

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

ISREAL ODEN, Appellant,	)	No. ED93734
	)	
vs.	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
	)	of Lincoln County
STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent.	)	
	)	Filed: August 31, 2010

Israel Oden (“Movant”) appeals from the judgment of the motion court denying his Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief after an evidentiary hearing. Movant’s sole argument is that the motion court clearly erred in denying his Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief because the trial court was without jurisdiction to try or sentence Movant in that the State failed to bring Movant to trial within 180 days as required by the Uniform Mandatory Disposition of Detainers Law (“UMDDL”).

AFFIRMED.

Division Four Holds: The motion court did not clearly err in denying Movant’s Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief because Movant failed to raise the issue in his direct appeal and he cannot raise it in his motion for post-conviction relief.

Opinion by: Robert G. Dowd, Jr., Judge  
Kurt S. Odenwald, P.J. and Nannette A. Baker, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Mark Allen Grothoff

Attorneys for Respondent: Chris Koster, Shaun J. Mackelprang  
and Jamie P. Rasmussen

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT.  
IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND  
SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**