

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

ST. LOUIS COUNTY,)	No. ED100518
)	
Respondent,)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
)	of St. Louis County
vs.)	
)	Honorable Renee Hardin-Tammons
AVIVI HEIMAN,)	
)	
Appellant.)	FILED: June 24, 2014

Avivi Heiman (“Heiman”) appeals from the judgment of the Municipal Court of St. Louis County overruling his motion to dismiss and finding him guilty of committing numerous violations of the Property Maintenance Code of St. Louis County (“Code”). On appeal, Heiman argues that the municipal court erred in entering judgment against him because (1) the information charging him was facially insufficient in that it failed to state which sections of the Code Heiman was charged with violating, and (2) the judgment is against the weight of the evidence because St. Louis County failed to prove Heiman is the owner of the property at issue.

AFFIRMED.

Division II holds: Because the County’s failure to include a precise reference to section numbers of the Code did not prejudice Heiman’s understanding of the charges brought against him in the information or his ability to defend against the charges, and because the municipal court’s finding that Heiman was an owner of the property under the Property Maintenance Code was not against the weight of the evidence, we affirm the judgment of the municipal court.

Opinion by: Kurt S. Odenwald, J., Mary K. Hoff, P.J., and Angela T. Quigless, J., Concur.

Attorney for Appellants: D. Dean Plocher

Attorney for Respondent: Kathryn E. Linnebringer and Steven B. Robson

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.