

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

TAWANDA JOHNSON, Respondent,) No. ED96630
) Appeal from the Circuit Court of
) St. Louis County
vs.)
) Honorable Douglas R. Beach
PHILLIP H. MARCH, Appellant.) Filed: April 24, 2012

Phillip March (Father), acting *pro se*, claims that the trial court erred in denying his motion to vacate or set aside an administrative order entered by the Family Support Division (FSD) requiring Father to pay child support. As we understand Father's points on appeal, Father argues that the trial court erred in denying his motion to vacate the administrative order because: (1) the order was invalid, unenforceable, and void; (2) the trial court lacked authority to enforce the order; and (3) the trial court lacked personal jurisdiction over Father. Father also claims the trial court erred in failing to strike the documents filed by the FSD and denying Father's motion to reconsider.

AFFIRMED.

Division Four Holds: The trial court did not err in denying Father's motion to vacate the FSD's child support order. The record clearly demonstrates that the FSD complied with all statutory requirements for issuing and enforcing such an order. The FSD mailed Father its notice and finding of financial responsibility, Father requested and received a hearing by a hearing officer, the FSD mailed the hearing officer's order and decision to Father at the address he provided, and Father failed to file a timely request for judicial review of the hearing officer's decision.

Opinion by: Patricia L. Cohen, P.J.
Glenn A. Norton, J., and Robert M. Clayton III, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Phillip H. March (pro se)

Attorney for Respondent: Heather Highland (Tawanda Johnson)
Sara E. Reeb (Division of Family Services)

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.