

FY 2011 PROFILE - 43RD CIRCUIT
 U.S. Census 2010 Population: 66,687

| | | Filed | Disposed | Pending End | D/F Ratio | % Change in Filed FY10 - FY11 |
|--------------------|---------------|-------|----------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| CIVIL | | | | | | |
| Circuit Civil | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 33 | 28 | 39 | 0.85 | 10.0% |
| | Clinton | 68 | 69 | 92 | 1.01 | -13.9% |
| | Daviess | 28 | 47 | 40 | 1.68 | -26.3% |
| | DeKalb | 46 | 70 | 54 | 1.52 | -55.8% |
| | Livingston | 76 | 60 | 78 | 0.79 | 1.3% |
| | Circuit Total | 251 | 274 | 303 | 1.09 | -23.0% |
| Domestic Relations | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 147 | 163 | 96 | 1.11 | 2.1% |
| | Clinton | 277 | 298 | 184 | 1.08 | -8.0% |
| | Daviess | 80 | 83 | 64 | 1.04 | -30.4% |
| | DeKalb | 173 | 148 | 119 | 0.86 | 14.6% |
| | Livingston | 268 | 264 | 135 | 0.99 | 7.6% |
| | Circuit Total | 945 | 956 | 598 | 1.01 | -1.6% |
| Associate Civil | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 248 | 256 | 71 | 1.03 | -1.2% |
| | Clinton | 758 | 789 | 225 | 1.04 | 0.9% |
| | Daviess | 187 | 197 | 59 | 1.05 | -14.6% |
| | DeKalb | 309 | 318 | 82 | 1.03 | -8.0% |
| | Livingston | 361 | 388 | 70 | 1.07 | 13.5% |
| | Circuit Total | 1,863 | 1,948 | 507 | 1.05 | -0.6% |
| Small Claims | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 23 | 22 | 6 | 0.96 | 9.5% |
| | Clinton | 52 | 58 | 19 | 1.12 | -26.8% |
| | Daviess | 14 | 12 | 3 | 0.86 | 55.6% |
| | DeKalb | 29 | 30 | 7 | 1.03 | 45.0% |
| | Livingston | 44 | 43 | 9 | 0.98 | -13.7% |
| | Circuit Total | 162 | 165 | 44 | 1.02 | -5.8% |
| | Civil Total | 3,221 | 3,343 | 1,452 | 1.04 | -3.4% |

PROBATE*

* Probate includes decedent's estates, incapacitated/disabled estates, minors' estates, mental health petitions, and probable cause petitions.

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----|----|-----|------|--------|
| | Caldwell | 10 | 6 | 128 | 0.60 | -50.0% |
| | Clinton | 37 | 17 | 275 | 0.46 | -2.6% |
| | Daviess | 17 | 12 | 78 | 0.71 | -15.0% |
| | DeKalb | 31 | 21 | 103 | 0.68 | 63.2% |
| | Livingston | 48 | 34 | 225 | 0.71 | 33.3% |
| | Circuit Total | 143 | 90 | 809 | 0.63 | 7.5% |

JUVENILE**

** The D/F ratio and % change for Juvenile cases are not calculated because Juvenile dispositions do not include supplemental cases. The number of cases filed includes the number of MMOD (motion to modify) codes filed in FY 2011. That number (if any) is given in the first column.

| | | Filed Cases | Filed | Disposed | Pending End | D/F Ratio | % Change in Filed FY10 - FY11 |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-------|----------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Caldwell | Includes 1 MMOD | 27 | 41 | 32 | NA | NA |
| | Clinton | Includes 4 MMOD | 78 | 35 | 83 | NA | NA |
| | Daviess | Includes 0 MMOD | 14 | 16 | 25 | NA | NA |
| | DeKalb | Includes 0 MMOD | 13 | 9 | 19 | NA | NA |
| | Livingston | Includes 8 MMOD | 30 | 35 | 46 | NA | NA |
| | Circuit Total | | 162 | 136 | 205 | NA | NA |

