0 1 74 39 7 0 St. Clair 0 7 1 0 0 0 23 0 1 0 28 Barton 1 11 5 0 0 0 69 0 29 0 Cedar 3 1 0 0 0 16 0 38 0 Dade 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 12 0 Vernon 0 10 0 0 0 7 22 29 4 29 Jasper 2 193 52 0 1 15 19 1 432 0 30 Benton 0 3 0 0 0 0 1 0 Dallas 0 20 1 0 0 0 1 0 1	14 4 8 11 2 11 2 1 38 53 14 39 5	2 0 1 9 0 10 2	171 36 124 78 18 155
St. Clair 0 7 1 0 0 23 0 1 0 28 Barton 1 11 5 0 0 0 69 0 29 0 Cedar 3 1 0 0 0 0 16 0 38 0 Dade 0 3 0 0 0 0 1 0 12 0 Vernon 0 10 0 0 0 79 2 29 4 29 Jasper 2 193 52 0 1 15 159 1 432 0 30 Benton 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 Polk 0 20 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 Polk 0 20 0 0 0 0 18 7 18 <	4 8 11 2 21 1 38 53 14 39 5 1	0 1 9 0 10 2	36 124 78 18 155
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8 11 2 1 1 38 53 1 14 39 5 1	1 9 0 10 2	124 78 18 155
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11 2 21 1 38 3 53 1 14 39 5 1	9 0 10 2	78 18 155
Dade 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 12 0 Vernon 0 10 0 0 0 0 79 2 29 4 29 Jasper 2 193 52 0 1 15 159 1 432 0 30 Benton 0 3 0 0 0 0 8 1 9 1 Dallas 0 20 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 Hickory 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 Polk 0 20 1 0 0 0 18 7 18 0 31 Greene 0 264 1 0 3 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 1 1 38 53 1 14 39 1 5 5	0 10 2	18 155
Vernon 0 10 0 0 0 79 2 29 4 29 Jasper 2 193 52 0 1 15 159 1 432 0 30 Benton 0 3 0 0 0 0 8 1 9 1 1 Dallas 0 20 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 Hickory 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 1 Webster 0 20 0 0 0 0 18 7 18 0 31 Greene 0 264 1 0 3 16 0<	21 1 1 38 53 1 14 39 5 5	10 2	155
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 38 38 1 53 1 14 39 5 1	2	
30 Benton 0 3 0 0 0 0 8 1 9 1 Dallas 0 20 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 <	38 53 14 39 1 5 1		050
Dallas 0 20 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 Hickory 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 Polk 0 20 1 0 0 0 7 29 6 1 Webster 0 20 0 0 0 0 18 7 18 0 31 Greene 0 264 1 0 3 16 0 0 6 2 32 Bollinger 0 17 0 0 0 0 31 0 0 Perry 0 12 0 0 0 8 0 26 0 0 33 Mississippi 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 1 0 Scott 0 39 32 0 1 8 0 0	53 1 14 1 39 1 5 1	2	858
Hickory 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 Polk 0 20 1 0 0 0 7 29 6 1 Webster 0 20 0 0 0 0 18 7 18 0 31 Greene 0 264 1 0 3 16 0 0 6 2 32 Bollinger 0 177 0 0 0 0 0 31 0 0 23 Bollinger 0 177 0 0 0 0 0 31 0 0 Cape Girardeau 0 61 0 0 1 5 0 0 0 0 Perry 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Scott	14 39 1 5		62
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39 1 5	18	93
	5	1	32
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12	115
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	72	6	74
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	11	375
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0	6	54
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1 7	75	143
33 Mississippi 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 Scott 0 39 32 0 1 8 0 0 45 1 34 New Madrid 3 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 Pemiscot 14 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 35 Dunklin 0 80 14 1 0 9 9 142 214 3 36 Butler 8 18 1 0 0 5 12 0 15 3		0	46
Scott 0 39 32 0 1 8 0 0 45 1 34 New Madrid 3 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 Pemiscot 14 0<	1	0	12
34 Pemiscot New Madrid 3 22 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 35 Dunklin 0 80 14 1 0 9 9 142 214 3 35 Stoddard 11 139 6 3 0 32 0 34 3 2 1 36 Butler 8 18 1 0 0 5 12 0 15 3	5	9	140
Pemiscot 14 0 15 3 0 36 Butler 8 18 1 0 0 5 12 0 15 3 0 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	15	1	45
35 Dunklin 0 80 14 1 0 9 9 142 214 3 Stoddard 11 139 6 3 0 32 0 34 3 2 1 36 Butler 8 18 1 0 0 5 12 0 15 3		0	14
Stoddard 11 139 6 3 0 32 0 34 3 2 1 36 Butler 8 18 1 0 0 5 12 0 15 3		305	846
36 Butler 8 18 1 0 0 5 12 0 15 3		80	412
		12	93
Ripley 1 16 0 0 3 1 0 6 0		7	40
		0	117
Oregon 0 6 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0		0	10
Shannon 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 </td <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td>		0	2
		11	145
		2	143
		3	98
		5	133
		0	88
		3	106 250
		7	
		58	150
		28	64
42 Crawford 0 27 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	0	28
		0	98
		54	92
	-	0	35
		0	9
43 Caldwell 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	1	6
Clinton 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	3
Daviess 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0		3	9
DeKalb 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	0	3
Livingston 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 0		0	6
44 Douglas 0 5 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0		0	17
Ozark 0 7 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0		0	11
υ ματικά μ		0	37
45 Lincoln 0 56 32 3 1 9 0 0 197 1	6	7	312
Pike 0 26 0 9 0 0 8 0 2 0			
Total 228 4567 805 151 257 419 1213 902 1714 153 2	0 1	14	59

