IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SAINT LOUIS COUNTY

STATE OF MISSOURI

JUSTIN DUANE WACH	)	Case No. 21SL- DR00305
Petitioner,	)	Division 15
vs.	)	
Alexandra M. Dean	)	
Respondent,	)	
BRIAN DUANE WACH and	)	
GINA MARIE DEAN	)	
Intervenors,	)	
Interlopers.	)	

FILED
AUG 08 2023
JOAN M. GILMER
CIRCUIT CLERK, ST. LOUIS COUNTY

RESPONDENT'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACTS

AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I, Alexandra M. Dean, a living soul, hereby submits a Proposed Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law in response to Gina Dean's request for a T.R.O. against me and her Motion for Permanent Injunction, which aims to permanently sever my relationship with my offspring. During this case a handful of judicial officers have intentionally harmed me, due to a conflict of interest, which is highly improper. The act of kidnapping my offspring and subsequently pursuing me with the "gestapo" clearly demonstrates this conflict of interest. Attempting to silence me, by filing frivolous unwarranted Involuntary Commitment Orders, solely because the Interloper(s) disagree with my political opinions and religious views is highly unjustified and unconstitutional. My actions have always been in self-defense against the Interloper(s) crimes.

Abducting my little ones from our home and then depriving me of my life, liberty, and property are tantamount to treason and terrorism against me, an innocent individual. My political views are actually in line with the laws of Missouri and the Constitution of America. In contrast, the agenda-driven misinterpretations of the laws of the land is the primary reason I firmly accuse this Court of committing acts of terrorism against me and my offspring.

These are the verifiable facts of the matter.

1) Gina Dean did not have any custody rights to my offspring when she abducted them from my home on September 13, 2021. This fact alone renders the removal of S.J.W. and C.A.W. from my home illegal.

2) Laura Stobie began filing frivolously on September 15, 2021, two days after the abduction occurred, in order to secure the Interloper(s) illegal means of gaining access to my offspring.

3) There was no involvement from Child Protective Services (CPS) in the removal of S.J.W. and C.A.W. from my home.

4) CPS is the only legal agency authorized to remove a person's offspring from their home and custody.

5) The St. Louis City Police, who initiated the abduction, had no court order to do so on or before September 13, 2021.

6) On September 13, 2021, the St. Louis City Police initiated the kidnapping without obtaining permission from CPS.

7) On or before that day, September 13, 2021, the St. Louis City Police had no warrant(s) to justify the removal of S.J.W. and C.A.W. from my home, which makes this a case of kidnapping.

8) On or before September 13, 2021, the St. Louis City Police did not possess the involuntary commitment warrant to produce on-site.

9) I was never presented with the warrant for my involuntary commitment by either the St. Louis City Police or the BJC (Barnes-Jewish Hospital) after my illegal arrest.

10) This case was ongoing in the Saint Louis County Court, which was clearly the wrong jurisdiction, for several months before my offspring were kidnapped from my home in Saint Louis City.

11) The case erroneously ended up in Saint Louis County due to the malicious intent of collusive interlopers and their attorneys.

12) Despite none of the involved parties ever having lived in Saint Louis County, the corrupt attorneys in this case managed to manipulate the situation so that it ended up in a court they believed would be biased in their favor.

13) The attorneys' primary objective appeared to be gaining complete control over the case and ensuring that those opposing them would collude. This deliberate maneuvering raises serious concerns about the fairness and integrity of the legal process and further undermines my custody rights.

14) My custody was unjustly stripped from me without a Preliminary Injunction Trial in conjunction with the frivolous and baseless Temporary Restraining Orders (T.R.O.s), which removed S.J.W and C.A.W. from my custody.

15) Only now, after 2 years and 6 months, Judge Lasater is acknowledging my right(s) to a Preliminary Injunction Trial in conjunction with the T.R.O(s) filed in his court.

16) Judge Lasater never had jurisdiction over any of the parties in this case.

