

OPINION SUMMARY

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT

JAMES HERMANN, Respondent,)	No. ED98876
)	
v.)	Appeal from the Circuit Court
)	of St. Louis County
TARA HESKETT, Appellant.)	Filed: June 28, 2013

T.H. (“Mother”) appeals from the trial court’s judgment granting in part J.H.’s (“Father”) motion to modify custody and child support. Mother asserts six points on appeal.

AFFIRMED.

Division Three holds: The trial court did not err or abuse its discretion in finding there was a substantial and continuing change of circumstances justifying a modification of physical custody of Child. Mother’s second point is denied as moot. The trial court did not err or abuse its discretion in designating Father as the residential parent for mailing and educational purposes. The trial court did not err or abuse its discretion in finding there was a substantial and continuing change of circumstances justifying a modification of the custody arrangement and thereby eliminating the responsibility for Father to pay child support to Mother. The trial court did not err or abuse its discretion in finding there was a substantial and continuing change of circumstances justifying a modification of the judgment regarding any and all issues determined by the court. The trial court did not err or abuse its discretion in ordering Mother to pay \$5,000 toward Father’s attorneys’ fees.

Opinion by: Robert G. Dowd, Jr., P.J.
Roy L. Richter, J. and Angela T. Quigless, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: Susan K. Roach

Attorneys for Respondent: Sophia Q. Raza and Kara D. Helmuth

<p>THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.</p>