Appendix E: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Cine			r -			-	Chatura		Tatal
-	uit/County	Misc.	People	Property	Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Total
1 Clark		6	22	7	0	6	90	40	171
Schu		0	10	6	0	2	30	50	98
Scotl		3	4	6	0	1	22	72	108
2 Adaii	r	50	36	46	3	19	317	93	564
Knox	ĸ	2	1	6	0	0	28	7	44
Lewi	is	15	10	9	0	7	215	19	275
3 Grun	ıdy	8	16	28	2	2	21	83	160
Harri	ison	1	6	7	1	1	12	46	74
Merc	er	3	0	2	0	2	8	15	30
Putna		1	3	4	2	0	11	23	44
4 Atchi		4	5	4	0	7	38	14	72
Gent		2	1	6	1	4	23	12	49
Holt		7	4	6	1	3	14	12	52
		11	11	33	2	12	86	107	262
Noda									
Wort		2	1	6	0	1	29	7	46
5 Andr		19	16	35	9	8	36	0	123
Buch		107	122	211	119	66	272	67	964
6 Platte		29	54	150	10	38	56	11	348
7 Clay		97	218	555	55	140	57	215	1337
8 Carro	oll	10	12	26	12	5	43	17	125
Ray		30	110	47	9	44	57	35	332
9 Chari	iton	1	1	3	0	5	28	23	61
Linn		5	18	31	0	12	170	20	256
Sulliv		4	10	24	0	6	70	25	139
10 Marie		60	44	68	25	24	90	29	340
Mon		6	15	19	9	5	13	16	83
Ralls		8	5	21	9	3	30	10	90
11 St. C		356	339	921	135	364	427	149	2691
12 Audr		41	33	80	1	12	117	54	338
	tgomery	4	10	26	0	1	127	48	216
Warr		26	35	61	4	37	126	110	399
13 Boon	ne	76	322	554	170	95	1091	204	2512
Calla	iway	61	63	81	12	43	175	86	521
14 Howa	ard	2	17	13	5	17	42	15	111
Rand	lolph	34	84	218	86	21	363	161	967
15 Lafay	yette	58	84	145	16	31	90	12	436
Salin		37	63	100	8	11	68	8	295
16 Jacks		629	520	795	53	140	22	1441	3600
17 Cass		174	135	311	17	56	298	158	1149
Johns		38	50	89	3	29	163	143	515
18 Coop		6	28	45	2	6	88	145	186
Pettis		- 0 - 76	79	157	23	39	137	35	546
					122	39 99			
19 Cole		71	242	277			261	279	1351
20 Frank		39	122	233	64	59	137	75	729
	onade	2	34	43	3	18	40	3	143
Osag		2	7	4	0	6	27	0	46
21 St. Lo		1828	1756	3866	349	690	1538	1553	11580
22 St. Le	ouis City	427	730	1276	138	128	297	472	3468
23 Jeffer		243	395	322	30	158	358	456	1962
24 Madi		6	29	29	2	8	60	11	145
St. F1	rancois	39	221	127	47	32	170	38	674
	Genevieve	16	32	29	11	7	43	17	155
	hington	16	93	28	2	13	71	46	269
25 Marie		1	6	11	0	1	28	63	110
Phelp		34	89	71	1	27	272	443	937
Pulas		26	128	84	14	45	472	382	1151
		8	52	50	3	25	374	264	776
Texa:		8 52	51	59	13	32	69	204 99	375
26 Came									
Lacle		34	74	69 45	2	13	104	184	480
Mille		7	46	45	2	37	78	126	341
Moni		3	10	22	0	8	13	47	103
1	gan	6	39	27	6	21	36	48	183