17) Judge Lasater never had jurisdiction to interfere with the relationship between a mother and her offspring in this case, as I have not committed any crimes or done anything to legally warrant the suspension of my custody.

18) The claims made by Laura Stobie in her frivolous filings to strip me of my custody are based on hearsay from my abusers and manipulated medical records. These medical records were fabricated during times when I was suffering from horrific crimes and severe emotional/physical/sexual abuse by my parents, Gina and Duwain Dean.

19) Gina and Duwain Dean have a long history of manipulating the medical and legal system in order to gain inappropriate access to me and control my life.

20) Gina Dean's involvement is evident in all medical records where I am falsely diagnosed or involuntarily committed.

21) Gina Dean's influence is evident in all records and filings that falsely claim I have a history of violence, despite having no criminal record.

22) Gina Dean's testimony alone has been the primary factor in the legal and medical abuse I have endured throughout my life.

23) Gina Dean and her associate(s) have shown an intent to harm me in various disturbing ways, and I have released video evidence and other proof of their crimes.

24) The Interloper(s) base their claims, that irreparable harm is being done to them, on complaints about my political and religious views which are expressed on my social media accounts.

25) The authorities' illegal failure to intervene in the kidnapping of my offspring does not negate the violations of several Missouri laws and Constitutional privileges under which I was denied protection during their abduction.

26) In actuality, Gina Dean is the one who has been harassing the other party during these custody proceedings. Her harassment began even before September 13, 2021, when she started sending authorities to my home and making false police reports to unlawfully gain custody of my offspring.

27) Gina Dean's harassment also began before September 13, 2021, when she attempted to use the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) to illegally obtain custody of my offspring, despite DCFS finding no evidence of abuse each time she and her associates called them to my home.

28) Gina Dean and Laura Stobie have been stalking my social media accounts to make complaints about posts related to my kidnapped offspring.

29) Gina Dean and her associate(s) have been stalking other mother's social media accounts, whose offspring were illegally kidnapped by this Court.

30) My First Amendment rights, which include freedom of speech and the ability to peaceably assemble, protect my ability to speak publicly about the crimes committed

against me. This includes creating platforms and petitions to raise awareness and advocate for necessary change.

31) If the Interloper(s) seek revenge for the awareness I have been spreading about their crimes, they can do so legally by filing a defamation lawsuit against me.

32) It is not lawful to exploit civil matters as a means to declare an emergency on me or take actions that are beyond the bounds of the law. This includes having me involuntarily committed based on slander from the wrongdoers.

33) It is not lawful to treat the kidnapping of my offspring as a civil matter in the Saint Louis County Family Court, when it should truly be deemed a criminal offense.

34) Furthermore, it is illegal to criminalize the lawful actions of a mother whose offspring were kidnapped. Any reactions in response to the kidnapping of my offspring can be considered self-defense actions according to Missouri Law.

35) Laura Stobie and Gina Dean's claims that I am suicidal or a threat, based on their far-fetched interpretations of my social media posts, are highly illegal.

36) Declaring an emergency on someone who is acting in self-defense is not within the bounds of the law.

37) It is not illegal to release Public Service Announcements about my kidnapped offspring.

38) According to Missouri Law, individuals who take minors from their homes without court orders are considered kidnappers because they had ZERO rights to those children.

39) Gina Dean and BettyJene Hansmann abducted S.J.W. and C.A.W. from our home on September 13, 2021, without court order(s).

40) Furthermore, Gina Dean alleges that the public service announcements regarding my kidnapped offspring constitutes as "doxing" her and the other parties involved in the case, even though the information used is already public record.

41) Doxing would suggest that I have disclosed the Intervenor(s)' confidential, sensitive, or private information with the intention of subjecting them to harassment or financial harm from the public.

42) In actuality, there have been no criminal activities arising from the community's peaceful assembly regarding this case or their knowledge of the Intervenor(s)' public information.

