

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

MARY HANKINS,)	No. ED94259
)	
Appellant,)	
)	
vs.)	Appeal from the Labor and Industrial
)	Relations Commission
RELIANCE AUTOMOTIVE, INC.,)	
and DIVISION OF EMPLOYMENT)	
SECURITY,)	
)	
Respondents.)	FILED: June 8, 2010

Mary Hankins (Claimant) appeals *pro se* from a final order of the Labor and Industrial Relations Commission (the Commission). The Commission’s order affirmed the Division of Employment Security Appeals Tribunal’s finding that Claimant is not eligible for unemployment benefits because she was discharged by Reliance Automotive (Employer) for misconduct connected with work.

DISMISSED.

Division Four Holds: Claimant’s brief fails to substantially comply with the appellate briefing requirements as provided in Rule 84.04.

Opinion by: Kurt S. Odenwald, P.J.
George W. Draper III, J., and Gary M. Gaertner, Jr., J., Concur

Attorney for Appellant: Mary Hankins - Pro Se

Attorney for Respondent
Reliance Automotive: Reliance Automotive - Pro Se

Attorney for Respondent
Division of Employment Security: Shelly Kintzel

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.