Appendix E: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Misc.	People	Property	eferrals by Circuit Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Tota
27 Bates	2	31	33	3	9	90	111	279
	5	43	35	4	34	162	171	454
Henry St. Clair	2	43 9	<u> </u>	0	34	24	36	83
	128	-	-					407
28 Barton		50	57	1	5	42	124	
Cedar	27	24	37	10	5	58	78	239
Dade	3	2	4	2	3	16	18	48
Vernon	74	121	75	12	24	136	155	597
29 Jasper	173	184	331	103	140	412	858	2201
30 Benton	17	55	44	4	13	65	62	260
Dallas	21	48	50	20	21	48	93	301
Hickory	5	2	7	1	6	12	32	65
Polk	10	74	90	20	21	67	115	397
Webster	27	90	73	21	34	96	74	415
31 Greene	62	581	557	41	129	440	375	218
32 Bollinger	111	14	14	0	11	37	54	241
Cape Girardeau	462	120	236	57	47	217	143	1282
Perry	11	39	54	12	21	37	46	220
33 Mississippi	21	91	46	41	48	44	12	303
Scott	70	84	114	29	41	220	140	698
34 New Madrid	10	10	45	30	18	67	45	225
Pemiscot	5	29	28	3	9	33	14	121
35 Dunklin	30	61	74	35	18	82	846	114
Stoddard	34	64	66	20	35	100	412	731
36 Butler	22	132	118	13	45	119	93	542
	8	45	22	3	10	41	40	169
Ripley	8	43	1	1	10	18	40	24
37 Carter							-	
Howell	31	28	83	0	13	430	117	702
Oregon	6	5	12	0	0	54	10	87
Shannon	4	3	11	0	5	26	2	51
38 Christian	30	102	181	18	78	197	145	751
Taney	9	115	133	14	35	128	133	567
39 Barry	20	31	69	5	27	52	98	302
Lawrence	19	69	91	9	19	81	133	421
Stone	22	44	52	1	24	33	88	264
40 McDonald	9	33	43	5	8	31	106	235
Newton	77	106	181	32	29	109	250	784
41 Macon	24	25	54	13	10	117	150	393
Shelby	8	12	13	1	2	44	64	144
42 Crawford	24	44	47	2	36	85	28	266
Dent	9	44	27	1	15	22	98	216
Iron	1	19	10	0	4	44	92	170
Reynolds	1	5	5	2	0	9	35	57
Wayne	3	3	10	0	5	8	9	38
43 Caldwell	3	16	22	2	3	31	6	83
Clinton	7	22	24	1	8	45	3	110
Daviess	4	7	6	0	2	29	9	57
DeKalb	3	8	11	0	2	14	3	41
Livingston	31	29	50	2	7	90	6	215
44 Douglas	5	16	16	5	11	22	17	92
Ozark	2	10	3	1	3	4	17	25
	5	42	23	7	5	4	37	159
Wright								
45 Lincoln	40	93	136	7	24	364	312	976
Pike	9	40	44	5	6	39	59	202

Appendix F: Referrals by Type	, Level, Circuit, and County
-------------------------------	------------------------------