JURY STATISTICS

| | Trial Begun, No Verdict | | Verdict Returned | | Total Jury Days | |
|------------|-------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| | Civil | Criminal | Civil | Criminal | Civil | Criminal |
| Caldwell | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Clinton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Daviess | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| DeKalb | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 4 |
| Livingston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 |

FY 2011 PROFILE - 43RD CIRCUIT
U.S. Census 2010 Population: 66,687

| | | Filed | Disposed | Pending End | D/F Ratio | % Change in Filed FY10 - FY11 |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| CRIMINAL | | | | | | |
| Felony | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 58 | 64 | 21 | 1.10 | -21.6% |
| | Clinton | 93 | 114 | 74 | 1.23 | -32.1% |
| | Daviess | 82 | 90 | 44 | 1.10 | -12.8% |
| | DeKalb | 90 | 113 | 54 | 1.26 | -2.2% |
| | Livingston | 150 | 167 | 29 | 1.11 | 9.5% |
| | Circuit Total | 473 | 548 | 222 | 1.16 | -11.4% |
| Associate Felony | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 73 | 82 | 33 | 1.12 | -36.5% |
| | Clinton | 222 | 204 | 136 | 0.92 | 0.0% |
| | Daviess | 129 | 112 | 99 | 0.87 | 11.2% |
| | DeKalb | 162 | 139 | 78 | 0.86 | 20.0% |
| | Livingston | 197 | 206 | 47 | 1.05 | 13.2% |
| | Circuit Total | 783 | 743 | 393 | 0.95 | 2.8% |
| Misdemeanors* | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell* | 264 | 267 | 192 | 1.01 | 3.5% |
| | Clinton* | 581 | 497 | 536 | 0.86 | 58.7% |
| | Daviess | 278 | 269 | 731 | 0.97 | -12.0% |
| | DeKalb* | 345 | 342 | 352 | 0.99 | 13.1% |
| | Livingston | 469 | 531 | 175 | 1.13 | 19.0% |
| | Circuit Total | 1,937 | 1,906 | 1,986 | 0.98 | 18.4% |
| Traffic* | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell* | 431 | 447 | 443 | 1.04 | -20.3% |
| | Clinton* | 1,015 | 1,125 | 1,019 | 1.11 | 28.8% |
| | Daviess | 1,383 | 1,382 | 1,843 | 1.00 | -0.9% |
| | DeKalb* | 354 | 314 | 587 | 0.89 | 45.7% |
| | Livingston | 652 | 681 | 332 | 1.04 | -1.7% |
| | Circuit Total | 3,835 | 3,949 | 4,224 | 1.03 | 5.6% |
| Ordinance** | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 0 | 0 | 4 | NA | -100.0% |
| | Clinton | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| | Daviess | 124 | 109 | 177 | 0.88 | -12.7% |
| | DeKalb | 12 | 11 | 3 | 0.92 | 300.0% |
| | Livingston | 815 | 806 | 228 | 0.99 | 13.2% |
| | Circuit Total | 951 | 926 | 412 | 0.97 | 9.4% |
| Municipal Cert./TDN | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 0 | 2 | 0 | NA | NA |
| | Clinton | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0.75 | 100.0% |
| | Daviess | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| | DeKalb | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| | Livingston | 0 | 1 | 0 | NA | NA |
| | Circuit Total | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1.50 | 100.0% |
| Criminal Total | | 7,983 | 8,078 | 7,239 | 1.01 | 7.4% |
| GRAND TOTALS | | | | | | |
| | Caldwell | 1,314 | 1,378 | 1,065 | 1.05 | -12.2% |
| | Clinton | 3,185 | 3,209 | 2,645 | 1.01 | 14.4% |
| | Daviess | 2,336 | 2,329 | 3,163 | 1.00 | -5.7% |
| | DeKalb | 1,564 | 1,515 | 1,458 | 0.97 | 10.2% |
| | Livingston | 3,110 | 3,216 | 1,374 | 1.03 | 8.9% |
| | TOTAL | 11,509 | 11,647 | 9,705 | 1.01 | 4.3% |

* For additional misdemeanor and/or traffic caseload information see Tables in Fine Collection Center section/tab.

** For information concerning ordinance cases heard in the municipal division, see Tables in Municipal Division section/tab.