43) On September 13, 2021, this case gained significant public interest due to Gina Dean and BettyJene Hansmann's barbaric act of kidnapping S.J.W. and C.A.W. on Facebook Live, witnessed by over two thousand people, with the assistance of corrupt police.

44) If the agencies involved were genuinely upholding Missouri Law, the Interloper(s) would be charged with the abduction of S.J.W. and C.A.W.

45) According to the law, the other parties involved in this case should never have been considered as individuals worthy of fighting for custody of my offspring in the first place.

46) Considering these criminals as Intervenors in this case is a distortion of reality and a complete disregard for the laws of the land.

47) Labeling crimes committed on an innocent woman and her offspring during a null and void custody case as "protected information" is a direct breach of the public's trust.

48) Calling the criminals, Gina Dean and BettyJene Hansmann, who initiated the kidnapping of my offspring, as "victims" in this case is manipulative and unlawful.

49) Similarly, designating Brian Wach, who sexually harassed, stalked, and assaulted me as per police records, as the "victim" in this case is utterly appalling and unlawful.

50) Despite numerous crimes committed against me by the other parties in this case, Judge Lasater claims they are the victims, and I am portrayed as the perpetrator.

51) Judge Lasater has already taken away my phone time and limited visitations based on the hearsay stated in the new T.R.O(s) before providing me with a chance to be heard or holding a trial. This further demonstrates his bias and questionable conduct as a Family Court Judge during the entirety of this case.

52) Below is a list of crimes committed on Alexandra M. Dean by the wrongdoer(s) in this case.

- Brian Wach (Father of Justin Wach)- 3rd Degree Domestic Assault on Alexandra M. Dean, Police Complaint No. 2016-0939.
- See Alexandra M. Dean v Brian Wach, Case No. 16CF- PN00217 for Ex Parte.
- Justin Wach- Kidnapping of infant S.J.W. and Domestic Assault on Alexandra M. Dean, Persistent Offender, Police Complaint No. 2018- 10100.
- Justin Wach- Domestic Assault on Alexandra M. Dean, 2nd Degree/ Juvenile Victim Involved, Endangering the Welfare of a Child (S.J.W.), Persistent Offender, Police Complaint No. 2018-10430.
- Justin Wach- Domestic Assault on Alexandra M. Dean, 2nd Degree, Persistent Offender, Police Complaint No. 2018- 10480.

53) I have never committed a single crime on any of the parties in this case.

54) Despite the Intervenor(s) and their associate(s) fictitious claims, the facts are

- I have zero history of inflicting or causing physical harm, bodily injury, or assault on anyone.

- I have zero history of stalking or causing fear of physical harm, bodily injury, or assault on anyone.
- I have zero criminal record.
- I have never had a full order of adult or child protection before.
- I have only ever suffered from unlawful and frivolous T.R.O.(s) based on complaints, filed in Judge Lasaters Courtroom, in order to illegally sway my custody rights.
- I have never been convicted of a dangerous felony under Missouri law.

55) The information included in the public service announcements which I released aligns with Missouri State Laws and the Missouri Bill of Rights.

56) Taking my parental rights away simply for posts which I have made on social media, in light of the crimes being committed on me, is a very serious criminal offense for all Judicial Officers and the Judge involved.

57) Recently, I was forced to leave the safety of my own home because of the harassment by the Intervenor(s) and their baseless attempts to have me involuntarily committed once more.

58) Such actions are strictly forbidden as they infringe upon my rights to life, liberty, and property, and they constitute severe harassment of another party in a custody proceeding.

59) During this custody proceeding, the Saint Louis City Police made another illegal attempt to enter my home, this time using the key they obtained unlawfully from my landlord.

60) On July 13, 2023, the Saint Louis City Police tried to enter my home illegally using the key to my front door. However, they were unsuccessful due to a latch installed on my door to prevent unauthorized entry.

61) In their forceful attempt to break and enter, which amounts to felony trespassing, they severely damaged the latch that was holding my front door closed.