			Ар	penab	к г. ке	terrals by	утур	be, Leve	ei, Circu	it, and C	Jounty		-		
		- ≥	λ	<u>ک</u>	λ	2	ы		د .	۲.	Ξ.	<u>.</u>		<u>د</u>	
		Other Felony	Felony A	Felony B	Felony C	Felony D	Infrac	>	Other Misd.	Misd.	Misd.	Misd.	σ	Other	Total
Circ	cuit/County	Ot Fe	Рe	ъв	C Fe	Fe D	Inf	vnl	Q		Ξ		Ord	ot	To
1	Clark	0	0	1	0	1	2	130	5	2	4	23	3	0	171
	Schuyler	0	0	0	1	3	0	80	2	4	2	6	0	0	98
	Scotland	0	0	0	1	0	0	94	0	11	0	2	0	0	108
2	Adair	0	0	6	12	4	0	447	6	33	17	33	6	0	564
	Knox	0	0	0	4	1	0	36	0	1	1	1	0	0	44
	Lewis	1	1	0	6	0	0	248	4	6	1	7	1	0	275
3		0	0	1	10	5	5	104	2	17	10	6	0	0	160
5	Grundy													0	
	Harrison	0	0	1	3	0	0	58	0	6	3	3	0	-	74
	Mercer	0	0	0	0	0	1	23	0	5	1	0	0	0	30
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	10	0	0	0	0	44
4	Atchison	0	0	0	1	1	1	54	6	4	3	2	0	0	72
	Gentry	0	0	0	0	1	0	35	4	5	3	1	0	0	49
	Holt	0	0	1	3	0	0	31	3	10	2	2	0	0	52
	Nodaway	0	0	1	13	8	1	196	9	29	1	4	0	0	262
	Worth	0	0	1	3	1	0	36	1	1	2	1	0	0	46
5	Andrew	0	0	0	7	2	0	36	4	30	20	13	11	0	123
⊩	Buchanan	2	4	6	46	14	9	373	21	201	157	111	20	0	964
6	Platte	0	4	1	20	8	6	79	8	137	34	43	8	0	348
- 0		9					_	297		506			27	0	
/	Clay		4	26	68	42	10		53		112	183		-	1337
8	Carroll	0	0	0	3	2	0	70	3	10	28	9	0	0	125
	Ray	2	0	4	21	3	2	102	16	58	11	103	10	0	332
9	Chariton	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	4	5	0	1	0	0	61
	Linn	0	1	0	6	1	6	192	4	17	16	12	1	0	256
	Sullivan	1	0	0	8	0	3	98	3	13	11	2	0	0	139
10	Marion	2	0	2	13	13	2	168	15	51	43	31	0	0	340
	Monroe	0	0	0	7	0	3	30	3	13	10	17	0	0	83
	Ralls	0	0	0	2	1	0	50	2	19	14	2	0	0	90
11	St. Charles	20	16	43	155	79	28	744	122	983	337	87	74	3	2691
11	Audrain	4	2	4	31	17	1	171	4	42	19	20	23	0	338
12		0	0	4 0	3	5	0	176	4 0	17	9		0	0	216
	Montgomery											6			
	Warren	2	2	6	10	10	15	249	20	49	12	20	4	0	399
13	Boone	7	7	24	113	24	10	1295	27	477	260	267	1	0	2512
	Callaway	0	1	5	12	15	13	292	18	84	43	34	4	0	521
14	Howard	0	0	0	2	5	0	58	15	12	11	8	0	0	111
	Randolph	0	0	2	79	6	1	543	5	96	162	72	1	0	967
15	Lafayette	1	0	4	37	37	2	138	19	81	62	47	7	1	436
	Saline	7	1	2	20	16	2	85	3	84	26	43	5	1	295
16	Jackson	35	58	91	341	132	7	1968	25	609	160	170	2	2	3600
17	Cass	1	0	4	36	12	22	502	8	313	62	89	100	0	1149
17	Johnson	2	0	2	29	3	1	321	15	69	25	36	12	0	515
18		0	0	0	5	3	9	99	4	21	19	25	12	0	186
18	Cooper		3	4		22	9					25 59	27	0	
	Pettis	1						192	10	115	51			_	546
19	Cole	7	3	7	55	25	10	561	33	302	195	149	3	1	1351
20	Franklin	2	3	1	43	18	18	233	28	145	143	94	1	0	729
	Gasconade	0	0	0	6	5	3	43	12	15	24	35	0	0	143
	Osage	0	0	1	4	0	0	28	2	2	3	5	1	0	46
21	St. Louis Co.	115	71	242	898	319	19	4378	211	3966	1161	151	41	8	11580
22	St. Louis City	155	56	68	391	135	24	910	16	1032	258	382	37	4	3468
23	Jefferson	8	13	21	127	53	6	881	45	429	118	253	8	0	1962
24	Madison	0	0	4	6	8	2	71	6	22	11	14	1	0	145
	St. Francois	0	2	7	23	21	7	212	17	167	72	141	5	0	674
⊢	Ste. Genevieve	2	0	0	5	3	0	66	2	37	12	24	4	0	155
⊢		5	0	0	6	4	5	117	3	39	12	80	4	0	269
	Washington													-	
25	Maries	0	0	0	6	1	0	91	0	6	0	5	1	0	110
	Phelps	4	1	1	26	5	0	722	3	77	20	63	15	0	937
I L	Pulaski	0	2	1	34	2	7	856	12	101	17	119	0	0	1151
	Texas	6	0	2	15	14	7	638	14	41	13	26	0	0	776
26	Camden	0	0	1	26	5	0	183	12	48	41	41	18	0	375
	Laclede	0	1	0	17	14	1	294	5	54	22	55	17	0	480
	Miller	0	5	0	14	4	0	204	16	33	21	44	0	0	341
⊢	Moniteau	0	0	0	1	1	1	60	5	17	9	9	0	0	103
		0	0	2	10	5	0	84	14	27	12	29	0	0	183
1 I	Morgan	U	v	4	10	5	v	04	14	<i>∠</i> /	12	29	v	v	105

