

## OPINION SUMMARY

### MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

MARCY M. FULLER, Respondent,	)	No. ED96398
	)	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
vs.	)	St. Louis County
	)	
MICHAEL MOORE and NADINE REESE,	)	Honorable Dale W. Hood
Appellants.	)	Filed: November 1, 2011

Michael Moore and Nadine Reese (Defendants) appeal *pro se* the trial court's judgment (1) denying Defendants' motion to set aside a consent judgment and (2) imposing sanctions.

AFFIRMED IN PART, REVERSED IN PART, AND REMANDED.

Division Four Holds: The trial court did not err in denying Defendants' Rule 75.01 motion to set aside the consent judgment. However, because the plaintiff did not comply with the requirements for requesting sanctions under Rule 55.03, the trial court lacked authority to impose sanctions under that rule. We therefore affirm the judgment in part and reverse the imposition of sanctions.

Opinion by: Patricia L. Cohen, P.J.  
Robert M. Clayton III, J., and George W. Draper III, Sp.J., concur.

Attorney for Appellants: Michael Moore (pro se) and Nadine Reese (pro se)

Attorney for Respondent: Frederick M. Hawk

**THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**