62) Following their failed break-in attempt, the Saint Louis City Police have persistently stalked me and attempted to gather information about my whereabouts, gravely violating my constitutional rights.

63) On July 17, 2023, Judge Lasater scheduled a trial to reopen this case for the presentation of new evidence. However, I chose not to attend this trial because Laura Stobie and Gina Dean had once again attempted to have me illegally involuntarily committed. My better instincts guided me not to return to the same Court that had previously violated my rights on such a heinous level, simply because it would not be beneficial for my legal position.

64) On July 14, 2023, just a few days before the trial to reopen this case for the presentation of new evidence, Gina Dean filed for my involuntary commitment in Saint Louis County, despite the fact that I reside in Saint Louis City.

65) It's apparent that this move was a deliberate attempt to unlawfully detain me in Division 15 of the Saint Louis County Family Court, during trial held on July 17, 2023, with the help of Laura Stobie's unwarranted and frivolous filings.

66) These events raise serious concerns about Judge Lasater's conduct as a judge. Allowing such a blatant disregard for my human rights to unfold in his courtroom only serves to further highlight his poor handling of this case.

67) The following 96 hour detention(s) were recently unlawfully filed against me by Interloper Gina M. Dean with the help of Laura Stobie.

- In Saint Louis City on June 16, 2023
- In Saint Louis City on July 5, 2023
- In Saint Louis County on July 14, 2023. The timing of this particular detention, filed just before the trial to reopen this Case for evidence on July 17, 2023, strongly suggests that this specific trial was a deliberate and calculated effort by the Saint Louis County Family Court to illegally detain me once again, solely based on hearsay and their personal hostility towards me. This raises significant concerns about the fairness and integrity of the proceedings, further supporting my plea for the dismissal of this trial and a thorough examination of the action(s) taken in this matter by the Department of Justice and a new Judge within the Appeals Court.

68) The Interloper(s), who kidnapped my offspring, have no legal grounds to claim that I am a threat based solely on their malice towards me and my use of the internet to spread awareness of their crimes.

69) The Interloper(s) are fully aware that they have no legal grounds to sue me for apparent defamation therefore they seek illegal means to punish me as they see fit.

70) All statements I have made publicly about the Interloper(s) are supported by viable evidence, including but not limited to video footage and police records regarding their crimes, therefore my statements are not considered defamation.

71) The Interloper(s) seek to silence me through illegal means because they are unhappy that the public is aware of their crimes and live video evidence of them kidnapping my offspring has gone viral online.

72) The Interloper(s) filed orders with the Court to have my Petition Removed from Change.org on July 31, 2023.

73) This Petition was signed by nearly 1,000 people, several of which were Police and Sheriffs within Saint Louis City.

74) This Petition was to prevent domestic offenders and pedophiles from gaining custody of innocent youth through the Missouri Family Courts.

75) Giving domestic offenders and pedophiles custody of innocent youth through the Missouri Family Courts has been an ongoing issue that many advocacy groups seek to change.

76) Filing unwarranted involuntary commitment orders on me, due to my efforts to make a change in our community regarding these sensitive matters, is a clear attempt by the Interloper(s) to subject me to legal and medical abuse once again.

77) The Interloper(s) filed orders with the Court to have my Exhibit List of video evidence removed from allmylinks.com.

78) My Exhibit List, which was originally submitted to the 21st Judicial Court on June 22, 2023, included a website called allmylinks.com/truecrimesaintlouis.

79) True Crimes Saint Louis was established as a platform to provide the concerned public, Court(s), Judge(s), attorney(s), and other victims with convenient electronic access to the video evidence of the crimes committed on S.J.W. and C.A.W. and other youth within the 21st Judicial Court.

80) The creation of "True Crimes Saint Louis" specifically served as a means to preserve evidence and protect my Constitutional rights, which were severely violated by the Interloper(s) and their associates.