Appendix F: Referrals by Type, Level, Circuit, and County

													<u> </u>		
		、 >	Felony A	γB	Felony C	Felony D				A	æ	U			
		Other Felony	on	Felony I	lon	lon	Infrac	~	Other Misd.	Misd. A	Misd.	Misd.	σ	Other	Total
	rcuit/County							Juv					Ord		To
27	Bates	0	0	0	19	9	0	202	7	28	2	12	0	0	279
1	Henry	3	1	2	7	5	4	334	28	36	13	18	3	0	454
	St. Clair	0	0	0	1	0	2	60	2	10	2	6	0	0	83
28	Barton	2	1	1	20	6	7	269	3	36	18	35	9	0	407
	Cedar	2	0	0	10	8	4	149	4	23	17	12	10	0	239
1 1	Dade	0	0	1	0	1	0	35	0	4	4	1	2	0	48
	Vernon	2	1	4	33	35	22	324	17	57	23	63	16	0	597
29	Jasper	4	1	24	80	30	5	1350	64	330	187	95	31	0	2201
30	Benton	0	0	0	10	10	5	137	5	42	9	42	0	0	260
-	Dallas	1	2	0	15	13	4	143	12	47	29	35	0	0	301
-	Hickory	0	0	2	0	1	0	44	3	9	4	2	0	0	65
	Polk	0	1	0	17	13	1	184	8	53	55	65	0	0	397
	Webster	0	4	0	19	9	2	173	18	71	34	84	0	1	415
31	Greene	8	8	25	136	40	32	816	32	520	179	384	0	5	2185
32	Bollinger	1	2	1	3	1	16	92	4	111	3	7	0	0	241
	Cape Girardeau	3	0	11	37	19	6	381	23	589	102	82	29	0	1282
	Perry	0	1	1	10	1	1	85	11	57	9	44	0	0	220
33	Mississippi	1	0	2	20	11	1	58	31	68	42	69	0	0	303
	Scott	1	1	9	46	14	1	379	19	85	57	54	32	0	698
34	New Madrid	2	0	0	13	1	0	112	9	40	39	9	0	0	225
	Pemiscot	0	0	2	9	1	2	47	3	12	12	28	5	0	121
35	Dunklin	2	1	5	25	5	0	947 529	14	42	56	47	0	2	1146
	Stoddard	1	1	0	19	28	5	528	18	47	52	30	2	0	731
36	Butler	0	6	2	35	10	2	217	5	120	50	95	0	0	542
27	Ripley	0	0	1	8	10	2	81	4	23	13	27	0	0	169
37	Carter	0	0	0	1 20	2 7	0 4	18 564	1	0 68	1 16	1	0	0	24 702
	Howell	1	0	5					6				-	0	
	Oregon	0	0	5	3	1	0	65 28	0	8	1	4	0	0	87 51
20	Shannon	3 7	0	-	2 77	0	-	28 359	4 27	6 172	5 40	2 18	1	0	751
38	Christian		-	15		16	10				-			-	
20	Taney	2	1	1	43 19	10	5	261 158	16	117 48	49 32	60 22	2	0	567
39	Barry	1	1	3	30	2 10	6 4	216	9 10		52	49	0	1	302
	Lawrence	0	0	2	30 9	10	4	130	10	44 49	23	33	4	1	421 264
40	Stone	5	1	0	15	3	2	130	5	34	23 9	20	4	0	235
40	McDonald	10	2	2	51	3 7	37	371	13	34 148	9 65	20 64	14	0	784
41	Newton		0	3	11	23	1	285	4	30	31	4	14	0	393
41	Macon Shelby	0	0	3 0	11	6	1	113	4	13	4	4	0	0	393 144
42	Crawford	1	0	2	24	0 10	4	113	11	24	4 25		8	0	266
42	Dent	0	0	1	8	10	4	117	3	24	16	24	3	0	200
	Iron	3	1	2	8	0	0	120	2	14	4	0	0	0	170
	Reynolds	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	0	5	4	3	0	0	57
	Wayne	0	0	0	4	0	0	17	3	11	4	2	0	0	37
43	Caldwell	0	0	2	4 9	8	1	37	2	11	8	5	0	0	83
43	Caldwell	0	1	2	3	6	0	49	0	27	8 7	14	1	0	110
	Daviess	1	0	1	2	7	0	39	1	4	2	0	0	0	57
	Daviess DeKalb	0	0	0	4	2	0	18	1	9	5	2	0	0	41
	Livingston	0	1	2	10	10	0	100	2	35	14	22	19	0	215
44	Douglas	0	0	1	6	0	1	40	6	16	14	10	19	0	92
44	Ozark	0	0	0	2	0	0	16	1	3	1	10	1	0	25
	Wright	1	0	1	3	4	0	78	2	31	18	20	1	0	159
45	Lincoln	6	2	5	31	7	3	694	8	103	47	56	14	0	976
	Pike	1	0	3	17	1	1	100	5	41	17	15	14	0	202
┝──┴	Total	477	311	752	3894	1581	493	32077	1431	14370	5447	5173	711	31	66748
	i oldi	7//	511	154	JUJT	1,001	775	52011	1451	14370	J T T I	5115	/11	51	00770

