

**OPINION SUMMARY**  
**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT**

BRUCE WATSON,	)	No. ED102746
	)	
Movant/Appellant,	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
	)	of the City of St. Louis
vs.	)	
	)	Honorable Margaret M. Neill
STATE OF MISSOURI,	)	
	)	
Respondent/Respondent.	)	Filed: February 23, 2016

Bruce Watson (Appellant) appeals from the motion court’s judgment denying his Rule 29.15<sup>1</sup> motion for post-conviction relief without an evidentiary hearing.

DISMISSED.

Division Four Holds: If the movant fails to file his initial Rule 29.15 post-conviction motion within the 90-day time limit after the mandate is issued for his appeal affirming the trial court’s judgment and sentence, there is a complete waiver of the right to seek Rule 29.15 relief and a complete waiver of all claims that could be raised in the post-conviction motion. Rule 29.15(b). The sentencing court’s partial advisement of the time limits in which to file a Rule 29.15 post-conviction motion does not constitute third-party interference justifying a late receipt of the initial motion by the proper court, especially when the movant did not do all he reasonably could do to ensure his initial motion was prepared and timely filed. See Price v. State, 422 S.W.3d 292, 301-02 (Mo.banc 2014).

Opinion by: Sherri B. Sullivan, J. Lisa S. Van Amburg, C.J., and Kurt S. Odenwald, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Matthew Hucceby  
Attorneys for Respondent: Chris Koster and Shaun J. Mackelprang

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**

---

<sup>1</sup> All rule references are to Mo. R. Crim. P. 2014, unless otherwise noted.