81) On July 28, 2023, True Crimes Saint Louis was erased, due to the use of unconstitutional court orders by certain individual(s) within the 21st Judicial Court.

82) Laura Stobie continues to misinterpret the writings in my social media posts to fit her agenda and portray me as violent and suicidal, which is not true.

83) In reality, Laura Stobie is the one committing perjury and defaming my character in order to violate my parental rights while simultaneously attempting to silence me in order to protect those who kidnapped my offspring.

84) Stobie's exhibits lack viable evidence to support the claims she makes in conjunction with the screenshots she takes from my social media accounts.

85) Those within the 21st Judicial Court have persistently labeled me as mentally ill, with the assistance of a Court Appointed Psychological Evaluator, Dr. Susan Sanderson, who wrote a biased evaluation, inaccurately diagnosing me with severe mental illnesses that do not, at all, reflect my personality.

86) Despite efforts to present a non-biased expert witness testimony on my behalf, those within the 21st Judicial Court refused to consider it.

87) Dr. Daniel Levin, a highly respected forensic psychologist, attempted to provide expert testimony to refute the false claims about my mental state made by the other parties in this case.

88) Laura Stobie striked the expert testimony of Dr. Daniel Levin while simultaneously engaging in a smear campaign regarding my mental state along with the other parties involved.

89) Judge Lasater denied Dr. Levin the opportunity to testify as an expert or have a fair chance to present his testimony.

90) Judge Lasater permitted the biased evaluation by Dr. Susan Sanderson to be admitted as evidence against me while simultaneously disregarding Dr. Daniel Levin's testimony which indicated my perfectly healthy mental state.

91) The Domestic Relation Services of Saint Louis County fabricated a cover-up story to suspend my visitations. The false claims made by the DRS worker directly conflict with the actual events, which were captured on video and included in the Respondent's Exhibit List.

92) Laura Stobie persistently uses the unjust suspension of my visitations by DRS as a means to portray me as an unfit parent.

93) Considering the mass collusion, corruption, and conflicts of interests evident in this case, it should rightfully be deemed a mistrial. This is fully detailed in the "Motion for Mistrial (Amended)" which is in conjunction to this Proposed Findings of Facts. The Amended Motion for Mistrial was filed in the Missouri Eastern Court of Appeals on August 7, 2023.

94) A full list of law violations committed by those in 21st Judicial Court, during this case, has been attached to this Findings of Facts.

95) This Proposed Findings of Facts is in conjunction with the following Motions and evidence which can be found at

allmylinks.com/appeal

Not an Official Court Document

I, Alexandra M. Dean, solemnly declare that the foregoing statements and representations are true to the best of my belief, knowledge. By: /s/ *Alexandra M. Dean.* Petitioner. 2215 Montana St, St. Louis, MO 63118 314-323-8267 consciousparrow1719@gmail.com.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE: I hereby certify that on the 7st day of August, 2023, a true and exact copy of the foregoing was served via e-filing system to all parties of record. /s/ *Alexandra Dean.*

Beth Lewandowski
lewandowski.beth@gmail.com

Justin Duane Wach
justindwach@gmail.com

Jacquelyn S. Gonz
office@jackiegonzlaw.com

Laura Stobie
lstobie@mlklaw.com

Full Legal Name (Print): _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Full Legal Name (Print): Alexandra M. Dean

Signature: alex Dean

Date: 8/8/23

Notary:

SARAH KUCHENMEISTER
Notary Public, Notary Seal
State of Missouri
St. Louis County
Commission # 23611336
My Commission Expires 04-09-2027

STATE OF MISSOURI
COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS
On this 8 day of AUGUST, 2023 before me, the undersigned notary public, personally appeared ALEXANDRA M. DEAN proved to me through satisfactory evidence of identification, which were MO DRIVER LICENSE to be the person whose name is signed on the preceding or attached document in my presence.

Sarah Kuchenmeister
Notary Public's Signature
My Commission Expires 4/9/2027