Appendix G: Out of Home Pl	lacements by	Circuit
----------------------------	--------------	---------

	Court Res.						Dut of Home Placement				Private Public						
	Court Res. Care Circuit Cases %		DMH			· c	DYS		Relative				Agency		Other		Total
Circuit					DF						Age	<u> </u>	-	1		1	
Circuit 1	Cases 0	% 0	Cases	% 0	Cases	% 94%	Cases	% 0	Cases 0	% 0	Cases	% 6%	Cases	%	Cases	% 0	Cases
2	9	12%	0	0	16 41	94% 53%	0 5	6%	7	9%	1 5	6%	0	0 3%	0 9	12%	17 78
2	9	3%	0	0	33	87%	2	5%	2	5%	0	0%	0	5% 0	9	0	38
4	0	5% 0	-	3%		61%		9%	2	5% 6%	7	21%	0	0	0	0	33
4 5	23	38%	1 0	3% 0	20 24	39%	3 8	9% 13%	2	6% 5%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0	55 61
6	25	38% 0	0	0	24	15%	0 10	77%	0	0	1	5% 8%	0	2%	0	0	13
7	1	1%	0	0	 45	44%	33	32%	2	2%	2	2%	16	16%	3	3%	102
8	0	1/0	0	0	5	17%	24	83%	0	270	0	270	0	0	0	370 0	29
9	0	0	0	0	1	25%	24	50%	0	0	1	25%	0	0	0	0	23
10	1	3%	1	3%	15	39%	14	37%	3	8%	3	8%	1	3%	0	0	38
10	0	0	0	0	120	63%	59	31%	10	5%	0	0	0	0	1	1%	190
12	1	2%	2	3%	30	51%	13	22%	9	15%	4	7%	0	0	0	0	59
12	0	270	0	0	88	74%	16	13%	4	3%	4	1%	2	2%	8	7%	119
13	0	0	0	0	2	40%	0	0	3	60%	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
14	0	0	4	11%	14	38%	19	51%	0	00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%	
10	1	2%	5	9%	27	49%	0	0	9	16%	5	9%	4	7%	4	7%	55
18	0	0	0	0	4	31%	5	38%	0	0	4	31%	0	0	0	0	13
19	6	9%	0	0	37	57%	11	17%	0	0	10	15%	0	0	1	2%	65
20	0	0	0	0	24	69%	9	26%	0	0	1	3%	0	0	1	3%	35
21	0	0	4	1%	239	44%	78	14%	127	23%	0	0	61	11%	38	7%	547
22	6	1%	0	0	231	35%	148	22%	5	1%	3	0%	2	0%	266	40%	661
23	5	1%	0	0	130	29%	83	18%	181	40%	24	5%	21	5%	5	1%	449
24	6	4%	3	2%	73	46%	59	37%	6	4%	6	4%	6	4%	1	1%	160
25	0	0	0	0	116	75%	26	17%	7	5%	5	3%	0	0	0	0	154
26	3	2%	2	1%	122	66%	9	5%	30	16%	15	8%	0	0	5	3%	186
27	0	0	1	2%	33	62%	6	11%	9	17%	2	4%	2	4%	0	0	53
28	1	1%	0	0	28	37%	41	54%	1	1%	2	3%	3	4%	0	0	76
29	5	2%	0	0	169	62%	47	17%	42	15%	1	0%	3	1%	4	1%	271
30	1	1%	0	0	52	49%	19	18%	17	16%	9	8%	2	2%	6	6%	106
31	4	1%	0	0	241	80%	31	10%	20	7%	4	1%	0	0	3	1%	303
32	0	0	3	2%	79	54%	27	19%	11	8%	13	9%	2	1%	10	7%	145
33	0	0	0	0	30	37%	41	51%	9	11%	0	0	0	0	1	1%	81
34	0	0	0	0	16	57%	0	0	7	25%	4	14%	1	4%	0	0	28
35	0	0	1	1%	89	49%	1	1%	82	45%	0	0	3	2%	7	4%	183
36	0	0	0	0	22	44%	11	22%	3	6%	0	0	0	0	14	28%	50
37	3	4%	2	2%	46	54%	13	15%	8	9%	4	5%	1	1%	8	9%	85
38	0	0	0	0	135	75%	40	22%	0	0	0	0	3	2%	1	1%	179
39	5	2%	2	1%	111	55%	19	9%	40	20%	19	9%	6	3%	0	0	202
40	3	1%	0	0	188	74%	33	13%	29	11%	1	0%	0	0	1	0%	255
41	0	0	4	6%	42	61%	16	23%	2	3%	3	4%	1	1%	1	1%	69
42	2	2%	2	2%	35	40%	21	24%	22	25%	2	2%	1	1%	3	3%	88
43	1	2%	0	0	16	32%	24	48%	5	10%	4	8%	0	0	0	0	50
44	0	0	0	0	31	70%	7	16%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0	0	0	44
45	2	2%	0	0	88	77%	17	15%	6	5%	1	1%	0	0	0	0	114
Total	90	2%	37	1%	2910	53%	1050	19%	728	13%	170	3%	144	3%	402	7%	5531

