

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

ANGELA WALLER,) No. ED96924
Claimant/Appellant,) Appeal from the Labor and
v.) Industrial Relations Commission
A.C. CLEANERS MANAGEMENT, INC.,) Date: March 20, 2012
Employer/Respondent, and)
DIVISION OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY,)
Respondent/Respondent.)

Claimant appeals *pro se* from the order of the Labor and Industrial Relations Commission affirming the decision of the Appeals Tribunal of the Division of Employment Security. After claimant filed her brief, we entered an order directing claimant to file an amended brief that would comply with Rule 84. Claimant filed an amended brief, but it did not comply with Rule 84.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

Division Two Holds:

Because claimant's amended brief substantially fails to comply with Rule 84.04, her appeal is unreviewable.

Opinion by: Kathianne Knaup Crane, P.J.
Kenneth M. Romines, J. concurs.
Robert M. Clayton III, J., dissents.

Attorney for Appellant: *Pro se*

Attorneys for Respondent A.C. Cleaners: Richard S. Bender and David C. Bender

Attorney for Respondent Division of Employment Security: Leah Williamson

THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.