

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

MICHAEL STUDT, Appellant,)	No. ED94439
v.)	Appeal from the Labor and
FASTENAL COMPANY AND)	Industrial Relations Commission
DIVISION OF EMPLOYMENT)	Case No. 09-26755 R-A
SECURITY, Respondents.)	FILED: November 16, 2010

OPINION SUMMARY

Michael Studt (“Claimant”) appeals *pro se* from the decision of the Labor and Industrial Relations Commission (“the Commission”) finding him disqualified for unemployment benefits. We must dismiss Claimant’s appeal because his brief fails to comply with Rule 84.04.¹

DISMISSED.

DIVISION FOUR HOLDS: Claimant’s brief fails to comply with the rules of appellate procedure so substantially that we cannot review his appeal. The appeal is dismissed

Opinion by: Nannette A. Baker, J.

Kurt S. Odenwald, P.J., and Robert G. Dowd, Jr., J., concur.

Attorneys for Appellant: Michael Studt *pro se*

Attorneys for Respondent: Fastenal Company *pro se* Michael Pritchett

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT.
IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND
SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**

¹ All rule references are to Mo. Sup. Ct. R. (2010), unless otherwise indicated.