Appendix H: In Home Services by Circuit

	Superv	vision	ion												
	By Co	ourt	DN	1H	DF	S	D١	′S	Private	Agency	Public Agency				Total
Circuit	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases
1	0	0	0	0	16	94%	0	0	1	6%	0	0	0	0	17
2	9	12%	0	0	41	53%	5	6%	5	6%	2	3%	9	12%	78
3	1	3%	0	0	33	87%	2	5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
4	0	0	1	3%	20	61%	3	9%	7	21%	0	0	0	0	33
5	23	38%	0	0	24	39%	8	13%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0	61
6	0	0	0	0	2	15%	10	77%	1	8%	0	0	0	0	13
7	1	1%	0	0	45	44%	33	32%	2	2%	16	16%	3	3%	102
8	0	0	0	0	5	17%	24	83%	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
9	0	0	0	0	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	0	0	0	0	4
10	1	3%	1	3%	15	39%	14	37%	3	8%	1	3%	0	0	38
11	0	0	0	0	120	63%	59	31%	0	0	0	0	1	1%	190
12	1	2%	2	3%	30	51%	13	22%	4	7%	0	0	0	0	59
13	0	0	0	0	88	74%	16	13%	1	1%	2	2%	8	7%	119
14	0	0	0	0	2	40%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
15	0	0	4	11%	14	38%	19	51%	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%	1
17	1	2%	5	9%	27	49%	0	0	5	9%	4	7%	4	7%	55
18	0	0	0	0	4	31%	5	38%	4	31%	0	0	0	0	13
19	6	9%	0	0	37	57%	11	17%	10	15%	0	0	1	2%	65
20	0	0	0	0	24	69%	9	26%	1	3%	0	0	1	3%	35
21	0	0	4	1%	239	44%	78	14%	0	0	61	11%	38	7%	547
22	6	1%	0	0	231	35%	148	22%	3	0%	2	0%	266	40%	661
23	5	1%	0	0	130	29%	83	18%	24	5%	21	5%	5	1%	449
24	6	4%	3	2%	73	46%	59	37%	6	4%	6	4%	1	1%	160
25	0	0	0	0	116	75%	26	17%	5	3%	0	0	0	0	154
26	3	2%	2	1%	122	66%	9	5%	15	8%	0	0	5	3%	186
27	0	0	1	2%	33	62%	6	11%	2	4%	2	4%	0	0	53
28	1	1%	0	0	28	37%	41	54%	2	3%	3	4%	0	0	76
29	5	2%	0	0	169	62%	47	17%	1	0%	3	1%	4	1%	271
30	1	1%	0	0	52	49%	19	18%	9	8%	2	2%	6	6%	106
31	4	1%	0	0	241	80%	31	10%	4	1%	0	0	3	1%	303
32	0	0	3	2%	79	54%	27	19%	13	9%	2	1%	10	7%	145
33	0	0	0	0	30	37%	41	51%	0	0	0	0	1	1%	81
34	0	0	0	0	16	57%	0	0	4	14%	1	4%	0	0	28
35	0	0	1	1%	89	49%	1	1%	0	0	3	2%	7	4%	183
36	0	0	0	0	22	44%	11	22%	0	0	0	0	14	28%	50
37	3	4%	2	2%	46	54%	13	15%	4	5%	1	1%	8	9%	85
38	0	0	0	0	135	75%	40	22%	0	0	3	2%	1	1%	179
39	5	2%	2	1%	111	55%	19	9%	19	9%	6	3%	0	0	202
40	3	1%	0	0	188	74%	33	13%	1	0%	0	0	1	0%	255
41	0	0	4	6%	42	61%	16	23%	3	4%	1	1%	1	1%	69
42	2	2%	2	2%	35	40%	21	24%	2	2%	1	1%	3	3%	88
43	1	2%	0	0	16	32%	24	48%	4	8%	0	0	0	0	50
44	0	0	0	0	31	70%	7	16%	1	2%	0	0	0	0	44
45	2	2%	0	0	88	77%	17	15%	1	1%	0	0	0	0	114
Total	90	2%	37	1%	2910	53%	1050	19%	170	3%	144	3%	402	7%	5531

Appendix I: Commitments to DYS by Circuit, Race, and Gender

	Unknown		casian		American		panic		can Indian	Asian	
Circuit	Male	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Total
2	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
4	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
5	0	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
6	0	3	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
7	0	17	1	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	24
8	0	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
9	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
10	0	2	4 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
10	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
12	0	3	0	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	13
				2						0	3
14 15	0	0	1 4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 26
