

**OPINION SUMMARY**  
**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS EASTERN DISTRICT**

SHERI SMITH,	)	
	)	
Employee/Respondent,	)	No. ED99410
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
MED PLUS HEALTHCARE,	)	Appeal from the Labor and
	)	Industrial Relations Commission
Employer/Appellant	)	
and	)	
	)	
DIVISION OF EMPLOYMENT	)	
SECURITY,	)	Filed: June 18, 2013
	)	
Respondent.	)	

Med Plus Healthcare (Employer) appeals from a decision of the Labor and Industrial Relations Commission finding Sheri Smith was not disqualified from unemployment benefits. Because Employer’s brief fails to comply with the appellate briefing requirements of Missouri Rule of Civil Procedure 84.04, we dismiss the appeal.

DISMISSED.

Division One Holds: Employer’s appellate brief violates Rule 84.04, in that the statement of facts is incomplete and argumentative; the point relied on fails to adequately identify the decision being challenged and why; fails to set forth the applicable standard of review; and fails to cite any legal authority supporting its claim of error. Employer’s failure to substantially comply with the mandatory rules for appellate briefing preserves nothing for appeal and requires dismissal of the appeal.

Opinion by: Sherri B. Sullivan, J. Clifford H. Ahrens, P.J., and Glenn A. Norton, J., concur.

Attorney for Appellant: David M. Stolze  
Attorney for Respondent Division of Employment Security: Ninion S. Riley

<b>THIS SUMMARY IS NOT PART OF THE OPINION OF THE COURT. IT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.</b>
--