

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

#### DIVISION ONE

BERNARD SCOTT, Appellant,	)	No. ED93554
	)	
v.	)	Appeal from the Labor and
	)	Industrial Relations Commission
POTTER ELECTRIC SIGNAL COMPANY)	)	Case No. 09-19348 R-A
AND DIVISION OF EMPLOYMENT	)	
SECURITY, Respondents.	)	Filed: May 18, 2010

#### OPINION SUMMARY

Bernard Scott (“Appellant”) appeals *pro se* from an order of the Labor and Industrial Relations Commission, which affirmed a decision of the Division of Employment Security Appeals Tribunal denying employment compensation benefits.

DISMISSED

DIVISION ONE HOLDS: Appellant's brief fails to comply with the rules of appellate procedure so substantially that we cannot review this appeal. The appeal is dismissed

Opinion by: Nannette A. Baker, J.

Kathianne Knaup Crane, P.J. and Clifford H. Ahrens, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Bernard Scott *pro se*

Attorneys for Respondents: Potter Electric *pro se* Larry Ruhmann

<p><b>THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.</b></p>
---