15	0	18 9	4	4 37	5	2	0	0	0	0	26 54
16			0								54 7
17	0	3 3	0	3 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	3
19	0	4 7	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
20	0		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
21	0	5	1	52	9	1	0	0	0	0	68
22	0	1	0	102	10	0	0	0	0	0	113
23	1	28	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	39
24	0	27	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
25	0	14	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
26	0	10	8	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	20
27	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
28	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
29	0	49	16	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	71
30	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
31	0	21	3	9	2	2	0	0	0	0	37
32	0	12	1	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	24
33	0	15	4	18	3	0	0	1	0	0	41
34	0	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
35	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
36	0	3	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
37	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
38	0	26	9	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	38
39	0	7	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	14
40	1	33	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	43
41	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
42	0	11	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	17
43	0	6	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	10
44	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
45	0	11	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Total	2	418	107	281	35	21	3	2	2	4	875

	Cauca			American	Hispanic	Unknown	
Circuit	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Male	Total
5	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
7	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
10	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
11	2	0	3	0	0	0	5
13	2	0	3	0	0	2	7
14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
15	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
16	0	0	9	1	1	0	11
17	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
21	1	0	22	0	0	0	23
22	0	0	13	0	0	0	13
24	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
25	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
26	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
29	2	0	3	0	0	0	5
31	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
32	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
33	1	0	3	0	0	1	5
34	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
35	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
36		0	0	0	0	0	1
38	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
39	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
43	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
45	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Total	30	2	62	1	1	3	99

Appendix J: Certification to Adult Court by Circuit, Race, and Gender

Appendix K. Map of Missouri's 45 Judicial Circuits

Missouri's 45 Judicial Circuits



Office of State Courts Administrator, P.O. Box 104480, 2112 Industrial Drive, Jefferson City, MO 65110