

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS  
WESTERN DISTRICT**

---

COMPLETE TITLE OF CASE:

STATE OF MISSOURI,

Respondent

v.

ROBIN S. ROGGENBUCK.

Appellant

---

DOCKET NUMBER WD72045

DATE: November 15, 2011

---

Appeal From:

Circuit Court of Platte County, MO  
The Honorable Abe Shafer, IV, Judge

---

Appellate Judges:

Division One  
Alok Ahuja, P.J., Thomas H. Newton, and James Edward Welsh, JJ.

---

Attorneys:

Frederick Ernst, Kansas City, MO

Counsel for Appellant,

---

Attorneys:

James Farnsworth, Jefferson City, MO

Counsel for Respondent

---

**MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY  
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT**

**STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent, v.  
ROBIN S. ROGGENBUCK, Appellant**

**WD72045**

**Platte County**

Before Division One Judges: Ahuja, P.J., Newton, and Welsh, JJ.

Robin S. Roggenbuck appeals the circuit court's judgment convicting him of five counts of possession of child pornography. Roggenbuck contends that the circuit court erred when: (1) it overruled his motion to suppress evidence for warrant insufficiency, (2) it entered multiple convictions and multiple consecutive sentences for his possession of multiple photographs of child pornography, and (3) it improperly admitted hearsay evidence regarding resumes found on his computer.

**AFFIRMED.**

**Division One holds:**

(1) The circuit court did not err in overruling Roggenbuck's motion to suppress evidence and admitting the evidence at trial. The affidavit offered in support of the search warrant was sufficient to establish probable cause for seizure of the evidence.

(2) The circuit court did not err in entering five separate convictions and five separate sentences for Roggenbuck's possession of five separate photographs of child pornography. Possession of each constituted a separate crime.

(3) The circuit court did not err in admitting evidence regarding resumes found on Roggenbuck's computer. The evidence was relevant to the elements of the State's case and admission of the resume content was not unreasonable, arbitrary, or unfairly prejudicial.

Opinion by James Edward Welsh, Judge

November 15, 2011

\* \* \* \* \*

**THIS SUMMARY IS UNOFFICIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.**